12/12/12

	Page 1		Page 3
	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	1	S.C., 111 East Kilbourn Avenue, 19th Flor, Milwaukee,
	WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN	2	Wisconsin, 53202-6622, jbk@mtfn.com, by MS. JENNIFER A.B.
	KATHLEEN McHUGH AND DEANNA SCHNEIDER, Individually and on behalf of all	3	KREIL, appeared on behalf of the United States Fire
	person similarly situated,	4	Insurance Company.
	Plaintiffs,	5	DEUTCH & WEISS, LLC, 7670 North Port
	v. Case No. 11-CV-724 MADISON-KIPP CORPORATION, CONTINENTAL	6	Washington Road, Suite 200, Whitefish Bay, Wisconsin,
	CASUALTY COMPANY, UNITED STATES FIRE INSURANCE	7	53217, charles.kramer@mweisslaw.net, by MR. CHARLES W.
	COMPANY and ABC INSURANCE COMPANIES 1 - 50,	8	KRAMER, appeared on behalf of Lumbermens Mutual Casualty
	Defendants, and	9	Company.
	MADISON-KIPP CORPORATION,	10	
	Cross-Claimant, vs.	11	INDEX
	CONTINENTAL CASUALTY COMPANY, COLUMBIA CASUALTY COMPANY, and UNITED STATES	12	WITNESS EXAMINATION PAGE
	FIRE INSURANCE COMPANY,	13	ELAINE BOTT By Mr. Busch 4
	Cross-Claim Defendants, and	14	By Mr. White 48
	CONTINENTAL CASUALTY COMPANY and COLUMBIA CASUALTY COMPANY,	15	By Ms. Kreil 51
	Cross-Claim Defendants,	16	EXHIBITS
	and LUMBERMANS MUTUAL CASUALTY COMPANY, AMERICAN	17	EXHIBIT NO.: MARKED ID'D
	MOTORISTS INSURANCE COMPANY, and JOHN DOE INSURANCE COMPANIES 1 - 20,	18	1 - 110 S. Marquette Street documents 4 8
	Third-Party Defendants.	19	2 - Bott answers to MKC document request 4 8
	DEPOSITION OF	20	3 - Bott answers to US Fire document request 4 8
	ELAINA BOTT	21	4 - Bott responses to MKC interrogatories 4 43
	Madison, Wisconsin	22	5 - Bott responses to US Fire interrogatories 43 43
	December 12, 2012 9:00 a.m. to 10:15 a.m.	23	(The original exhibits were attached to the original
	Kim M. Peterson	24	transcript.)
	Registered Professional Reporter	25	(The original transcript was sent to Mr. Busch.)
	Page 2		Page 4
1	APPEARANCES	1	PROCEEDINGS
2	THE COLLINS LAW FIRM, P.C., 1770 North	2	(Exhibit Nos. 1 through 4 were marked
3	Park Street, Suite 200, Naperville, Illinois, 69563,	3	for identification.)
4	ejm@collinslaw.com, by MR. EDWARD J. MANZKE, appeared		ELAINA BOTT, called as a witness
5	behalf of the Plaintiffs.	5	herein by the Defendants, after having been first
6	VARGA, BERGER, LEDSKY, HAYES & CASEY,	6	duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:
7	125 South Wacher Drive, Suite 1250, Chicago, Illinois,	7	EXAMINATION
8	60606-4473, nberger@vblhc.com, by MR. NORMAN B. BERGE		BY MR. BUSCH:
9	appeared on behalf of the Plaintiffs.	9	Q Please state your name.
10	MICHAEL, BEST & FRIEDRICH, LLP, 100	10	A Elaina Bott.
11	East Wisconsin Avenue, Milwaukee, Wisconsin, 53202,	11	Q And what is your current home address?
12	jabusch@michaelbest.com, by MR. JOHN A. BUSCH, appeared	12	A 110 South Marquette Street.
13	on behalf of the Madison-Kipp Corporation.	13	Q Can you briefly describe for me your educational
14 15	MICHAEL, BEST & FRIEDRICH, LLP, 100 South Pinckney Street, Suite 700, P.O. Box 1806, Medicon	14	background?
15 16	South Pinckney Street, Suite 700, P.O. Box 1806, Madison,	15	A I have a Bachelor's of Art in excuse me,
16 17	Wisconsin, 53701-1806, abianchi@michaelbest.com and	16	Bachelor's of Art in Art History from the Ohio
18	lhziemba@michaelbest.com, by MR. ALBERT BIANCHI, JR. and MS. LEAH H. ZIEMBA, appeared on behalf of the		State University, and I have uncompleted work
18 19	Madison-Kipp Corporation.	18	towards a master's at UW-Madison.
20	TROUTMAN SANDERS, LLP, 55 West Monroe	19	Q Okay. And you're one of the plaintiffs in the
21	Street, Suite 3000, Chicago, Illinois, 60603-5758,	20	matter of McHugh versus Madison-Kipp?
22	christopher.white@troutmansanders.com, by MR. CHRISTOPHE	21	A Yes. Q And are you married?
		r 44	O And are you married?
			·
23	WHITE, appeared on behalf of the Continental Casualty	23	A Yes.
			·

12/12/12

		Page 5			Page 7
1	Q	To your knowledge, is Mr. Bott also a plaintiff in	1	Q	And how long has he held a position with the
2	×	the matter of McHugh versus Madison-Kipp?	2	~	legislature?
3	A	Yes.	3	A	With the legislature as a whole?
4	Q	And you live together?	4	Q	Yes.
5	A	Yes.	5	A	He's been there 10 years, approximately.
6	Q	At the home at 110 South Marquette Street?	6	Q	Prior to that do you know what his job was?
7	Ā	Yes.	7	Ā	He was in college.
8	Q	Can you briefly describe for me your work history.	8	Q	Okay. Do you have children?
9	Ā	I am currently employed as a consultant at Vonlay	9	A	Two.
10		Consulting.	10	Q	Their ages, please.
11	Q	And what do you do there?	11	A	Two, and three months.
12	Ā	I'm a currently a healthcare IT consultant.	12	Q	Do you smoke?
13		I'm working at SVA on their EPM project.	13	A	No.
14	Q	And how long have you had that position?	14	Q	Does your husband smoke?
15	Ā	I recently started on that project in October of	15	A	No.
16	• •	this year.	16	Q	Do you know approximately when your house was
17	Q	Prior to that what was your job history?	17	~	constructed?
18	Ā	I worked as a consultant through Vonlay at the	18	A	In the teens. It It may be the '20s.
19	• •	University of Wisconsin's Hospitals and Clinics.	19	Q	And when did you purchase your house?
20	Q	In what area?	20	A	I myself purchased the house with my husband in, I
21	Ā	In their Data Security IT Division, as a	21		believe, 2007.
22	• •	consultant.	22	Q	And from whom did you purchase it?
23	Q	Prior to that what was your job?	23	A	His parents.
24	Ā	Prior to that I worked as a consultant with Safe	24	Q	How long did his parents live at that home?
25		Bridge Solutions.	25	Ā	His parents never lived at that home.
		Page 6			Page 8
1	0	And what were your job duties there?	1	0	Did they own it as a rental property?
2	Q A	I was a consultant on various projects at CUNA	2	Q A	Yes.
3	A	Mutual.	3	Q	And do you know how long they owned it as a rental
4	Q	Prior to that?	4	Q	property?
5	A	Prior to that I was an implementation services	5	A	I believe approximately around 2002.
6	А	team member at Epic Systems.	6	Q	2002?
7	Q	And can you briefly describe for me what your job	7	A	Um-hum.
8	Q	duties entailed there?	8	Q	Let me show you what's been marked as Bott
9	A	Mostly business analyst, project management work		Q	Exhibit No. 1.
10	71	systems analysis and design for Epic Systems.	10	A	Okay.
11	Q	Prior to that?	11	Q	Do you recall at some point in time you were asked
12	A	Ongoing I've worked as a waitress at various	12	Q	to accumulate documents responsive to a request
13	Α.	restaurants, and prior to that was grad school.	13		for production of documents?
14	Q	How is your husband employed, if you know?	14	A	Yes.
15	A	Through the State of Wisconsin.	15	Q	And I will represent to you, and we can confirm it
16	Q	And what is his job?	16	V	later on, that I believe that's the entirety of
17	A	His current job title is in the air. He recently	17		the documents that that were produced by you.
18	41	transitioned from the State Assembly to the State	18		If you want to take a moment to take a
19		Senate.	19		look to see if that comports with your
20	Q	And what are his job duties?	20		recollection, if you have one in that regard.
21	A	I would say it would be equivalent of Director of	21	A	From what I can see, this is what we provided.
22	. 1	the Budget, Policy Director for the Senate	22	Q	Okay. Let me show you also what's been marked as
23		Majority Leader. He was previously the Policy	23	~	Bott Exhibit No. 2, which is answers to one set of
4.5			24		interrogatories, and then Bott Exhibit No. 3,
		Budget Director of the Speaker of the State of	21		inicitogatorics, and then bott Exhibit 110. 5.
24 25		Budget Director of the Speaker of the State of Wisconsin.	25		which is a response to another set of

12/12/12

		Page 9			Page 11
1		interrogatories.	1	A	Yes.
2		Take a look at that and see if those	2	Q	What types of materials do you store there?
3		are, in fact, responses that you and your husband	3	À	We store extra clothing, books, extra dishes,
4		submitted in this matter.	4		things we're not using in general.
5	A	Yes, this was provided by my husband, as was this.	5	Q	Do you ever store paint?
6	Q	Can you briefly describe for me the how you use	6	À	We have stored no VOC paint down there in the
7		your basement, and if it's changed since 2007.	7		past. It's no longer stored there.
8	A	We use our basement primarily for storage and for	8	Q	You say no VOC paint?
9		laundry.	9	À	Yes.
10	Q	And has that changed at all since 2007?	10	Q	And And what do you mean when you say VOC
11	À	I would say we are less likely to kind of use it	11		paint?
12		throughout the day. We kind of cluster how we use	12	Α	So, volatile chemicals. There's an option now to
13		it. We avoid the basement at all costs.	13		buy paint that has no VOC's in it.
14	Q	And why?	14	Q	And that's what you've used?
15	À	Partial reason would be the fear that we have from	15	A	That's what we used throughout our renovations.
16		what could be coming up through the sub-slab, and	16	Q	And when did you last renovate?
17		the work that's been done by the various people	17	A	The last maintenance or renovation we did the
18		doing tests down there. We also have not enjoyed	18		house would have been 2010.
19		maintaining it, and so it's a bit dirty right now.	19	Q	And what did you do?
20	Q	Has Has any person told you not to use your	20	A	We would have resurfaced some walls with spackle
21		basement in a in the way in which you used it	21		and painted that year.
22		previously?	22	Q	And
23	A	No. I would say, though, we have been told to do	23	A	And we refinished some floors.
24		a lot of extra hand washing and other things to	24	Q	I'm sorry.
25		mitigate anything we're coming into contact with	25	A	And we refinished some floors. We put in some new
		Page 10			Page 12
1		in the soil or in the basement.	1		fixtures.
2	Q	And from by whom were you told that?	2	Q	If you could roughly guesstimate for me the the
3	A	The Department of Health and Human Services.	3		square footage of the of the walls that you
4	Q	Anyone in particular?	4		re-speckled. I mean, if it's was it one wall,
5	A	It was told to my husband.	5		or the whole house, or how much?
6	Q	Okay. What is the heat source in your home?	6	Α	You have to understand renovations are rolling.
7	A	We have central heating.	7	Q	Yes.
8	Q	And is it natural gas?	8	A	So in that particular year
9	A	Yes.	9	Q	Let me Let me withdraw the question. You took
10	Q	How old is your Is it a boiler system, or is it	10		possession of the house in 2007, or did you live
11	٨	a forced-air system?	11	٨	there before?
12	A	I don't know.	12	A	We lived in it before.
13 14	Q	Okay. Does it have radiators, or does it have	13 14	Q A	And when did you first move there?  Myself? I first moved in there in, I believe,
15	٨	ventilated? Ventilated.	15	A	2006.
16	A	Or vents.	16	Q	And had your husband lived there previously?
17	Q A	Vents, yeah. No, it's not helping me.	17	A	Yes.
18	Q	Okay. Do you know how old that your furnace	18	Q	And when did he first
19	~	is?	19	A	2002.
20	A	No.	20	Q	2002. Is it fair to say that at least in your
21	Q	Does your basement have a what used to be a	21		experience from 2006 through 2010 there were a
	•	coal bin it in?	22		from time to time you would either remodel or
22		cour our it in:			
22	A	I don't know.	23		refresh your house?
	A Q		23 24	A	=

12/12/12

		Page 13			Page 15
1		continuation of resurfacing and spackling of some	1	A	He was formerly a contractor.
2		of the walls?	2	Q	Okay. What's his current line of business?
3	A	Yes.	3	A	He is He sells reclaimed wood and does
4	Q	Which may have occurred as well in 2005 or 2004?			consulting on timber frame structures and
5	Ā	Yes.	5		restoration.
6	Q	And that the paint you used was a non-VOC paint,	6	Q	To your knowledge, did you pull permits for this
7		correct?	7		reconstruction or you weren't living there. To
8	A	In 2010, yes.	8		your knowledge, were permits pulled?
9	Q	Okay. Before 2010 did you use a non-VOC paint?	9	A	I was involved with the property since 2011.
10	A	I don't know.	10	Q	Okay.
11	Q	Okay. And then in 2010 you also resurfaced the	11	A	Yes, there was a permit for the addition.
12		floors or refinished the floors?	12	Q	Okay. And do you know if there were plans
13	A	Two bedroom floors.	13		submitted to the
14	Q	Had you done floors between 2006 and 2010?	14	A	Yes. To my knowledge there were.
15	A	Yes.	15	Q	In deciding to purchase the home in 2007, what due
16	Q	So once again, it was a rolling kind of	16		diligence, if any, and I if you don't know what
17		refurnishing?	17		I mean by due diligence let me know, but what due
18	A	No. New floors were put in throughout the	18		diligence did you do, if any, in preparation for
19		kitchen, the living room and dining room. We also	19		that purchase?
20		put on an addition, which had floors that were put	20	A	None.
21		in.	21	Q	Is that because you lived in the house and you
22	Q	And what kind of floors were these?	22		knew what the house was about?
23	A	Hardwood floors.	23	A	The house was purchased for my husband in 2002 by
24	Q	Which you stained?	24		his parents.
25	A	No. We had a service do it.	25	Q	Okay.
		Page 14			Page 16
1	Q	Okay. Did you Did you keep any of the excess	1	A	So the due diligence was done in 2002.
2		stain or or coating?	2	Q	So you did not use a realtor in 2007?
3	A	Absolutely not.	3	A	No.
4	Q	Did you say, and I may have missed this, in 2010	4	Q	Did you receive As part of that did you receive
5		did you add square footage to the to the	5		any data sheet or disclosures at the time that you
6		facility?	6		and your husband purchased the property in 2007,
7	A	Not in 2010, no.	7		do you know?
8	Q	What year did you add square footage?	8	A	Not that I'm aware of. When I'm sorry. Let me
9	A	2005. I believe it was 2005.	9		back up. What do you mean by data sheets or
10	Q	Okay. And what was added?	10	_	disclosures?
11	A	A backward general use back of the house	11	Q	Oftentimes in the in a real estate transaction
12	_	general use room.	12		the State of Wisconsin, as part of the
13	Q	Is it Do you use it as a family room,	13		transaction, there is a disclosure sheet that's
14		basically?	14 15		put together that either realtors or private
15 16	A	We use it as a TV room.	15 16		parties talking about various conditions of the
17	Q	Okay. How many square feet did you add? I don't know.	16 17		real estate. And I just want to know because I didn't see one in the in the materials that
18	A Q	Who was your contractor?	18		were
19	Q A	My father-in-law.	19	A	I can't I can't answer that question then. I
20	Q	Is he a a builder?	20	Л	don't know. The one additional legal document we
21	A	Not exactly.	21		had was regarding our nonmarital status and how we
22	Q	Okay. Does he Is he a remodeler?	22		would divide the property. There may have been
	A	No.	23		additional documents included.
2.3		-10+		_	
23 24		This is But he's sufficiently proficient that	24	()	In Bott Exhibit 1 you'll note that there's a on
23 24 25	Q	This is But he's sufficiently proficient that you used him.	24 25	Q	In Bott Exhibit 1 you'll note that there's a on the bottom there's what's called a Bates stamp.

12/12/12

		Page 17			Page 19
1		It says PLF 110 S and then has numbers.	1	Q	Okay. And do you recall speaking with Mr. Willie
2	Α	Yes.	2		at all about neighborhood conditions?
3	Q	If you would take a look at the one that's marked	3		MR. MANZKE: Just object to the form
4		page 148 through 171, please.	4		of the question.
5	A	Yes.	5		MR. BUSCH: It's a vague question.
6	Q	Do you recognize that document?	6	Q	Do you recall, did Mr. Willie ask you any
7	Α	No.	7		questions about any environmental concerns you had
8	Q	It purports to be a residential, if I've got the	8		in 2010?
9		right number, it purports to be a residential	9	Α	Not that I recall.
10		appraisal report?	10	Q	Did you volunteer any?
11	A	Okay.	11	A	Not that I recall.
12	Q	It was I'll represent to you that it was part	12	Q	Did he ask you generally about your about
13		of the documents that you gave me. You don't	13		the the condition of the neighborhood?
14		recall seeing this document before?	14	A	Not that I recall.
15	A	I may have seen it. I don't recall.	15		MR. BUSCH: Excuse me for a moment.
16	Q	Okay. And I apologize, it's not the easiest to	16		(Discussion off the record.)
17		read, but it it appears to be done in	17		MR. BUSCH:
18		connection with a loan, a refinance transaction.	18	Q	Did he ask you about any environmental conditions
19		Do you see that on about the fifth line?	19		at your home?
20	A	Yes.	20	A	Not that I recall. If I recall, he didn't ask me
21	Q	And I believe it was done in I had the date on	21	0	any questions.
22		here and I lost it. I think it was done in	22	Q	You simply took him around.
23		respect to the 2010 refinance. Let me take a	23 24	A	Correct.
24 25		moment here and	25	Q	Do you recall when you received a copy of the uniform residential appraisal report that you have
23		MR. BIANCHI: Yeah. Signature was	23		
		Page 18			Page 20
1		May 2010.	1		in front of you?
2		MR. BUSCH: What page?	2	A	Vaguely.
3	DV	MR. BIANCHI: 153.	3	Q	And when did you receive it?
4 5		MR. BUSCH:	4 5	A	Shortly after the appraisal.  During the process of the refinancing?
6	Q	Directing your attention to page 153, there's the signature of a gentleman by the name of Alfred	6	Q A	Correct.
7		Willie, III. Do you see that?	7	Q	Did you read it?
8	Δ	Yes. I do recall this document.	8	A	Yes.
9	Q	Okay. Do you Do you recall meeting with	9	Q	Directing your attention to pages 161, 162, which
10	Q	Mr. Willie at all in regard to this appraisal	10	Q	I believe are the environmental addendum, do you
11		report?	11		see that?
12	A	Yes.	12	A	Yes.
13	Q	And describe for me your interactions with him.	13	Q	In reading that did you Do you recall reading
14	À	I took him on a tour of the property so he could	14		that in 2010?
15		perform his appraisal.	15	A	Vaguely.
16	Q	And this is done in regard to your refinancing?	16	Q	And do you recall, did you discuss with anyone
17	À	In 2010, yes.	17	-	the the content of the environmental addendum?
18	Q	And in respect to that refinancing, did you simply	18	A	No.
19		refinance the current balance, or did you increase	19	Q	Do you recall speaking with your lender about
20		your mortgage?	20		environmental conditions at your property?
21	A	I'm not sure. I believe we increased the	21	A	No.
22		mortgage.	22	Q	When you reviewed the uniform residential
23	Q	All right. Was this done in connection with any	23		appraisal report did you look at the valuation
24		of your remodeling efforts?	24		given to your property?
25	Α	Yes.	25	Α	Yes.

12/12/12

		Page 21			Page 23
1	Q	Did you believe it to be a fair valuation?	1	A	Correct.
2	À	No.	2	Q	Take a moment and look at the appraisal report and
3	Q	Why not?	3		see if there's is anything in there and I
4	À	I felt that the house was worth five to 10,000	4		apologize for the the photocopy. I believe the
5		more.	5		substantive I'll have you look at pages 148,
6	Q	And what was your basis for that?	6		149 and 150, which I believe are are specific
7	À	Looking at the properties that he selected to do a	7		to your home, and ask if you can ascertain whether
8		comparison with, I felt that he did not fully	8		anything stated therein is inaccurate, number one,
9		understand our neighborhood and the boundaries of	9		or has changed since this was done.
10		our neighborhood that would help bring the value	10	A	Just page 148?
11		of our house up.	11	Q	Yes.
12	Q	Did you bring this to Mr. Willie's attention?	12	À	Looks correct.
13	À	Yes.	13	Q	Can you look at 149? And I recognize in the
14	Q	And how did you do so?	14		comparable sale information that's not within your
15	À	I contacted the lender and asked them to have him	15		knowledge, but anything else that you can confirm.
16		take a look at it.	16	A	It appears correct.
17	Q	Take a look at his conclusions?	17	Q	Thank you. What did you pay for your house?
18	À	His appraisal, yes.	18	À	I don't recall.
19	Q	And what happened thereafter?	19	Q	Do you recall if you paid what you believed to be
20	À	There was no change.	20		the fair market value?
21	Q	Did the appraisal impact at all the amount of	21	A	We did not.
22		money that you were allowed to mortgage?	22	Q	Is that in part because you were purchasing it
23	A	I'm not sure I can answer that question.	23		from a relative?
24	Q	And what would you need in order for you to answer	24	A	Correct.
25		that question?	25	Q	You had been living in the Madison area for some
		Page 22			Page 24
1	A	You're asking me a what-if scenario. I don't know	1		time prior to the purchase?
2		what decision we would have made based upon a	2	A	Correct.
3		different appraisal.	3	Q	And your husband had been living there since at
4	Q	Did you When you made your application to the	4		least at that home since 2002?
5		lender, did you ask for a certain amount of money?	5	A	Correct.
6	A	We asked for the maximum amount. However, we had	6	Q	Had he lived in Madison his whole life?
7		to get mortgage insurance because we only left	7	A	No.
8		15 percent equity in the house. Had there been a	8	Q	How long had he lived in Madison? Has he lived in
9		higher appraisal, I'm not sure what decision we	9		Madison.
10		would have made.	10	A	I can't answer that.
11	Q	Do you have any reason to believe that the any	11	Q	How long have you lived in Madison?
12		of the facts and circumstances that you allege in	12	A	I lived in Madison since 2003.
13		your complaint against Madison-Kipp had any impact	13	Q	To your knowledge, has your husband at all been
14		upon Mr. Willie's appraisal?	14		involved with the neighborhood association since
15	A	Not at that time, no.	15		he moved there in 2002?
16	Q	What about the character of your neighborhood did	16	A	Somewhat.
17		you believe distinguished your property from those	17	Q	And can you describe for me his involvement with
18		that were alleged to have been comparables?	18		the neighborhood association. And I'm talking
19	A	Property values for homes across the railroad	19		about the S-A-S-Y Neighborhood Association.
20		tracks from our house tend to be of lesser value.	20	A	I know that he receives e-mails from them.
21		It's literally another-side-of-the-tracks kind of	21	Q	Okay.
22		story. There's a little bit of a higher crime	22	A	He's on their mailing list. I don't know of any
23 24	0	rate and other factors.	23 24	0	other involvement.
25	Q	And that's based upon your experience having lived in the neighborhood, correct?	24 25	Q	And if I use the term SASY, would that SASY or is there a term that you like to that is
ر ک		in the neighborhood, confect:	ر ک		of is there a term that you like to that is

12/12/12

		Page 25			Page 27
1		more current than what I'm using?	1		her to come and look at the property and provide a
2	A	What is ever you	2		marketing proposal.
3	Q	Okay. I'll use S-A-S-Y because I don't want to	3	Q	And did she do so?
4	Q	Okay. All right. And that is those are the	4	A	She did.
5		right initials, correct, S-A-S-Y?	5	Q	And when was this?
6	A	I don't know.	6	A	Winter of last year, or this year. Is it 2012?
7	Q	Okay.	7	Q	2012?
8	A	I don't receive the mailings.	8	A	Winter of this year.
9	Q	All right. But to your knowledge, he's on the	9	Q	So in the calendar year 2012?
10	Q	e-mail list?	10	A	During the snow months.
11	A	We have access to each other's e-mails. I see	11	Q	And I believe you said your concern was heightened
12	А	that e-mails hit his box.	12	Q	when the DNR showed up at your house?
13	Q	Do you ever read them?	13	A	Correct.
14	A	On a very rare occasion.	14	Q	And when did the DNR show up at your house?
15	Q	Let me direct your attention to, in Exhibit 1,	15	A	Approximately the same time period.
16	Q	a document 135 and 136 and 139, 140 through 147		Q	About a year ago?
17		start out with.	17	A	Little less because I didn't find out I was
18	A	136 was your first number?	18	A	pregnant until the end of December. So it was the
19	Q	135 through 147. I think they're like three or	19		January, February timeframe, I believe, to my
20	Ų	four documents.	20		recollection.
21	٨	Okay.	21	0	
22	A Q	Can you identify I believe all those relate to	22	Q A	Okay. I'm not sure exactly.
23	Ų		23		•
24		a marketing proposal from a Liz Lauer & Associates. Do you see that?	24	Q	That's fair. And who showed up at your house, if you recall?
25	A	Correct.	25	A	I was actually getting home as they were leaving.
	A			A	
		Page 26			Page 28
1	Q	And also in that pile, although I won't take it	1		There was a man and a woman. I believe one was
2		out of context, but we're going to look at a	2		from the Department of Health and Human Services
3		little later is pages 106 through, I think, 134,	3	_	and one was from the DNR.
4		which is a comparative market analysis. Do you	4	Q	And to your knowledge had they interacted with
5		see that?	5		your husband as you showed up?
6	A	Yes.	6	A	Yes. I walked on to the end of the conversation.
7	Q	Can you describe to me the circumstances under	7	Q	And did you engage in the conversation at that
8		which First of all, at some point in time did	8		point in time?
9		you and/or your husband contact Ms. Lauer in	9	A	I did.
10		regard to a marketing proposal?	10	Q	Okay. Did you ask what their purpose was for
11	A	We contacted her more than once.	11		being there?
12	Q	Okay. And can you describe for me the	12	A	I did.
13		circumstances under which you contacted her.	13	Q	And what did they say their purpose was?
14	A	We I recently found out that I was pregnant	14	A	They informed us that some of the contamination
15		with my second child. The Kipp environmental	15		from Kipp Corp. that we had heard about in the
16		issues became an issue when the DNR showed up at			neighborhood, neighbors five or so houses down,
17		my house, and due to that we felt that it would be	17		was discovered to have possibly entered our
18		prudent to find out what our house was worth and	18		property as well. That the the contamination
19		to find out if we should put it on the market at	19		might have been more widespread than initially
20	•	that time.	20		thought, and that we had possibly been impacted.
21	Q	And so what did you do?	21		And I believe they I believe they gave us their
22	A	So I had contacted Liz in the past. She actually	22		business card and maybe a little bit of
23		is the one who sold us the house in or Eric's	23		information.
24		parents' house in 2002. So we've had an ongoing	24		And I had, you know, being pregnant,
25		relationship with her. I contacted Liz and asked	25		and having a two-year-old at my side, because I

12/12/12

		Page 29			Page 31
1		believe my husband had just picked him up from	1	A	There were multiple times.
2		school and I came to the door and there were two	2	Q	Okay. And it was in response to this visit that
3		people talking to my husband and my child, and I	3		you contacted Ms. Lauer?
4		started asking them some questions about health	4	Α	It was around the same time.
5		concerns	5	Q	Okay. Was it in response to that visit, or was
6	Q	Yes.	6		there another reason for your
7	À	related to the contamination and what it would	7	A	It expedited us contacting Liz Lauer, yes.
8		mean for both me as a pregnant woman and my young	8	Q	Had you thought about selling before?
9		developing child.	9	A	Yes.
10		And then also told them they should	10	Q	Just because it was time to move?
11		talk to my neighbor because he's lived on the	11	A	We have a growing family, and we were also aware
12		property for over 50 years, I believe, and he has	12		of what was happening in the neighborhood a few
13		several forms of cancer, and I don't know if	13		doors down and were concerned that something like
14		there's ever been any kind of link between	14		this might happen. And it did.
15		environmental concerns and why he has those	15	Q	You mean the testing?
16		cancers. So I had directed them to talk to my	16	Α	Not just the testing. That there actually be
17		neighbor.	17		contaminants on our property. We were concerned
18	Q	Do you recall as you sit here today the names of	18		about that, and we were concerned about our
19		the people with whom you spoke?	19		property value.
20	A	No.	20	Q	So you contacted Ms. Lauer.
21	Q	Did you retain their cards, do you know?	21	Α	So we contacted Ms. Lauer.
22	A	Their cards are likely in my house somewhere.	22	Q	And did Ms. Lauer present to you a marketing
23	Q	Did they respond to your inquiries?	23		proposal?
24	A	Yes.	24	Α	She did.
25	Q	What did they say?	25	Q	Did you retain her to do a marketing proposal?
		Page 30			Page 32
1	A	To be honest, it sounded like Spanish. I mean, I	1	A	No.
2		think that I was emotionally heightened at the	2	Q	To your knowledge, what what there's a
3		time and a little overwhelmed. And so it was hard	3		what's called a comparative marketing analysis
4		for me to absorb what they were saying.	4		that says prepared for Eric and Elaina Bott, which
5	Q	Okay. Did you ever follow up with them?	5		is 106 through 134. Do you see that?
6	A	There was an informational meeting, I believe,	6	A	Yes.
7		scheduled for around that time.	7	Q	And you did not retain Ms. Lauer to do this?
8	Q	Okay. Did you attend?	8	A	I'm sorry. We retained her to do this. I thought
9	A	My husband did.	9		you meant to actually implement the marketing and
10	Q	Okay. So as you sit here today you can't recall	10	_	put the house on market.
11		what they told you.	11	Q	You asked her to do a comparative market analysis?
12	A	I recall that they told me that there was concern	12	A	Yes, we asked her to do this.
13		that the contamination was far spread than	13	Q	And is is 106 through 134 the results of her
14		initially thought. I recall that they had told us	14		market analysis?
15		that they were going to likely have people come	15	A	Yes.
16		perform samples at our house.	16	Q	And when you got it did you review it?
17		I recall that they said that there may	17	A	Yes.
18		or may not be health concerns. And I what I	18	Q	And did you take any action thereon?
19		remember most from the meeting was being given a		A	We discussed whether or not to move forward with
20		card and told to contact them if I had further	20 21	_	putting the house on the market.
21 22	0	questions.	22	Q A	And you decided not to? We decided not to.
23	Q	Okay. Thereafter were there tests performed at on your property?	23	Q	And 135 is the marketing proposal, correct?
24	A	Yes.	24	Ų	Page 135, that's the actual proposal?
	$\boldsymbol{h}$				
25	Q	And how many times, do you know?	25	Α	Yes.

12/12/12

		Page 33			Page 35
1	Q	And that preceded 106 through 134?	1	Q	Have you done anything other than retaining
2	A	Correct.	2		Ms. Lauer to ascertain the value of your home?
3	Q	And 136 through 138 is a guaranteed performance	3	A	No.
4		agreement. Do you see that?	4	Q	Have you retained an appraiser?
5	A	Yes.	5	Α	No.
6	Q	Did you You did not execute that?	6	Q	To your knowledge has any appraiser come through
7	A	No.	7		your house in the last 12 months?
8	Q	Okay. And then 139 is a listing flow chart,	8	A	No.
9		correct?	9	Q	Directing your attention to the answer to
10	A	Correct.	10		Interrogatory No. 4.
11	Q	And 140 through 147 are some marketing literature	11	A	Um-hum.
12		about Ms. Lauer?	12	Q	You state in there at the fourth paragraph that
13	A	Correct.	13		you no longer garden, correct?
14	Q	If I told you that according to public records	14	A	We do garden.
15		your mortgage your original mortgage in 2007	15	Q	But on different Explain to me how you've
16		was for \$133,600 and that it was increased to	16		changed your gardening.
17		\$152,096 in 2010, would that comport with your	17	Α	We use In a We use a box. So that we bring
18		recollection?	18		soil from off site, and we're a little bit more
19	A	Yes.	19	0	careful about what plants we select.
20	Q	Take a look at Exhibits 2 and 3, please. I don't	20	Q	And you've also built a raised sandbox for your
21		know which one I have in front of me is 2 and	21		child?
22		which one's 3. The one I have I'm looking at	22	Α	Correct. And the Wisconsin Department of Health
23		one that's seven pages before the signature line	23		also told us that he should only play in that
24 25	٨	of your husband. Page 7 is the signature line?	24 25	0	raised sandbox.
23	<u>A</u>			Q	Who from the Department of Did you say the
		Page 34	-		Page 36
1	Q	Yes.	1		Department of Health, or the DNR?
2	A	Yes.	2	A	I was told the Department of Health by my husband.
3 4	Q	It's on for both, I think.	3 4	Q	When did the Department of Health tell your
5	A	Oh, I'm sorry. Page 8 is mine and his signatures.	5		husband that your son should not play in the dirt in your yard, if you know?
6	Q	Okay. That's not going to help me either. Oh, I know how to do this. Take a look at the one that	6	A	I I don't know.
7		says Answers to Madison-Kipp Corporation's First	7	Q	Was it a time different than the time you
8		Set of Interrogatories. Which number is that?	8	Q	encountered them in early 2012?
9		It's on the first page.	9	A	Correct. It was at an open meeting here.
10	A	That's 2.	10	Q	Did anyone tell you that you should not grow
11	Q	Okay. Take a look at Exhibit 2, please. Do you	11	~	vegetables in the soil that's that's on your
12	~	recall answering these with your husband?	12		property?
13	A	Yes.	13	Α	I do not know.
	Q	Okay. Directing your attention to the answer to	14	Q	Do you know what led you to create a raised
14				_	
14 15		Interrogatory No. 3	15		garden?
	A	Interrogatory No. 3 Um-hum.	15 16	A	garden? There were several factors, most of which was
15	_	• •		A	=
15 16	A	Um-hum.	16	A	There were several factors, most of which was
15 16 17	A	Um-hum which is on page 3, you state in there that you	16 17	A	There were several factors, most of which was concerns over soil quality. The back land behind
15 16 17 18	A	Um-hum which is on page 3, you state in there that you no longer enjoy spending time in your home. Is	16 17 18	A Q	There were several factors, most of which was concerns over soil quality. The back land behind our garage doesn't grow anything, it's like a dead
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A Q	Um-hum which is on page 3, you state in there that you no longer enjoy spending time in your home. Is that still accurate? We hate our home. Okay. And you state that you believe your	16 17 18 19		There were several factors, most of which was concerns over soil quality. The back land behind our garage doesn't grow anything, it's like a dead zone, that's directly abutted to Kipp Corp.  And have you attempted to grow things back there in the past?
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A Q A	Um-hum which is on page 3, you state in there that you no longer enjoy spending time in your home. Is that still accurate? We hate our home. Okay. And you state that you believe your property has lost its value?	16 17 18 19 20 21		There were several factors, most of which was concerns over soil quality. The back land behind our garage doesn't grow anything, it's like a dead zone, that's directly abutted to Kipp Corp.  And have you attempted to grow things back there in the past?  Yes. The closer you get to our property line
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A Q A Q	Um-hum.  which is on page 3, you state in there that you no longer enjoy spending time in your home. Is that still accurate?  We hate our home.  Okay. And you state that you believe your property has lost its value?  Yes.	16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q	There were several factors, most of which was concerns over soil quality. The back land behind our garage doesn't grow anything, it's like a dead zone, that's directly abutted to Kipp Corp.  And have you attempted to grow things back there in the past?  Yes. The closer you get to our property line towards Kipp Corp., the worse it seems to get.
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A Q A Q	Um-hum which is on page 3, you state in there that you no longer enjoy spending time in your home. Is that still accurate? We hate our home. Okay. And you state that you believe your property has lost its value?	16 17 18 19 20 21	Q	There were several factors, most of which was concerns over soil quality. The back land behind our garage doesn't grow anything, it's like a dead zone, that's directly abutted to Kipp Corp.  And have you attempted to grow things back there in the past?  Yes. The closer you get to our property line

12/12/12

		Page 37			Page 39
1		you say you never let your son go in the basement	1	Q	Okay. Are you going to have it put in?
2		because of the PCE contamination?	2	Ā	Yes.
3	A	Yes.	3	Q	Did the Did you engage in any conversations
4	Q	Did someone tell you that your son shouldn't go	4		with the DNR about what the impact or affect will
5		into the basement because of the PCE?	5		be of the mitigation system?
6	A	I don't recall.	6	A	Not me, no.
7	Q	Directing your attention to page 4 of Exhibit 1.	7	Q	Your husband did?
8	À	Yes.	8	À	I'm not sure.
9	Q	Take a look at that. Is the information contained	9	Q	Okay. Since you've lived there have you seen any
10		therein, as far as you know, is that accurate?	10	_	conduct on the part of Madison-Kipp which you
11	A	With the exception that we do not have pets. Oh,	11		believe to be in violation of any laws?
12		this page.	12	A	Not that I've seen.
13	Q	I'm sorry.	13	Q	Have you Since you've lived there have you seen
14		MR. BERGER: Did you mean Exhibit 1?	14		any conduct that on the part of Madison-Kipp
15	BY	MR. BUSCH:	15		which you believe was intended to harm you?
16	Q	Yeah, I'm sorry. Exhibit 1.	16	A	Not that I've seen.
17	A	I'm sorry. Got you.	17	Q	Have you seen since you lived there
18	Q	Page 4.	18		MR. BERGER: Are you talking about
19	A	This is correct.	19		physic observed with eyes?
20	Q	That's correct? To your knowledge, was your	20		THE WITNESS: Physically
21		husband at all part of a group protest against any	21		MR. BUSCH: Yes, observed. Yes,
22		air permitting by Kipp in the early 2000s?	22		observed.
23	A	In the early 2000s?	23		MR. BERGER: Okay.
24	Q	Yes.	24	BY	YMR. BUSCH:
25	A	I don't know. Can you be more specific about a	25	Q	I'm just Since you've lived there, looking
		Page 38			Page 40
1		Page 38 year?	1		Page 40 across the
1 2	Q		1 2	A	
	Q A	year?		A	across the
2		year? 2001?	2	A	across the I have not physically observed with my eyeballs Kipp doing something that I believed to be illegal.
2 3	A	year? 2001? Highly unlikely.	2	A Q	across the I have not physically observed with my eyeballs Kipp doing something that I believed to be illegal. Okay. And have you observed, seen, anything that
2 3 4	A Q	year? 2001? Highly unlikely. 2002?	2 3 4		across the I have not physically observed with my eyeballs Kipp doing something that I believed to be illegal. Okay. And have you observed, seen, anything that you believe to be negligent conduct on the part of
2 3 4 5	A Q A	year? 2001? Highly unlikely. 2002? Highly unlikely.	2 3 4 5		across the I have not physically observed with my eyeballs Kipp doing something that I believed to be illegal. Okay. And have you observed, seen, anything that you believe to be negligent conduct on the part of MKC since you've lived there?
2 3 4 5 6	A Q A Q	year? 2001? Highly unlikely. 2002? Highly unlikely. 2003? Highly unlikely. And what's the basis of your statement that it was	2 3 4 5 6		across the I have not physically observed with my eyeballs Kipp doing something that I believed to be illegal. Okay. And have you observed, seen, anything that you believe to be negligent conduct on the part of MKC since you've lived there? I have very limited vision into the inner workings
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A Q A Q A	year? 2001? Highly unlikely. 2002? Highly unlikely. 2003? Highly unlikely. And what's the basis of your statement that it was highly unlikely?	2 3 4 5 6 7		across the I have not physically observed with my eyeballs Kipp doing something that I believed to be illegal. Okay. And have you observed, seen, anything that you believe to be negligent conduct on the part of MKC since you've lived there? I have very limited vision into the inner workings of Kipp and their physical location.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A Q A Q A	year? 2001? Highly unlikely. 2002? Highly unlikely. 2003? Highly unlikely. And what's the basis of your statement that it was highly unlikely? Not only was my husband in college at the time and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q A Q	across the I have not physically observed with my eyeballs Kipp doing something that I believed to be illegal. Okay. And have you observed, seen, anything that you believe to be negligent conduct on the part of MKC since you've lived there? I have very limited vision into the inner workings of Kipp and their physical location. So the answer's no?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A Q A Q A Q	year? 2001? Highly unlikely. 2002? Highly unlikely. 2003? Highly unlikely. And what's the basis of your statement that it was highly unlikely? Not only was my husband in college at the time and unlikely to protest, my husband generally does not	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q A Q A	across the I have not physically observed with my eyeballs Kipp doing something that I believed to be illegal. Okay. And have you observed, seen, anything that you believe to be negligent conduct on the part of MKC since you've lived there? I have very limited vision into the inner workings of Kipp and their physical location. So the answer's no? No.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A Q A Q A Q	year? 2001? Highly unlikely. 2002? Highly unlikely. 2003? Highly unlikely. And what's the basis of your statement that it was highly unlikely? Not only was my husband in college at the time and unlikely to protest, my husband generally does not protest.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q A Q A Q	across the I have not physically observed with my eyeballs Kipp doing something that I believed to be illegal. Okay. And have you observed, seen, anything that you believe to be negligent conduct on the part of MKC since you've lived there? I have very limited vision into the inner workings of Kipp and their physical location. So the answer's no? No. The answer is no?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A Q A Q A Q	year? 2001? Highly unlikely. 2002? Highly unlikely. 2003? Highly unlikely. And what's the basis of your statement that it was highly unlikely? Not only was my husband in college at the time and unlikely to protest, my husband generally does not protest. Okay. Do you have a mitigation system at all in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q A Q A Q A	across the  I have not physically observed with my eyeballs Kipp doing something that I believed to be illegal.  Okay. And have you observed, seen, anything that you believe to be negligent conduct on the part of MKC since you've lived there?  I have very limited vision into the inner workings of Kipp and their physical location.  So the answer's no?  No.  The answer is no? The answer is no.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A Q A Q A Q	year? 2001? Highly unlikely. 2002? Highly unlikely. 2003? Highly unlikely. And what's the basis of your statement that it was highly unlikely? Not only was my husband in college at the time and unlikely to protest, my husband generally does not protest. Okay. Do you have a mitigation system at all in your house?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q A Q A Q	across the  I have not physically observed with my eyeballs Kipp doing something that I believed to be illegal.  Okay. And have you observed, seen, anything that you believe to be negligent conduct on the part of MKC since you've lived there?  I have very limited vision into the inner workings of Kipp and their physical location. So the answer's no? No. The answer is no? The answer is no. Thank you. When did you first become aware of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A Q A Q A Q A A	year? 2001? Highly unlikely. 2002? Highly unlikely. 2003? Highly unlikely. And what's the basis of your statement that it was highly unlikely? Not only was my husband in college at the time and unlikely to protest, my husband generally does not protest. Okay. Do you have a mitigation system at all in your house? Not at this time.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q A Q A Q A	across the  I have not physically observed with my eyeballs Kipp doing something that I believed to be illegal.  Okay. And have you observed, seen, anything that you believe to be negligent conduct on the part of MKC since you've lived there? I have very limited vision into the inner workings of Kipp and their physical location. So the answer's no? No. The answer is no? The answer is no. Thank you. When did you first become aware of of any issues in regard to alleged pollution on
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A Q A Q A Q A Q	year? 2001? Highly unlikely. 2002? Highly unlikely. 2003? Highly unlikely. And what's the basis of your statement that it was highly unlikely? Not only was my husband in college at the time and unlikely to protest, my husband generally does not protest. Okay. Do you have a mitigation system at all in your house? Not at this time. Have you been offered one?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q A Q A Q	across the I have not physically observed with my eyeballs Kipp doing something that I believed to be illegal. Okay. And have you observed, seen, anything that you believe to be negligent conduct on the part of MKC since you've lived there? I have very limited vision into the inner workings of Kipp and their physical location. So the answer's no? No. The answer is no? The answer is no. Thank you. When did you first become aware of of any issues in regard to alleged pollution on your property from emanating from Madison-Kipp?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A Q A Q A Q A A Q A A Q A A Q A A A Q A A A A Q A	year? 2001? Highly unlikely. 2002? Highly unlikely. 2003? Highly unlikely. And what's the basis of your statement that it was highly unlikely? Not only was my husband in college at the time and unlikely to protest, my husband generally does not protest. Okay. Do you have a mitigation system at all in your house? Not at this time. Have you been offered one? Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q A Q A Q A A Q	across the  I have not physically observed with my eyeballs Kipp doing something that I believed to be illegal.  Okay. And have you observed, seen, anything that you believe to be negligent conduct on the part of MKC since you've lived there? I have very limited vision into the inner workings of Kipp and their physical location. So the answer's no? No. The answer is no? The answer is no. Thank you. When did you first become aware of of any issues in regard to alleged pollution on your property from emanating from Madison-Kipp? I'm not sure.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A Q A Q A Q A Q A Q A Q	year? 2001? Highly unlikely. 2002? Highly unlikely. 2003? Highly unlikely. And what's the basis of your statement that it was highly unlikely? Not only was my husband in college at the time and unlikely to protest, my husband generally does not protest. Okay. Do you have a mitigation system at all in your house? Not at this time. Have you been offered one? Yes. By whom?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q A Q A Q	across the  I have not physically observed with my eyeballs Kipp doing something that I believed to be illegal.  Okay. And have you observed, seen, anything that you believe to be negligent conduct on the part of MKC since you've lived there? I have very limited vision into the inner workings of Kipp and their physical location. So the answer's no? No. The answer is no? The answer is no. Thank you. When did you first become aware of of any issues in regard to alleged pollution on your property from emanating from Madison-Kipp? I'm not sure. Did Well, you've lived there since 2006,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A Q A Q A Q A Q A A Q A	year? 2001? Highly unlikely. 2002? Highly unlikely. 2003? Highly unlikely. And what's the basis of your statement that it was highly unlikely? Not only was my husband in college at the time and unlikely to protest, my husband generally does not protest. Okay. Do you have a mitigation system at all in your house? Not at this time. Have you been offered one? Yes. By whom? The DNR.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q A Q A Q A Q A Q	across the  I have not physically observed with my eyeballs Kipp doing something that I believed to be illegal.  Okay. And have you observed, seen, anything that you believe to be negligent conduct on the part of MKC since you've lived there?  I have very limited vision into the inner workings of Kipp and their physical location. So the answer's no? No.  The answer is no? The answer is no.  Thank you. When did you first become aware of of any issues in regard to alleged pollution on your property from emanating from Madison-Kipp? I'm not sure.  Did Well, you've lived there since 2006, correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A Q A Q A Q A Q A Q A Q	year? 2001? Highly unlikely. 2002? Highly unlikely. 2003? Highly unlikely. And what's the basis of your statement that it was highly unlikely? Not only was my husband in college at the time and unlikely to protest, my husband generally does not protest. Okay. Do you have a mitigation system at all in your house? Not at this time. Have you been offered one? Yes. By whom? The DNR. And what did the DNR tell you, if you recall, in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q A Q A Q A Q A Q A A A A A A A A A A A	across the  I have not physically observed with my eyeballs Kipp doing something that I believed to be illegal.  Okay. And have you observed, seen, anything that you believe to be negligent conduct on the part of MKC since you've lived there?  I have very limited vision into the inner workings of Kipp and their physical location.  So the answer's no?  No.  The answer is no?  The answer is no.  Thank you. When did you first become aware of of any issues in regard to alleged pollution on your property from emanating from Madison-Kipp? I'm not sure.  Did Well, you've lived there since 2006, correct?  Correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A Q A Q A Q A Q A Q A Q	year? 2001? Highly unlikely. 2002? Highly unlikely. 2003? Highly unlikely. And what's the basis of your statement that it was highly unlikely? Not only was my husband in college at the time and unlikely to protest, my husband generally does not protest. Okay. Do you have a mitigation system at all in your house? Not at this time. Have you been offered one? Yes. By whom? The DNR. And what did the DNR tell you, if you recall, in regard to the placement of that mitigation system?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q A Q A Q A Q A Q	across the  I have not physically observed with my eyeballs Kipp doing something that I believed to be illegal.  Okay. And have you observed, seen, anything that you believe to be negligent conduct on the part of MKC since you've lived there? I have very limited vision into the inner workings of Kipp and their physical location. So the answer's no? No. The answer is no? The answer is no. Thank you. When did you first become aware of of any issues in regard to alleged pollution on your property from emanating from Madison-Kipp? I'm not sure. Did Well, you've lived there since 2006, correct? Correct. Did you know before you moved in that there were
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A Q A Q A Q A Q A A Q A	year? 2001? Highly unlikely. 2002? Highly unlikely. 2003? Highly unlikely. And what's the basis of your statement that it was highly unlikely? Not only was my husband in college at the time and unlikely to protest, my husband generally does not protest. Okay. Do you have a mitigation system at all in your house? Not at this time. Have you been offered one? Yes. By whom? The DNR. And what did the DNR tell you, if you recall, in regard to the placement of that mitigation system? We had a contractor come over, and he's drawing up	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q A Q A Q A Q A Q A A A A A A A A A A A	across the  I have not physically observed with my eyeballs Kipp doing something that I believed to be illegal.  Okay. And have you observed, seen, anything that you believe to be negligent conduct on the part of MKC since you've lived there? I have very limited vision into the inner workings of Kipp and their physical location. So the answer's no? No. The answer is no? The answer is no. Thank you. When did you first become aware of of any issues in regard to alleged pollution on your property from emanating from Madison-Kipp? I'm not sure. Did Well, you've lived there since 2006, correct? Correct. Did you know before you moved in that there were potential issues in regard to pollution emanating
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A Q A Q A Q A Q A Q A A Q	year? 2001? Highly unlikely. 2002? Highly unlikely. 2003? Highly unlikely. And what's the basis of your statement that it was highly unlikely? Not only was my husband in college at the time and unlikely to protest, my husband generally does not protest. Okay. Do you have a mitigation system at all in your house? Not at this time. Have you been offered one? Yes. By whom? The DNR. And what did the DNR tell you, if you recall, in regard to the placement of that mitigation system? We had a contractor come over, and he's drawing up plans to put one in.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q A Q A Q A Q A Q A Q	across the  I have not physically observed with my eyeballs Kipp doing something that I believed to be illegal.  Okay. And have you observed, seen, anything that you believe to be negligent conduct on the part of MKC since you've lived there?  I have very limited vision into the inner workings of Kipp and their physical location.  So the answer's no?  No.  The answer is no?  The answer is no.  Thank you. When did you first become aware of of any issues in regard to alleged pollution on your property from emanating from Madison-Kipp? I'm not sure.  Did Well, you've lived there since 2006, correct?  Correct.  Did you know before you moved in that there were potential issues in regard to pollution emanating from Madison-Kipp?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A Q A Q A Q A Q A Q A Q	year? 2001? Highly unlikely. 2002? Highly unlikely. 2003? Highly unlikely. And what's the basis of your statement that it was highly unlikely? Not only was my husband in college at the time and unlikely to protest, my husband generally does not protest. Okay. Do you have a mitigation system at all in your house? Not at this time. Have you been offered one? Yes. By whom? The DNR. And what did the DNR tell you, if you recall, in regard to the placement of that mitigation system? We had a contractor come over, and he's drawing up	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q A Q A Q A Q A Q A A A A A A A A A A A	across the  I have not physically observed with my eyeballs Kipp doing something that I believed to be illegal.  Okay. And have you observed, seen, anything that you believe to be negligent conduct on the part of MKC since you've lived there? I have very limited vision into the inner workings of Kipp and their physical location. So the answer's no? No. The answer is no? The answer is no. Thank you. When did you first become aware of of any issues in regard to alleged pollution on your property from emanating from Madison-Kipp? I'm not sure. Did Well, you've lived there since 2006, correct? Correct. Did you know before you moved in that there were potential issues in regard to pollution emanating

12/12/12

		Page 41			Page 43
1	A	No.	1	Q	Okay.
2	Q	So it was sometime after 2006 that you became	2	~	(Exhibit No. 5 was marked for
3	×	aware of pollution issues.	3		identification.)
4	A	I would say approximately, I can't give you a	4	BY	MR. BUSCH:
5		date, around the time that our neighbors	5	Q	Ms. Bott, why don't you take a look at the ones
6		discovered issues and it became part of the	6		that are marked. They're the same, but it will be
7		knowledge in the neighborhood that they had	7		easier for identification. Looking at the first
8		mitigation systems I became aware.	8		page of Exhibit 4
9	Q	And is there any particular neighbor that you	9	A	4?
10	_	recall that led you to this understanding?	10	Q	Yeah. At the bottom, which one is marked as 4?
11	A	No.	11		Is it the Is it Madison-Kipp? Is it a request
12	Q	But it was the installation of mitigation systems	12		from Madison-Kipp?
13		that that prompted your first becoming aware of	13	A	Yes.
14		pollution issues?	14	Q	And then 5 is the ones from the United States Fire
15	A	My husband relaying to me about some sort of	15		Insurance?
16		neighborhood events.	16	A	Yes.
17	Q	Linked you you said?	17	Q	Okay.
18	A	I'm sorry?	18		MR. MANZKE: Are these document
19	Q	Did you say linked you? I didn't hear.	19		request responses?
20	A	My husband relayed to me	20		MR. BUSCH: Yes.
21	Q	Oh, related to you. I'm sorry.	21	Q	Directing your attention to Exhibit 4, and in
22	Α	that there were some concerns in the	22		particular to the response to Interrogatory 7, the
23		neighborhood for some of our neighbors and that	23		request is all documents concerning Class Members
24		they had mitigation systems now.	24		first awareness of the alleged contamination of
25	Q	Okay. And that was after 2006?	25		the environment surrounding Class Members'
		Page 42			Page 44
1	A	I'm sure, yes.	1		properties. Do you see that?
2	Q	Before 2010?	2	A	I'm sorry, where?
3	A	I'm not sure.	3	^	Page 4 of Exhibit 4, question 7. Do you see that?
4		Did you take any action when you heard that?		Q	0
	Q	Dia you take any action when you near a that.	4	Q	All documents concerning Class Members' first
5	Q A	At the time it seemed like something that was	4 5	Q	
5 6				Q	All documents concerning Class Members' first
		At the time it seemed like something that was	5	Q	All documents concerning Class Members' first awareness of the alleged contamination of the
6		At the time it seemed like something that was happening further down the block, and what had	5 6	Q	All documents concerning Class Members' first awareness of the alleged contamination of the environment surrounding Class Members' properties.
6 7		At the time it seemed like something that was happening further down the block, and what had been told to me was that it was probably related	5 6 7	Q A Q	All documents concerning Class Members' first awareness of the alleged contamination of the environment surrounding Class Members' properties. Do you see that request?
6 7 8 9 10	A	At the time it seemed like something that was happening further down the block, and what had been told to me was that it was probably related to a specific spill.	5 6 7 8 9	A	All documents concerning Class Members' first awareness of the alleged contamination of the environment surrounding Class Members' properties. Do you see that request?  Yes.
6 7 8 9 10 11	A Q	At the time it seemed like something that was happening further down the block, and what had been told to me was that it was probably related to a specific spill.  And who told you that?  My husband. So my understanding at the time was that it was isolated and accidental.	5 6 7 8 9 10	A	All documents concerning Class Members' first awareness of the alleged contamination of the environment surrounding Class Members' properties. Do you see that request?  Yes.  And then it says documents responsive to this request are from the DNR and the defendant are among those identified in response to request
6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A Q	At the time it seemed like something that was happening further down the block, and what had been told to me was that it was probably related to a specific spill.  And who told you that?  My husband. So my understanding at the time was that it was isolated and accidental.  Has your house ever been tested, to your	5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A Q	All documents concerning Class Members' first awareness of the alleged contamination of the environment surrounding Class Members' properties. Do you see that request?  Yes.  And then it says documents responsive to this request are from the DNR and the defendant are among those identified in response to request number 1 above. See that?
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A Q A Q	At the time it seemed like something that was happening further down the block, and what had been told to me was that it was probably related to a specific spill.  And who told you that?  My husband. So my understanding at the time was that it was isolated and accidental.  Has your house ever been tested, to your knowledge, for radon?	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A Q A	All documents concerning Class Members' first awareness of the alleged contamination of the environment surrounding Class Members' properties. Do you see that request?  Yes.  And then it says documents responsive to this request are from the DNR and the defendant are among those identified in response to request number 1 above. See that?  Yes.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A Q A	At the time it seemed like something that was happening further down the block, and what had been told to me was that it was probably related to a specific spill.  And who told you that?  My husband. So my understanding at the time was that it was isolated and accidental.  Has your house ever been tested, to your knowledge, for radon?  I don't know. I think geologically, though, radon	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A Q	All documents concerning Class Members' first awareness of the alleged contamination of the environment surrounding Class Members' properties. Do you see that request?  Yes.  And then it says documents responsive to this request are from the DNR and the defendant are among those identified in response to request number 1 above. See that?  Yes.  Do you recall You obviously went through and
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A Q A Q A	At the time it seemed like something that was happening further down the block, and what had been told to me was that it was probably related to a specific spill.  And who told you that?  My husband. So my understanding at the time was that it was isolated and accidental.  Has your house ever been tested, to your knowledge, for radon?  I don't know. I think geologically, though, radon isn't common in our area.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A Q A	All documents concerning Class Members' first awareness of the alleged contamination of the environment surrounding Class Members' properties. Do you see that request?  Yes.  And then it says documents responsive to this request are from the DNR and the defendant are among those identified in response to request number 1 above. See that?  Yes.  Do you recall You obviously went through and looked for documents that you believed to be
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A Q A Q	At the time it seemed like something that was happening further down the block, and what had been told to me was that it was probably related to a specific spill.  And who told you that?  My husband. So my understanding at the time was that it was isolated and accidental.  Has your house ever been tested, to your knowledge, for radon?  I don't know. I think geologically, though, radon isn't common in our area.  And have you when you say your area you mean	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A Q A Q	All documents concerning Class Members' first awareness of the alleged contamination of the environment surrounding Class Members' properties. Do you see that request? Yes. And then it says documents responsive to this request are from the DNR and the defendant are among those identified in response to request number 1 above. See that? Yes. Do you recall You obviously went through and looked for documents that you believed to be responsive to the document requests, correct?
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A Q A Q Q	At the time it seemed like something that was happening further down the block, and what had been told to me was that it was probably related to a specific spill.  And who told you that?  My husband. So my understanding at the time was that it was isolated and accidental.  Has your house ever been tested, to your knowledge, for radon?  I don't know. I think geologically, though, radon isn't common in our area.  And have you when you say your area you mean the the S-A-S-Y neighborhood?	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A Q A Q	All documents concerning Class Members' first awareness of the alleged contamination of the environment surrounding Class Members' properties. Do you see that request? Yes. And then it says documents responsive to this request are from the DNR and the defendant are among those identified in response to request number 1 above. See that? Yes. Do you recall You obviously went through and looked for documents that you believed to be responsive to the document requests, correct? We gathered any documents we could find, yes.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A Q A Q A	At the time it seemed like something that was happening further down the block, and what had been told to me was that it was probably related to a specific spill.  And who told you that?  My husband. So my understanding at the time was that it was isolated and accidental.  Has your house ever been tested, to your knowledge, for radon?  I don't know. I think geologically, though, radon isn't common in our area.  And have you when you say your area you mean the the S-A-S-Y neighborhood?  I would say so. In our general geology of our	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A Q A Q	All documents concerning Class Members' first awareness of the alleged contamination of the environment surrounding Class Members' properties. Do you see that request? Yes. And then it says documents responsive to this request are from the DNR and the defendant are among those identified in response to request number 1 above. See that? Yes. Do you recall You obviously went through and looked for documents that you believed to be responsive to the document requests, correct? We gathered any documents we could find, yes. In so doing, did you cull any e-mails off your
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A Q A Q Q	At the time it seemed like something that was happening further down the block, and what had been told to me was that it was probably related to a specific spill.  And who told you that?  My husband. So my understanding at the time was that it was isolated and accidental.  Has your house ever been tested, to your knowledge, for radon?  I don't know. I think geologically, though, radon isn't common in our area.  And have you when you say your area you mean the the S-A-S-Y neighborhood?  I would say so. In our general geology of our part of the city I believe that radon is not	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A Q A Q	All documents concerning Class Members' first awareness of the alleged contamination of the environment surrounding Class Members' properties. Do you see that request? Yes.  And then it says documents responsive to this request are from the DNR and the defendant are among those identified in response to request number 1 above. See that? Yes.  Do you recall You obviously went through and looked for documents that you believed to be responsive to the document requests, correct? We gathered any documents we could find, yes. In so doing, did you cull any e-mails off your computer?
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A Q A A Q A	At the time it seemed like something that was happening further down the block, and what had been told to me was that it was probably related to a specific spill.  And who told you that?  My husband. So my understanding at the time was that it was isolated and accidental.  Has your house ever been tested, to your knowledge, for radon?  I don't know. I think geologically, though, radon isn't common in our area.  And have you when you say your area you mean the the S-A-S-Y neighborhood?  I would say so. In our general geology of our part of the city I believe that radon is not common.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A Q A Q	All documents concerning Class Members' first awareness of the alleged contamination of the environment surrounding Class Members' properties. Do you see that request? Yes. And then it says documents responsive to this request are from the DNR and the defendant are among those identified in response to request number 1 above. See that? Yes. Do you recall You obviously went through and looked for documents that you believed to be responsive to the document requests, correct? We gathered any documents we could find, yes. In so doing, did you cull any e-mails off your computer? I did not receive any e-mails directly from my
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A Q A Q Q	At the time it seemed like something that was happening further down the block, and what had been told to me was that it was probably related to a specific spill.  And who told you that?  My husband. So my understanding at the time was that it was isolated and accidental.  Has your house ever been tested, to your knowledge, for radon?  I don't know. I think geologically, though, radon isn't common in our area.  And have you when you say your area you mean the the S-A-S-Y neighborhood?  I would say so. In our general geology of our part of the city I believe that radon is not common.  And have you done how did you come to that	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A Q A Q A A	All documents concerning Class Members' first awareness of the alleged contamination of the environment surrounding Class Members' properties. Do you see that request? Yes. And then it says documents responsive to this request are from the DNR and the defendant are among those identified in response to request number 1 above. See that? Yes. Do you recall You obviously went through and looked for documents that you believed to be responsive to the document requests, correct? We gathered any documents we could find, yes. In so doing, did you cull any e-mails off your computer? I did not receive any e-mails directly from my computer. I did not.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A Q A A Q Q A	At the time it seemed like something that was happening further down the block, and what had been told to me was that it was probably related to a specific spill.  And who told you that?  My husband. So my understanding at the time was that it was isolated and accidental.  Has your house ever been tested, to your knowledge, for radon?  I don't know. I think geologically, though, radon isn't common in our area.  And have you when you say your area you mean the the S-A-S-Y neighborhood?  I would say so. In our general geology of our part of the city I believe that radon is not common.  And have you done how did you come to that understanding?	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A Q A Q	All documents concerning Class Members' first awareness of the alleged contamination of the environment surrounding Class Members' properties. Do you see that request? Yes. And then it says documents responsive to this request are from the DNR and the defendant are among those identified in response to request number 1 above. See that? Yes. Do you recall You obviously went through and looked for documents that you believed to be responsive to the document requests, correct? We gathered any documents we could find, yes. In so doing, did you cull any e-mails off your computer? I did not receive any e-mails directly from my computer. I did not. Okay. None were produced. You say you do share a
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A Q A Q A Q A A	At the time it seemed like something that was happening further down the block, and what had been told to me was that it was probably related to a specific spill.  And who told you that?  My husband. So my understanding at the time was that it was isolated and accidental.  Has your house ever been tested, to your knowledge, for radon?  I don't know. I think geologically, though, radon isn't common in our area.  And have you when you say your area you mean the the S-A-S-Y neighborhood?  I would say so. In our general geology of our part of the city I believe that radon is not common.  And have you done how did you come to that understanding?  Discussions with my husband.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A Q A Q A Q Q	All documents concerning Class Members' first awareness of the alleged contamination of the environment surrounding Class Members' properties. Do you see that request? Yes. And then it says documents responsive to this request are from the DNR and the defendant are among those identified in response to request number 1 above. See that? Yes. Do you recall You obviously went through and looked for documents that you believed to be responsive to the document requests, correct? We gathered any documents we could find, yes. In so doing, did you cull any e-mails off your computer? I did not receive any e-mails directly from my computer. I did not. Okay. None were produced. You say you do share a computer with your husband?
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A Q A A Q Q A	At the time it seemed like something that was happening further down the block, and what had been told to me was that it was probably related to a specific spill.  And who told you that?  My husband. So my understanding at the time was that it was isolated and accidental.  Has your house ever been tested, to your knowledge, for radon?  I don't know. I think geologically, though, radon isn't common in our area.  And have you when you say your area you mean the the S-A-S-Y neighborhood?  I would say so. In our general geology of our part of the city I believe that radon is not common.  And have you done how did you come to that understanding?	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A Q A Q A A	All documents concerning Class Members' first awareness of the alleged contamination of the environment surrounding Class Members' properties. Do you see that request? Yes. And then it says documents responsive to this request are from the DNR and the defendant are among those identified in response to request number 1 above. See that? Yes. Do you recall You obviously went through and looked for documents that you believed to be responsive to the document requests, correct? We gathered any documents we could find, yes. In so doing, did you cull any e-mails off your computer? I did not receive any e-mails directly from my computer. I did not. Okay. None were produced. You say you do share a

12/12/12

		Page 45			Page 47
1	A	Correct.	1	Q	If you could go back to Exhibit 1.
2	Q	To your knowledge, are there still on his computer		Ā	Um-hum.
3		various e-mails relating to the contamination of	3	Q	And turn to page 93.
4		the environment surrounding your property?	4	Ā	Let me shuffle.
5	Α	I have no idea.	5	Q	There's a There are two handwritten notations.
6		MR. BUSCH: Okay. Norm, I'd ask that	6	A	Yes.
7		you would have a discussion with your client	7	Q	Do you know whose handwriting that is?
8		and and ask her or advise her that to the	8	À	That's my husband's. And it's not legible to me.
9		extent, whatever it is right now, not to do	9	Q	All right. So the one that started on the by
10		anything in regard to it. And and You and I'll	10		arsenic, that looks look it says could be
11		have a conversation about how we're going to	11		background. Do you see that?
12		handle this, but I would like to see some of these	12	A	Yes.
13		e-mails.	13	Q	Do you recall having any discussions with anyone
14		MR. MANZKE: And for the record, we	14		about what background meant?
15		made a request of all of our Class Members.	15	A	Whether or not it's prevalent in the area in
16		MR. BUSCH: I have no doubt about	16		general, I believe.
17		that. I know this And I know that the	17	Q	Okay. Do you recall having a discussion with
18		instructions are here, but things happen.	18		anybody other than your husband about the fact
19		These I'm not upset about it. I just want to	19		that that could be background?
20		make sure that to the extent we can cull them we	20	A	No, I do not. And I don't know that my husband
21		can in the future.	21		had had a conversation with anybody about that or
22		THE WITNESS: You're saying e-mails	22		was doing his own research.
23		that are not part of our attorney communications?	23	Q	Okay.
24		MR. BUSCH:	24		MR. BUSCH: Leah, I don't have any
25	Q	Yes, yes. I don't want any attorney And that's	25		more questions. I'm finished Ms. Bott, but there
		Page 46			Page 48
1		why I'm making the request to him because he knows	1		are other people in the room, so I can't speak for
2		what I'm asking for. I don't want any privileged	2		them.
3		ones.	3		EXAMINATION
4		MR. BERGER: Right.	4	BY	MR. WHITE:
5		MR. BUSCH: But there may be e-mails	5	Q	Good morning, Ms. Bott. My name is Chris White,
6		that go back in time in regard to this. And	6		and I'm one of the lawyers for Continental
7		particularly in the sense that I believe she	7		Casualty Company and Columbia Casualty Company,
8		testified that that her husband has been part	8		who are some of Madison-Kipp's insurance
9		of the has received e-mails from the S-A-S-Y	9		companies. I have very few questions for you.
10		Neighborhood Association. I'd just like to know	10	A	Okay.
11		how far back he's got them.	11	Q	Can you please tell me where you were living on
12		MR. BERGER: Okay.	12		January 1st, 1980?
13		THE WITNESS: Do you want unopened	13	A	Well, I was recently five days old. So I can tell
14	DV	e-mails as well?	14		you that my parents told me that I was likely
15		MR. BUSCH:	15	0	living in New Carlisle, Indiana.
16	Q	Pardon me?	16 17	Q	Okay.
17 18	A	Do you want unopened e-mails as well? Yeah. I mean, I I'll work with Norm on that.	17 18		MR. BERGER: Maybe you should ask were you living on January 1st.
19	Q	I guess the way I would like to do it is just make	19		MR. WHITE: Perhaps so.
20		sure that there's no destruction going forward.	20	Q	And how long did you remain living in New
21		MR. BERGER: Sure.	21	Ų	Carlisle, Pennsylvania (sic)?
22		MR. BUSCH: Why don't we take five	22	A	I'm not sure.
23		minutes.	23	Q	Approximately
24		(Recess taken.)	24	A	Two to three years.
25	BY	MR. BUSCH:	25	Q	And do you recall where your family moved when
	21			~	This as you recall where your failing moved when

12/12/12

		Page 49			Page 51
1		they moved from New Carlisle?	1		where there was wrapped asbestos pipes or seeing
2	A	To Granger, Indiana.	2		them in older homes, I know what it looks like.
3	Q	Okay. So that's approximately 1982 or 1983	3	Q	Have you ever had an inspector or contractor or
4	~	sometime?	4	V	anyone come and inspect your home for asbestos?
5	A	Um-hum.	5	A	I have not.
6	Q	How long did you remain living in Granger,	6	Q	Do you know if anyone else has?
7		Indiana?	7	À	I don't know.
8	A	You're about to get a very complicated history, my	8		MR. WHITE: Okay. That's all I have.
9		friend. Approximately 1989. 1988, 1989.	9		EXAMINATION
10	Q	Okay. So between January 1st, 1980 and 1988 or	10	BY	MS. KREIL:
11		1989 you lived either in New Carlisle,	11	Q	I just have a couple as well. My name is Jennifer
12		Pennsylvania	12		Kreil. I represent the United States Fire
13	A	New Carlisle, Indiana.	13		Insurance Company. We're another of
14	Q	Oh, New Carlisle, Indiana. I'm sorry. So between	14		Madison-Kipp's insurers.
15	_	those two dates you lived either in New Carlisle,	15		Earlier you mentioned that you told
16		Indiana or Granger, Indiana and nowhere else; is	16		the person from DHHS and DNR to talk to your
17		that correct?	17		neighbor who had had several different forms of
18	Α	I believe.	18		cancer?
19	Q	I believe you said earlier your husband first	19	A	He's been battling cancer for five or so years.
20		moved to Madison in 2002; is that right?	20	Q	What neighbor is that?
21	A	I wasn't sure. I believe he moved around He	21	A	The one who is So it's What's his name?
22		moved to Madison probably between 2000, 2001.	22		Steve. I don't know his last name.
23	Q	Okay. To your knowledge, did he live in Madison	23	Q	Okay. Do you know where Do you have his
24		at any time prior to 2000, 2001?	24		address?
25	A	No.	25	A	I could derive it. I think it's probably 108 or
		Page 50			Page 52
1	Q	Earlier you mentioned a dead zone on your property	1		112 South Marquette. I'm not sure.
2		as you approach the Madison-Kipp property line?	2	Q	Do you know if he's also a plaintiff in this in
3	A	Um-hum.	3		this class action?
4	Q	Where vegetables don't grow and	4	A	I'm not sure.
5	A	Things just don't grow, right.	5	Q	Okay. Just one last question. What was your
6	Q	When did you first discover this dead zone?	6		maiden name?
7	A	We have always noted that the land behind the	7	A	Anthes. A-N-T-H-E-S.
8		garage doesn't grow very well. And I believe I	8		MS. KREIL: All right. I don't have
9		tried to plant a raspberry bush back there in	9		any other questions.
10 11	0	around 2004, 2005.	10		MR. KRAMER: Nothing.
12	Q	Did you take any steps to figure out why it was that things weren't growing back there	11 12		MR. MANZKE: We're done.
13	A	No.	13		(At 10:15 a.m. the deposition concluded.)
14	Q	in 2004, 2005?	14		concluded.)
15	A	No.	15		
16	Q	Wasn't something that concerned you?	16		
17	A	We knew that Kipp was abutting the property.	17		
18	-	Whether or not there was contamination we did not	18		
19		think about.	19		
20	Q	Okay. And then lastly, do you know if your home	20		
21	-	contains asbestos?	21		
22	A	I'm 99 percent sure that it does not.	22		
23	Q	And what is the basis for that?	23		
	٨	Conversations I've had and looking at the pipes in	24		
24 25	A	our basement, and having grown up in one location	25		

12/12/12

	Page 53		Page 55
1	STATE OF WISCONSIN )	1	MEISSNER, TIERNEY, FISHER & NICHOLS,
2	MILWAUKEE COUNTY ) SS:	2	S.C., 111 East Kilbourn Avenue, 19th Flor, Milwaukee,
3	I, KIM M. PETERSON, CM, Registered	3	Wisconsin, 53202-6622, jbk@mtfn.com, by MS. JENNIFER A.B
4	Professional Reporter and Notary Public in and for the	4	KREIL, appeared on behalf of the United States Fire
5	State of Wisconsin, do hereby certify that the deposition	5	Insurance Company.
6	of ELAINA BOTT, was taken before me at the Goodman	6	DEUTCH & WEISS, LLC, 7670 North Port
7	Community Center, 149 Waubesa Street, Madison, Wisconsin,	7	Washington Road, Suite 200, Whitefish Bay, Wisconsin,
8	on the 12th day of December, 2012, commencing at 9	8	53217, charles.kramer@mweisslaw.net, by MR. CHARLES W.
9	o'clock in the forenoon.	9	KRAMER, appeared on behalf of Lumbermens Mutual Casualty
10	That it was taken at the instance of	10	Company.
11	the Defendants upon verbal interrogatories.	11 12	That said deponent, before examination, was sworn to testify the truth, the whole
12	That said deposition was taken to be	13	truth, and nothing but the truth relative to said cause.
13	used in an action now pending in the United States	14	That the foregoing is a full, true and
14	District Court, Western District of Wisconsin, Wisconsin,	15	correct record of all the proceedings had in the matter
15	in which KATHLEEN McHUGH AND DEANNA SCHNEIDER, et al.,	16	of the taking of said deposition, as reflected by my
16	are the Plaintiffs, MADISON-KIPP CORPORATION, CONTINENTAL	17	original machine shorthand notes taken at said time and
17	CASUALTY COMPANY, UNITED STATES FIRE INSURANCE COMPANY	18	place.
18	and ABC INSURANCE COMPANIES 1-50, are the Defendants,	19	
19	MADISON-KIPP CORPORATION, is the Cross-Claimant,	20	
20	CONTINENTAL CASUALTY COMPANY, COLUMBIA CASUALTY COMPA	NY <sup>21</sup>	Notary Public in and for
21	and UNITED STATES FIRE INSURANCE COMPANY, are the	22	the State of Wisconsin
22	Cross-Claim Defendants, CONTINENTAL CASUALTY COMPANY and	23	Dated this 18th day of December, 2012,
23	COLUMBIA CASUALTY COMPANY, are the Cross-Claim	24	Milwaukee, Wisconsin. My commission expires March 9, 2014.
24	Defendants, and LUMBERMENS MUTUAL CASUALTY COMPANY,	21	Halma-Jilek Reporting, Inc. (414) 271-4466
25	AMERICAN MOTORISTS INSURANCE COMPANY and JOHN DOE	25	Training their reporting, inc. (111) 271 1100
	Page 54		
1	INSURANCE COMPANIES 1-20, are the Third-Party Defendants		
2	APPEARANCES		
3	THE COLLINS LAW FIRM, P.C., 1770 North		
4	Park Street, Suite 200, Naperville, Illinois, 69563,		
5	ejm@collinslaw.com, by MR. EDWARD J. MANZKE, appeared	on	
6	behalf of the Plaintiffs.		
7	VARGA, BERGER, LEDSKY, HAYES & CASEY,		
8	125 South Wacher Drive, Suite 1250, Chicago, Illinois,		
9	60606-4473, nberger@vblhc.com, by MR. NORMAN B. BERGEI	k,	
10	appeared on behalf of the Plaintiffs.		
11	MICHAEL, BEST & FRIEDRICH, LLP, 100		
12	East Wisconsin Avenue, Milwaukee, Wisconsin, 53202,		
13	jabusch@michaelbest.com, by MR. JOHN A. BUSCH, appeared		
14	on behalf of the Madison-Kipp Corporation.		
15	MICHAEL, BEST & FRIEDRICH, LLP, 100		
16	South Pinckney Street, Suite 700, P.O. Box 1806, Madison,		
17	Wisconsin, 53701-1806, abianchi@michaelbest.com and		
18	lhziemba@michaelbest.com, by MR. ALBERT BIANCHI, JR. and	ì	
19	MS. LEAH H. ZIEMBA, appeared on behalf of the		
20	Madison-Kipp Corporation.		
21	TROUTMAN SANDERS, LLP, 55 West Monroe		
22	Street, Suite 3000, Chicago, Illinois, 60603-5758,		
23	christopher.white@troutmansanders.com, by MR. CHRISTOPHEI	ĸ	
24	WHITE, appeared on behalf of the Continental Casualty		
25	Company.		