12/17/12

Page	1	Page 3
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	1	S.C., 111 East Kilbourn Avenue, 19th Floor, Milwaukee,
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN	_ 2	Wisconsin, 53202-6622, by MR. MICHAEL J. COHEN, mjc@mtfn.com, appeared on behalf of United States Fire
KATHLEEN McHUGH, and DEANNA		Insurance Company.
SCHNEIDER, Individually and on behalf	3	1 2
of all persons similarly situated, Plaintiffs,		HALE & WAGNER, S.C., 839 North Jefferson
vs. CASE NO. 11-CV-724	4	Street, Suite 400, Milwaukee, Wisconsin, 53202, by MR.
MADISON-KIPP CORPORATION,	_	JACQUES C. CONDON, jcc@halewagner.com, appeared on
CONTINENTAL CASUALTY COMPANY, UNITED STATES FIRE INSURANCE	5 6	behalf of American Motorists Insurance Company. INDEX
COMPANY and ABC INSURANCE	7	KEVIN FLOREK
COMPANIES 1-50,	8	By Mr. Bianchi5
Defendants,	9	By Mr. White26
and MADISON-KIPP CORPORATION,	10	By Mr. Cohen30
Cross-Claimant,	11	EXHIBITS
vs.	12	No. 1 Discovery Documents; PLF_265_WAUB_000001
CONTINENTAL CASUALTY COMPANY,	14	to 0000045 No. 2 Answers to Defendant Madison-Kipp Corporation's
COLUMBIA CASUALTY COMPANY and UNITED STATES FIRE INSURANCE	15	First Set of Interrogatories5
COMPANY,	16	No. 3 Answers to Defendant United States Fire
	17	Insurance Company's First Set of
Cross-Claim Defendants,	18	Interrogatories5
(Caption continued)	_ 19	No. 4 Responses to Defendant Madison-Kipp
DEPOSITION OF	20 21	Corporation's First Set of Requests for
KEVIN FLOREK	22	Documents and Things5 No. 5 Responses to Defendant United States Fire
Madison, Wisconsin	23	Insurance Company's First Set of Requests
December 17, 2012 9:30 a.m. to 10:37 a.m.	24	for Production5
Kathy A. Halma, RPR	25	No. 6 Nauta Letter to Tellurian UCan, Inc.;
Page	2	Page 4
1 and	1	11-6-1246
2 CONTINENTAL CASUALTY COMPANY and COLUMBIA CASUALTY COMPANY,	2	No. 7 Nauta Letter to Tellurian UCan; Inc.;
3	3	11-30-1246
Cross-Claim Defendants	4	
and 5	5	(The original transcript was sent to Attorney
LUMBERMENS MUTUAL CASUALTY		Bianchi.)
6 COMPANY, AMERICAN MOTORISTS INSURANCE COMPANY, and JOHN DOE	6	
7 INSURANCE COMPANIES 1-20, 8 Third-Party Defendants.	7	(The original exhibits were retained by the court
9		reporter and attached to the original transcript.
10 A P P E A R A N C E S 11 THE COLLINS LAW FIRM, P.C, 1770 North	8 9	Copies were attached to all ordered copies.)
Park Street, Suite 200, Naperville, Illinois, 60563, by 12 MR. EDWARD J. MANZKE, ejmanzke@collinslaw.com, appeared	10	
on behalf of the Plaintiffs.	11	
VARGA, BERGER, LEDSKY, HAYES & CASEY,	12	
14 125 South Wacker Drive, Suite 1250, Chicago, Illinois,	13	
60606-4473, by MR. MICHAEL D. HAYES, mhayes@vblhc.com, appeared on behalf of the Plaintiffs.	14	
16 MICHAEL, BEST & FRIEDRICH, LLP, 100 East Wisconsin Avenue, Milwaukee, Wisconsin, 53202, by MR.	15	
17 JOHN A. BUSCH, jabusch@michaelbest.com, appeared on	16	
behalf of Madison-Kipp Corporation.	17	
MICHAEL, BEST & FRIEDRICH, LLP, One 19 South Pinckney Street, Suite 700, Madison, Wisconsin,	18	
53703, by MS. LEAH H. ZIEMBA and MR. ALBERT BIANCHI,	19	
20 JR., lhziemba@michaelbest.com and abianchi@michaelbest.com, appeared on behalf of	20	
21 Madison-Kipp Corporation. 22 TROUTMAN SANDERS, LLP, 55 West Monroe	21	
Street, Suite 3000, Chicago, Illinois, 60603-5758, by	22 23	
23 MR. CHRISTOPHER H. WHITE,	⊿3	
christopher.white@troutmansanders.com. appeared on	24	l l
christopher.white@troutmansanders.com, appeared on behalf of the Defendant Continental Casualty Company. MEISSNER, TIERNEY, FISHER & NICHOLS,	24 25	

12/17/12

1 TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS 2 (Exhibits 1 through 5 were marked.) 3 KEVIN FLOREK, called as a witness herein 4 by the Defendants, after having been first duly 1 marketing, whatever. I have worked all different programs. 2 different programs. 3 Q And can you just tell me some backgraph information? You kind of touched on the same backgraph.	1 the
2 (Exhibits 1 through 5 were marked.) 2 different programs. 3 KEVIN FLOREK, called as a witness herein 3 Q And can you just tell me some backgr	
3 KEVIN FLOREK, called as a witness herein 3 Q And can you just tell me some backgr	
	ound
5 sworn, was examined and testified as follows: 5 bit, but can you give me an overview b	
6 EXAMINATION 6 of what Tellurian is?	ackground
7 BY MR. BIANCHI: 7 A Sure. It's a human service company,	
8 Q Good morning. 8 not-for-profit, 501(c)(3). We serve per	nle who
9 A Hi. 9 are homeless, mentally ill and that suff	-
10 Q Would you please state your full name and spell 10 addictions, drug and alcohol addictions	
your last name for the record. 11 got a full continuum of care from output 12 got a full continuum of care from output 13 got a full continuum of care from output 14 got a full continuum of care from output 15 got a full continuum of care from output 16 got a full continuum of care from output 17 got a full continuum of care from output 18 got a full continuum of care from output 19 got a full continuum of care from output 19 got a full continuum of care from output 10 got a full continuum of care from output 10 got a full continuum of care from output 10 got a full continuum of care from output 10 got a full continuum of care from output 11 got a full continuum of care from output 12 got a full continuum of care from output 13 got a full continuum of care from output 14 got a full continuum of care from output 15 got a full continuum of care from output 16 got a full continuum of care from output 17 got a full continuum of care from output 18 got a full continuum of care fr	
12 A Yes, Kevin Florek, F, as in Frank, L-O-R-E-K. 12 day treatment to residential inpatient all	
i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	
	e a starr
	G,
18 Q And what does the "UCan" stand for? Are those 18 Q And what is the property at 265 Waul	besa Street
19 dots in between that? 19 here in Madison used for?	c
20 A No. It was back in the '70s when people were 20 A It's a rental unit that we use to put son	ne of our
21 coming back from Vietnam, my uncle who started 21 patients in.	
the company was working with Vietnam vets and 22 Q And about how many patients at one to the company was working with Vietnam vets and 22 And about how many patients at one to the company was working with Vietnam vets and 22 And about how many patients at one to the company was working with Vietnam vets and 22 And about how many patients at one to the company was working with Vietnam vets and 22 And about how many patients at one to the company was working with Vietnam vets and 22 And about how many patients at one to the company was working with Vietnam vets and 22 And about how many patients at one to the company was working with Vietnam vets and 22 And about how many patients at one to the company was working with Vietnam vets and 22 And about how many patients at one to the company was working with Vietnam vets and 22 And about how many patients at one to the company was working with Vietnam vets and 22 And about how many patients at the company was at the company	ime?
post traumatic stress and heroin addiction, and 23 A Four.	
24 it meant "you can do it." So Tellurian means 24 Q Four at a time in that house?	
25 from the earth, and UCan, so it's sort of a 25 A Yes.	
Page 6	Page 8
1 hippie thing from the '70s. 1 Q No more?	
2 Q And what's your educational background? 2 A No.	
3 A I have a bachelor's degree from The Ohio State 3 Q Sometimes less?	
4 University, and an MBA in healthcare finance from 4 A It's always four. There's a waiting list	
5 Cardinal Stritch. 5 Q Sorry. You have to give verbal answ	ers. Sorry.
6 Q And what's your BA in? 6 A Yes, I know.	
7 A Police science, criminal justice. 7 Q And is there a specific amount of times	
8 Q How about your work experience? 8 patients stay in the Waubesa Street pr	
9 A For the last 19 years I have been with Tellurian. 9 A As long as possible. Hopefully years	
10 Numerous jobs. 10 Q So you would hope to have the same	patients
11 Q And what's your current title there? 11 living there for years?	
12 A President and CEO. 12 A Correct.	
13 Q And what are your job responsibilities right now? 13 Q And do you know how long the curre	ent four
14 A Oversight of all of Tellurian's services. 14 patients have been in the Waubesa Str	eet
15 Q What exactly are those services? 15 property?	
16 A Fiscal, legal, clinical, marketing, development. 16 A Currently there's not four. I'm not ex	actly sure
17 Q How long have you been the president? 17 on the one that's left, how long. It's be	een more
18 A Four years, roughly. 18 than a year or two.	
19 Q And who was president before you? 19 Q So three out of the four	
20 A My uncle, Mike Florek. 20 A Are gone.	
21 Q And what was your responsibility four years ago 21 Q are gone. So right now there's only	y one
before you became president? 22 person who lives there?	
23 A I have had different jobs over the last prior 23 A Correct.	
to that 15 years, anywhere from kitchen to 24 Q And that person's been there for over	a year?
25 maintenance to clinical to case management. So 25 A Correct.	

12/17/12

		Page 9			Page 11
1	Q	And when did the other three leave, do you know?	1		use the basement, if at all?
2	_	We, upon getting word, within, I don't know,	2		MR. MANZKE: Just object on foundation.
3		roughly a year, of some contamination in the area	3		If you know.
4		we started the process of immediately discharging	4		THE WITNESS: Pardon me?
5		all four folks.	5		MR. MANZKE: You can answer it, if you
6	Q		6		know how they used the basement.
7	À		7		THE WITNESS: I don't know.
8	Q		8	BY	MR. BIANCHI:
9	A		9		You don't know if they used the basement, so they
10	Q	•	10		may never have used the basement, as far as you
11	A	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	11		are aware?
12	Q	•	12	Α	I know there was storage down there, laundry.
13	•	patient safety?	13		Could there have been anything else down there
14	Α	We have a board of 12, roughly, and they had	14		that they would have used it for?
15		heard of kind of what was going on in the papers.	15	Α	Not that I'm aware of.
16		None of us were experts, none of them were	16	Q	Do you know how the home is heated?
17		experts on the board, but we felt or they felt	17	À	
18		that it was in the patients' best interest to err	18	Q	Do you know if it's forced air or radiators?
19		on the side of safety. Sorry about the choppy	19	Ā	=
20		sentence.	20	Q	•
21	0	When was that decision made?	21	•	Exhibit 1. I'm going to represent to you that
22	_	Roughly about a year ago.	22		these are the documents that we received from you
23	Q		23		in response to our document request. Is that
24	~	read in the news?	24		correct?
25	Α	Yes.	25	A	
		Page 10			Page 12
1	Q		1	0	Do you remember receiving document requests
2	A		2	Q	probably from your lawyers saying, you know,
3	А	time, no.	3		produce certain documents that Madison-Kipp or
4	Q		4		other parties in the case were asking for? Do
5	A	•	5		you recall that?
6	Q		6	Δ	I don't recall.
7	A		7	Q	
8	Q		8	Q	that you produced in response to those discovery
9	V	that's living in that home?	9		requests?
10	A		10		MR. MANZKE: Just to interrupt for a
			11		minute, I asked Mr. Florek to go back and look
1 11		Do you know his of her hame.			initiate, I asked Wil. I forck to go back and fook
11	Q	-	12		and find anything that he had in addition to what
12	Q A	I do not.	12 13		and find anything that he had in addition to what we have already produced. He did come this
12 13	Q	I do not. Were you involved when the home was originally	13		we have already produced. He did come this
12 13 14	Q A Q	I do not. Were you involved when the home was originally purchased?	13 14		we have already produced. He did come this morning with two letters from the RJN, one dated
12 13 14 15	Q A Q A	I do not. Were you involved when the home was originally purchased? No.	13 14 15		we have already produced. He did come this morning with two letters from the RJN, one dated November 6th and one dated November 30th. I
12 13 14 15 16	Q A Q A Q	I do not. Were you involved when the home was originally purchased? No. Do you know when it was purchased?	13 14 15 16		we have already produced. He did come this morning with two letters from the RJN, one dated November 6th and one dated November 30th. I apologize, I just got them this morning, but
12 13 14 15 16 17	Q A Q A Q A	I do not. Were you involved when the home was originally purchased? No. Do you know when it was purchased? No.	13 14 15 16 17		we have already produced. He did come this morning with two letters from the RJN, one dated November 6th and one dated November 30th. I apologize, I just got them this morning, but these are things that I'm sure you already have.
12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q A Q A Q	I do not. Were you involved when the home was originally purchased? No. Do you know when it was purchased? No. Do you know when the other the three patients	13 14 15 16 17 18		we have already produced. He did come this morning with two letters from the RJN, one dated November 6th and one dated November 30th. I apologize, I just got them this morning, but these are things that I'm sure you already have. If you want to take a look at them, here they
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q A Q A Q A	I do not. Were you involved when the home was originally purchased? No. Do you know when it was purchased? No. Do you know when the other the three patients that have since left, when they left their	13 14 15 16 17 18		we have already produced. He did come this morning with two letters from the RJN, one dated November 6th and one dated November 30th. I apologize, I just got them this morning, but these are things that I'm sure you already have. If you want to take a look at them, here they are.
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q A Q A Q A	I do not. Were you involved when the home was originally purchased? No. Do you know when it was purchased? No. Do you know when the other the three patients that have since left, when they left their location?	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20		we have already produced. He did come this morning with two letters from the RJN, one dated November 6th and one dated November 30th. I apologize, I just got them this morning, but these are things that I'm sure you already have. If you want to take a look at them, here they are. MR. BIANCHI: Sure.
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q A Q A Q A	I do not. Were you involved when the home was originally purchased? No. Do you know when it was purchased? No. Do you know when the other the three patients that have since left, when they left their location? Roughly a year ago.	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21		we have already produced. He did come this morning with two letters from the RJN, one dated November 6th and one dated November 30th. I apologize, I just got them this morning, but these are things that I'm sure you already have. If you want to take a look at them, here they are. MR. BIANCHI: Sure. THE WITNESS: What was your question
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q A Q A Q A	I do not. Were you involved when the home was originally purchased? No. Do you know when it was purchased? No. Do you know when the other the three patients that have since left, when they left their location? Roughly a year ago. So almost immediately after the decision was	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	BY	we have already produced. He did come this morning with two letters from the RJN, one dated November 6th and one dated November 30th. I apologize, I just got them this morning, but these are things that I'm sure you already have. If you want to take a look at them, here they are. MR. BIANCHI: Sure. THE WITNESS: What was your question now?
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q A Q A Q A Q	I do not. Were you involved when the home was originally purchased? No. Do you know when it was purchased? No. Do you know when the other the three patients that have since left, when they left their location? Roughly a year ago. So almost immediately after the decision was made?	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	_	we have already produced. He did come this morning with two letters from the RJN, one dated November 6th and one dated November 30th. I apologize, I just got them this morning, but these are things that I'm sure you already have. If you want to take a look at them, here they are. MR. BIANCHI: Sure. THE WITNESS: What was your question now? MR. BIANCHI:
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q A Q A Q A	I do not. Were you involved when the home was originally purchased? No. Do you know when it was purchased? No. Do you know when the other the three patients that have since left, when they left their location? Roughly a year ago. So almost immediately after the decision was made? Correct.	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	BY Q	we have already produced. He did come this morning with two letters from the RJN, one dated November 6th and one dated November 30th. I apologize, I just got them this morning, but these are things that I'm sure you already have. If you want to take a look at them, here they are. MR. BIANCHI: Sure. THE WITNESS: What was your question now? MR. BIANCHI:

12/17/12

		Page 13			Page 15
1		request for certain documents. So you brought	1		right now?
2		these here this morning. Earlier we had asked	2	Α	The current plan is to get the final patient out
3		for documents, and that's what we had received.	3		to a safe environment or a rentable place. It's
4		I'm trying to confirm that that's what you	4		hard to find affordable housing in Madison.
5		brought.	5	0	And what will you use the 265 Waubesa property
6	Α	You are asking if you received these from me	6	×	for?
7		directly?	7	A	
8	O	We received them from your attorney, but you	8	0	Have you tried to sell it?
9	•	would have	9	À	I'm not sure.
10	A		10	0	Do you know who would know?
11	Q	**	11	_	Oh, have I tried to sell it. I thought you said
12	_	Yes.	12		would you try to sell it.
13	Q		13	Q	· · · ·
14	•	today. What did you do to search for these	14	À	-
15		documents that you brought with you today?	15	Q	Do you intend to try and sell it?
16	Α	I went around the company looking for any type of		À	
17		information that we had. I have received, to the	17	Q	You haven't talked to any realtors?
18		best of my knowledge, nothing on this case at	18	Ā	-
19		all, so I sent my maintenance man over to the	19	Q	
20		house to check to see if there were any documents	20		Madison-Kipp was right next to their property?
21		laying around that maybe were sent to some of our	21	Α	I can't speculate what they knew when they bought
22		patients that live there. You know, we have a	22		it. I'm assuming that if you look out your front
23		lot of programs. This stuff was never sent to my	23		door, you would see it, but
24		attention directly. I was able to find those two	24	O	Had it ever been a discussion before this
25		documents from two different folks.	25		decision that was made a year ago about any
		Page 14			Page 16
1	O	As far as you are aware, this is all the	1		effect that Madison-Kipp being right next to the
2	~	documents that you have that would be related	2		property would have?
3		to	3	Α	Not that I'm aware of.
4	Α	Yes. Yep.	4	Q	
5	Q	•	5	À	
6	•	been living there if they received anything in	6	O	Was there any belief that the property was worth
7		the mail?	7		any less previously because it was near
8	Α	Yes. Not me directly, no, but my staff did,	8		Madison-Kipp?
9		correct.	9	A	No.
10	Q	Okay. And they didn't receive anything?	10	Q	Do you know was there any knowledge about any
11	Ā		11	`	kind of potential contamination or pollution
12	Q		12		issues previous to this discussion that the board
13	`	potential environmental contamination?	13		had a year ago?
14	A	No.	14	A	No.
15	Q		15	Q	No other information or letters or anything like
16	-	association SASY?	16	-	that?
17	A	No.	17	A	Not that I'm aware of.
18	Q	So no emails from them, SASY, then?	18	Q	And so do you know I know you said that people
19	A	No.	19		kind of saw the news and the newspaper. Was that
20	Q	I may have already asked you this, but do you	20		how Tellurian became aware of the pollution and
21		know why this specific property was purchased	21		contamination allegations?
22		back in the '90s for Tellurian's use?	22	A	
23	A	Yes, it is the same as today's use. It's a place	23	Q	So none of the patients contacted you and said
24		for Tellurian patients to live.	24		anything?
25	Q	And what's Tellurian's plans for the location	25	Α	Not me directly.

12/17/12

		Page 17			Page 19
1	Q	Or anyone in the company?	1		talked about using that as collateral.
2	_	I'm not sure.	2	0	I will give you what's been marked as Exhibit
3	Q	Do you know who would know that?	3	•	No. 2. Can you read at the very bottom there
4	_	Case managers.	4		what kind of the heading says?
5	Q	Would you have documents that would have shown	5	Α	Class Member Tellurian?
6	•	that?	6		
7	Α	It's possible. Each person has a case manager.	7	_	"Class Member (Tellurian UCan, Inc.) Answers to
8	Q		8		Defendant Madison-Kipp Corporation's First Set of
9		them about why they were moving?	9		Interrogatories."
10	Α	Yes.	10	O	
11	Q	Did they have any statements or complaints?	11	Ā	
12	•	Concern for their safety and health.	12	0	
13	Q	And where did they obtain that concern from, do	13		actually numbered, but it's the verification
14	•	you know?	14		page.
15	A	Don't know.	15	A	Mine is numbered. Oh, 7.
16	Q	Between the time that the property was purchased	16	Q	·
17		in around 1992 and recently, has there been any	17		signature?
18		kind of refinancing of the property that you are	18	A	That's my signature, yes.
19		aware of?	19	Q	
20	A	I wish, but no.	20		you filled out or at least provided the answers
21	Q	Have you guys taken additional money out of the	21		to?
22		property, additional equity?	22		MR. MANZKE: These are the
23	A	I wish, but no.	23		interrogatories that we did via email, Kevin.
24	Q	So you don't recall if in 2005 there was a new	24		THE WITNESS: Okay.
25		mortgage on the property?	25	BY	MR. BIANCHI:
		Page 18			Page 20
1	Α	No. That was before my time.	1	0	I'm going to have you look at No. 3.
2	Q	How about 2011?	2		No. 3. There's a lot of No. 3s.
3	_	Not that I'm aware of. Are you saying there is	3	Q	I'm sorry. Question No. 3. It's on Page 3.
4		or there was?	4		Sorry.
5	Q	I think there are some documents that showed that	5	A	Okay.
6		there was a \$75,000 mortgage taken out on the	6	Q	Do you see Answer No. 3 talks about Tellurian has
7		property in November of 2011.	7		lost the full use of the property?
8	A	No, that doesn't seem correct to me at all.	8	A	Yes.
9	Q	Have you ever heard of the company Forward	9	Q	How is that exactly?
10		Community Investments Corporation?	10	A	We don't feel safe using this We don't feel
11	A	Yes.	11		safe for our patients living in this facility.
12	Q	There was no new mortgage taken out with them?	12		We don't feel safe for them.
13	A	Yes, but not on the Waubesa property.	13	Q	,
14	Q	What was that mortgage for?	14		goes on to the answer on the next page, it says
15	A	You mean the Forward Community?	15		that the property has lost its value. How did
16	Q	Correct.	16		you come to know that the property lost its
17	A	,	17		value?
18		Waubesa. Tellurian is more, obviously, than just	18	A	
19		Waubesa.	19	Q	But you haven't had any appraisals of the
20	Q	1 1	20		property recently?
21		that line of credit?	21	A	Correct.
22	A	I would be guessing, but it would be the Teresa	22	Q	,
23		McGovern Center in Monona, which is our main	23		property, you haven't had anybody examine that or
24		campus. I would be shocked if it was Waubesa.	24		provide you any information on the change in the
25		When I talked to my banker, they laughed when we	25		value of the property?

12/17/12

		Page 21			Page 23
1	Α	Correct.	1		currently being paid by the gentleman who's
2		I show you what's been marked as Exhibit No. 3.	2		living there or the person who's living there?
3	~	Read that bottom little part again.	3	А	I do not.
4	Α	Got it.	4		Do you know what you guys charged in the past,
5	Q		5	Q	say within the last two years?
6	Ā	"Class Member (Tellurian UCan, Inc.) Answers to	6	А	It's a case-by-case basis depending on Well,
7		Defendant United States Fire Insurance Company's	7	11	there's a needs assessment, and it depends on
8		First Set of Interrogatories."	8		what their financial what they can afford
9	Q	You recognize these as the interrogatories that	9		financially.
10	~	you provided the answers to, as well?	10	0	Okay. So different patients may pay different
11	Α	Just give me a second.	11	×	amounts of rent?
12	Q	Sure.	12	Α	Correct.
13	_	Okay. Yes.	13	0	
14	Q	•	14	×	what it would have expected to be a fair rental
15		bottom piece aloud, please.	15		value? I mean, do you guys get subsidized?
16	Α	"Class Member (Tellurian UCan, Inc.) Responses to		Α	Yes, we do fundraising and we have other cost
17		Defendant Madison-Kipp Corporation's First Set of	17		centers and, you know, sort of a mission critical
18		Requests for Documents and Things."	18		program where we think it's important to find
19	0	Just take a look at the document, and does this	19		housing for people that other people typically
20	×	appear to be the responses to document requests	20		would not rent to.
21		that you provided, as well?	21	0	
22	Α	Yes.	22	•	that we want to get, it just depends
23	Q	Same thing with Exhibit 5, please.	23	Α	No, the decision is purely clinical/doing the
24	A	"Class Member (Tellurian UCan, Inc.) Responses to			right thing. It can be considered a loss, too,
25		Defendant United States Fire Insurance Company's	25		if need be.
		Page 22			Page 24
1		First Set of Requests for Production."	1	0	Have you or anyone you know of at Tellurian seen
2	Q	-	2		Madison-Kipp act in any way, and when I say see,
3		those requests for production?	3		actually seen Madison-Kipp act in any way that
4	Α	Yes.	4		would harm the property?
5	Q		5	A	No.
6	•	3.	6	Q	Or seen or observed Madison-Kipp do anything
7	A	Of which one?	7		illegal?
8	Q	Of the last sorry the last one, Exhibit	8		No.
9	_	No. 5.	9		MR. BIANCHI: Let's take a brief break.
10	A	Okay. Page 3. Got it. Question 3.	10		(A recess was taken.)
11	Q	Yes. And this talks about documents identifying	11	BY	MR. BIANCHI:
12		the people that would live there. The response	12	Q	Just a couple more questions. You said that you
13		was that you guys don't have any responsive	13		moved the initial three patients out about a year
14		documents, and just a little bit ago you	14		ago. Do you know an exact date or would someone
15		mentioned there was a possibility that there	15		have an exact date for when they were moved out?
16		might be some documents with case management	16	A	I'm not sure. I'm not sure.
17		people. Do you think that's still a possibility?	17	Q	You're not sure if you would have an exact date
18	A	It's highly unlikely, but it's possible.	18		for when they moved out?
19	Q	•	19	A	Correct.
20		documents for this in responding to Exhibit 5?	20	Q	Would you have the month, at least, when they
21	A		21		moved out?
22	Q		22	A	1
23		that process work? Like who pays the rent?	23	Q	Do you know if that would be a person or a
24	A		24		document that would have that information?
25	Q	Do you know what rent was being paid or is	25	A	It could be either.

12/17/12

		Page 25			Page 27
1	Q	And do you know anything about any sampling of	1		the property on Waubesa Street in July of 1992?
2	×	the indoor air or the sub-slab at the home for	2		Yes.
3		any kind of pollutants or contamination?	3		Is that, in fact, the date on which Tellurian
4	Α	Can you explain what you mean by that?	4	~	acquired the property?
5	Q	Did you receive any results or	5	Α	I guess so, yeah.
6	Ā		6		Do you have any reason to believe that Page 4 of
7	Q	Do you know if anyone was left in the house at	7		Exhibit 1 is inaccurate?
8	•	any point to do that kind of testing?	8		No.
9	Α	I heard a rumor that somebody showed up and	9	O	According to this document, the property was
10		freaked one of our patients/tenants, out, but I	10	•	purchased from a Jack and Marsha Neilson. Do you
11		don't know who it was or what it was about.	11		know the Neilson family at all?
12	O	So as far as you are aware, no one has come in	12		Nope.
13		and done any sampling?	13		Do you know if the Neilson family is affiliated
14	Α	Not that I'm aware of.	14		in any way with Tellurian?
15	Q		15		Not to my knowledge.
16		to get what's been referred to as a mitigation	16	Q	
17		system, something to mitigate any kind of	17	•	moved out in the last year, do you know how long
18	Α	Not that I'm aware of.	18		each of them had lived in the property prior to
19	Q		19		moving out?
20	•	Tellurian's view of using the home?	20		No.
21	A	I have no idea.	21	Q	When Tellurian is placing individuals in
22	Q	And do you know has there ever been any testing	22		residential homes like the Waubesa Street
23		done for radon?	23		property, is there a particular set of criteria
24	A	Not that I'm aware of.	24		it looks at to decide whom to place there?
25	Q	Then, again, as far as the line of credit that	25	A	I don't know what you mean.
		Page 26			Page 28
1		was taken out last November, you believe that it	1	Q	What I'm getting at is are the patients that live
2		was not that the collateral was not 265	2		there of a particular type? And by that I mean
3		Waubesa?	3		are they generally people with mental disorders
4	A	Correct. You believe it is?	4		or people with addiction problems or people with
5	Q	Yes.	5		some other condition?
6	A	Wow.	6	A	Usually mental health issues of some sort.
7		MR. BIANCHI: I'm all done. There may	7	Q	And is it effectively a place for people that
8		be questions from some of the others.	8		have progressed far enough in their treatment
9		EXAMINATION	9		that they no longer need inpatient care and can
10	BY	MR. WHITE:	10		have a somewhat independent living arrangement?
11	Q	Good morning, Mr. Florek. My name is Chris	11	A	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
12		White. I'm a lawyer for Continental Casualty	12		psychiatrist, whoever is involved, to not need
13		Company and Columbia Casualty Company, which are			inpatient care.
14		two of Madison-Kipp's insurance companies.	14	Q	Just a minute ago you were asked about whether
15	A	1 3 7	15		there were plans to install an in-home mitigation
16	Q	Correct.	16		system on the Waubesa Street property. Who at
17	A	Oh, okay.	17		Tellurian would make the decision as to whether
18	Q	And these two gentlemen represent other insurance	18		or not to install such a system?
19		companies of Madison-Kipp's.	19	_	I would.
20	A		20	Q	And has anybody made any recommendations or
21	Q	I have just a very few questions for you. First	21		discussed this issue with you at all?
22		of all, if you could look at Exhibit 1, the last	22	_	No.
23		page of Exhibit 1.	23	Q	Approximately how many other rental properties
24	A	Okay.	24 25		like the Waubesa Street property does Tellurian own?
25	O	According to this document, Tellurian acquired	·) h		OWn'/

12/17/12

		Page 29			Page 31
1	Α	Four similar use facilities.	1	0	And you are unaware of any sub-slab testing
2	Q	And are those all located in Madison?	2	~	underneath the slab of the foundation of the
3	Ā		3		home, is that correct? Yes?
4	Q		4	Α	Yes.
5	×	that you own tested for radon?	5	0	And was there, to the best of your knowledge, a
6	Α	I would guess, but I'm not sure. I don't know.	6		request made to do that type of sampling at this
7		I don't know.	7		property?
8	Q		8	Α	Request on our part to do it?
9		residential properties are tested to see if they	9	Q	No. Did Madison-Kipp or the DNR make a request
10		contain lead paint?	10		to do that type of testing?
11	Α	I'm not sure.	11	Α	Not that I'm aware of.
12	Q		12	Q	
13		of making such a determination if this type of	13		the property, were you present during that
14		testing was necessary?	14		discussion?
15	A	What testing are you referring to?	15	A	Yes.
16		Radon or lead paint.	16	Q	And was that based on any testing data at all
17	_	I'm not following the question. What do you	17		with respect to the property?
18		mean?	18	Α	Nope.
19	O	If someone at Tellurian was to decide, yes, we	19		Was there any soil testing data available at that
20		need to test our properties for lead paint, no,	20		time about the property?
21		we don't need to test our properties for lead	21	A	No.
22		paint, who would that person be?	22	Q	Was there any discussion about whether
23	A	It could be me. It could be the case manager.	23		contaminants were coming up through the basement
24		It could be the state. It could be CBRF	24		floor?
25		licensing requirements.	25	A	There was discussion.
		Page 30			Page 32
1	0	And when the three former tenants moved out, were	1	0	Okay. And was there any discussion about having
2	•	they placed into other Tellurian properties?	2	~	it tested?
3	Α	No.	3	Α	No.
4	0	What types of living arrangements were they	4	O	And the board made a decision to move the tenants
5		placed into?	5		out of the property without any real data about
6	A	Similar use.	6		the risk of contamination at that property, is
7	Q	What do you mean by "similar use?"	7		that correct?
8	_	Similar amount of rent. I don't know. A rental	8	Α	I don't agree with the no real data.
9		property that rents to our type of patients, I	9	Q	Well, you didn't have soil sample data, did you?
10		guess.	10	A	No.
11	Q	So would this be a situation where Tellurian	11	Q	You didn't have indoor air sample data, did you?
12		would go out and help these patients locate a	12	A	No.
13		landlord elsewhere in the city and help them move	13	Q	You didn't have sub-slab data, did you?
14		in?	14	A	No.
15	A	Yes.	15	Q	Did the board have any data from any neighboring
16		MR. WHITE: Thank you. That's all.	16		homes, either indoor or
17		MR. CONDON: No questions.	17	Α	No.
18		EXAMINATION	18	Q	sub-slab or soil?
19	BY	MR. COHEN:	19	Α	No.
20	Q	1	20	Q	Okay. So a decision was made without any actual
21		Company, a different insurance company. Is it	21		sampling data with respect to this property,
22		true that you are unaware of any indoor air	22		correct?
23		sampling in the basement at this property at 265	23	A	No.
24		Waubesa?	24	Q	What data did you have?
25	A	Yes.	25	A	The data that was presented through the media.

12/17/12

		Page 33			Page 35
1	Q	Okay. So newspaper articles about generally the	1	O	All right. And you looked at generally the
2	~	contamination problem?	2		information that was on that website?
3	Α		3	Α	Yes.
4	Q		4		All right. And did it say that residents around
5	A		5		Madison-Kipp should evacuate the property?
6	Q		6	A	I don't recall that.
7		out wasn't based on any recommendation that the	7	Q	
8		DNR gave to your organization, correct?	8		moved?
9	A	Correct.	9	A	No, I'm not aware.
10	Q	It wasn't based on any recommendation from the	10	Q	Did you talk to any other property owners about
11	_	Department of Health, City of Madison, correct?	11		whether there's a health risk to any of them?
12	A	Correct.	12	A	Nope.
13	Q	It wasn't based on any recommendation by any	13	Q	Do you know, in fact, whether the City of Madison
14		expert that you sought assistance from, correct?	14		Department of Health believes there's a health
15	A	Correct.	15		risk to any of the property owners?
16	Q	Right. I think you said that none of the board	16	A	No.
17		members or yourself, you are not experts on	17	Q	Or residents?
18		contamination and risk of potential harm to	18	A	No.
19		residents, correct?	19	Q	Okay.
20	A	Correct. Yep.	20	A	I did read on the website something about the
21	Q	And do you know the names of the three tenants	21		word cancer, and that was a scary thing for us.
22		that moved out?	22	Q	Okay. What you read was that some of the
23	A	No.	23		contaminants of concern are known carcinogens,
24	Q	Do you know the name of the existing tenant?	24		they can cause cancer, right? Is that correct?
25	A	No.	25	A	Yes.
		Page 34			Page 36
1	Q	Has anybody advised the existing tenant that the	1	Q	But you don't know at what levels or what
2		existing tenant is at risk for his health because	2		exposures?
3		of the contamination?	3	A	No.
4	Α	Yes.	4	Q	Okay. That's something that you would need to
5	Q	And who told him or her that?	5		hear from an expert on, right?
6	A	Case manager.	6	A	Correct.
7	Q	Okay. And was that based on any data?	7	Q	Or the Department of Health or someone in the
8	Α	No.	8		know, correct?
9	Q	Was it based on anything that the City of Madison	9	A	Yes.
10		Department of Health said?	10	Q	And the decision to move the tenants wasn't based
11	A	No.	11		on any of that type of information?
12	Q	Was it based on anything that the DNR said?	12		MR. MANZKE: I will just object to the
13	A	No.	13		form of that question.
14	Q	, s	14	BY	MR. COHEN:
15		manager?	15	Q	
16	A		16	A	I'm not following what you are saying.
17	Q	Whose opinion was it?	17	Q	The decision to move the tenants wasn't based on
18	A	1			any expert information about exposure of risk of
19		we were just referencing.	19		harm, correct?
20	Q	, ,	20	A	It depends. The question is sort of offensive.
21		done any literature search for information about	21		Myself and the board moved people out based on
22		potential health risks?	22		the knowledge we had. We erred on the side of
23	A		23		safety for them. I guess that's what we decided
24 25	Q	What did you do?	24	_	to do.
	Α	We went to the DNR website.	25	Q	Well, were you aware that the other residents

12/17/12

		Page 37			Page 39
1		around the area had indoor air sampling done?	1	Α	Correct.
2	Α	No.	2	Q	
3		Were you aware that they had sub-slab underneath	3	V	letter to find out whether you could still have
4	~	the foundation sampling done?	4		the soil sampling done in your back yard?
5	Α	No.	5	Δ	I have not.
6		Okay. You have produced some letters here today,	6	Q	
7	Q	and I think what we will do is get these marked	7		I was waiting to talk to my lawyer.
8		in a minute after we make copies, but the first	8	Q	
9		one is dated November 6, 2012 from Mr. Nauta at	9	Q	dated November 30, 2012. Did you look at this
10		RJN Environmental to your organization at Femrite	10		letter?
11		Drive? Is that your main office?	11	٨	I did.
12	٨	Yes.	12	0	
13		And this talks about requesting some additional	13	Ų	got the other letter, the November 6th letter?
14	Ų	soil sampling to be done in the back yard. Did	14	٨	Yes.
15		you read this letter?	15	Q	
16	٨	Yep.	16	Ų	Okay. And this actually talks about collecting soil samples from two locations in the back yard
		•	17		1
17		Okay. And was that sampling done? Not that I'm aware of.			of this property. So I take it you haven't
18			18	٨	looked at this yet?
19	Q		19		I have looked at it.
20	A		20	Q	j j
21	Q	Okay. Did you deny permission?	21		place in the back yard?
22	Α	I did not. That did not come to my attention. I	22	A	
23	_	just recently received that.	23	Q	And who gave permission for that?
24	Q		24	A	
25	A	Another Tellurian employee.	25	Q	Were you surprised to see that there was soil
		Page 38			Page 40
1	Q	Okay. And do you know when that Tellurian	1		sampling done without your knowledge?
2		employee received it?	2	A	No.
3	A	I do not.	3	Q	And did you look at the results?
4	Q	There's a red stamp in the upper, right-hand	4	A	
5		corner that says "November 8, 2012." Do you see	5	Q	Okay. And what's your understanding of the
6		that?	6		results?
7	A	Yes.	7	A	I don't understand the results.
8	Q	Is that how you at your office denote when you	8	Q	Okay. Did you ask someone for an explanation?
9		receive something?	9	A	I asked my lawyer.
10	A	That's when the secretary opens up the mail.	10	Q	I don't want to know what your lawyer told you.
11	Q		11		Are you aware that the testing results were all
12		are you talking about in the last week or so?	12		below the WDNR direct contact levels for the
13	A	Roughly in the last week or so, correct.	13		contamination that was tested?
14	Q	1 1 5	14	A	It wasn't that clear to me.
15		why this wasn't brought to your attention	15	Q	, ,
16		earlier?	16		talking to your lawyer or both?
17	A	I did.	17		MR. MANZKE: Object to whatever he
18	Q	And the answer was what?	18		learned or talked to his lawyers about. You can
19	A	It was sitting in a pile. Oops. I'm sorry.	19		answer that only with respect to the document.
20	Q		20	BY	MR. COHEN:
21		serious matters, soil sampling in the back yard	21	Q	All right. The letter says if you have
22		of the property?	22		questions, you should contact Henry Nehls-Lowe at
23	A	Yes.	23		the Division of Public Health, Wisconsin
24	Q	It would be something otherwise that you would	24		Department of Health Services, or Michael
25		want to know about sooner rather than later?	25		Schmoller at the WDNR. Did you do either?

12/17/12

		Page 41			Page 43
1	Α	No.	1	Α	Correct. Our plan is to move people out and wait
2	O	Well, sitting here today, what is your	2		and see what happens.
3	•	understanding of the level of this PCB	3	Q	Are you aware of the fact that the DNR had
4		contamination in the back yard at this property?	4	-	recommended that additional sampling other than
5	A		5		PCBs be done in the back yard of your property?
6	Q	Are you going to seek further clarification?	6		No.
7	Ā		7	Q	No one has ever discussed that with you?
8	Q	What are you going to do?	8	À	No.
9	À		9	Q	If this soil sampling of PCBs had been available
10	Q	,	10	_	to you earlier when the board made the decision
11	_	I went to the DNR website, and it sure looked	11		about moving the residents, would you have
12		pretty scary to me from what I could tell by	12		brought it to their attention?
13		their maps and dots.	13		Yes.
14	Ο	Are you aware that other properties in the	14		Had any board members asked you if any sampling
15	~	neighborhood had soil samples done of other	15		had been done at the property, whether soils or
16		contaminants other than PCBs?	16		indoor or sub-slab?
17	Α	I can't speak to what happened at other	17		No, no, no.
18	11	properties. I don't know.	18	Q	Are there any lawyers on your board?
19	0	Well, you looked at the DNR website. Did you see		A	Yes.
20	V	sampling done for other contaminants other than	20	Q	Who?
21		PCBs?	21	A	Mike Crooks is.
22	Δ	Yes.	22	Q	From Peterson, Johnson & Murray?
23	0		23	A	Um-hum.
24	Q	into whether you could have soil sampling done in	24	Q	That's a yes?
25		the back yard of other contaminants other than	25	A	Yes.
		Page 42			Page 44
1		PCBs?	1	Q	
2	Α	No.	2	•	Mr. Crooks handles cases involving environmental
3	Q		3		contamination?
4	À	•	4	Α	Um-hum.
5	Q		5	Q	
6	_	like?	6	À	
7	Α	No. We made a decision to move our patients out	7	Q	And did you seek his opinion as to whether
8		for their safety.	8		further testing should be done at this property?
9	O	Well, wouldn't you want to know how contaminated		Α	No.
10	•	the back yard is, if at all?	10	Q	Any other lawyers on the board?
11	A		11	Ā	At the time, Bob Kasdorf.
12	Q		12	Q	From Kasdorf, Lewis & Swietlik?
13	_	We made a decision to move people out and see	13	À	Yes. I don't think that's exactly right, but
14		exactly what happens. One doesn't necessarily	14	Q	Has your organization sought a reassessment of
15		mean the other one is safe, so we don't know.	15	_	the property due to the contamination?
16	Q	Well, would you agree with me that if you made a	16	A	
17		decision to sell this property at some point in	17	Q	When I say "reassessment," I'm talking about tax
18		the future, it would be good to know whether the	18	-	reassessment?
19		back yard was contaminated or not?	19	A	No. We're non-profit.
20	A	Correct. In the future, yes.	20	Q	So you don't pay property taxes?
21	Q	So your current thinking if and when you decide	21	À	Correct.
22		to sell the house, then you will have some soil	22	Q	On this line of credit, do you believe it's
23		sampling done?	23	-	possible that when the line of credit was
24	A	Yes.	24		extended to you there was a lien put on all of

12/17/12

	Page 45	Page 47
1	A That's what I'm assuming it was. To go back to	1
2	his question, I would be shocked if it was just	2
3	on that property. It was probably put on	3
4	Tellurian's umbrella.	4
5	Q Okay. And you are unaware of the lender	5
6	What's the name of the lender again?	6
7	A That one is Madison Forward Community	7
8	or something like that. It's Forward Community	8
9	something.	9
10	MR. BIANCHI: Forward Community	10
11	Investments.	11
12	BY MR. COHEN:	12
13	Q And are you aware of that lender asking any	13
14	questions about the level of contamination at the	2 14
15	property?	15
16	A I'm not aware.	16
17	Q Are there any present plans for the current	17
18	tenant to move out of the property?	18
19	A Yes.	19
20	Q When?	20
21	A As soon as possible.	21
22	Q And you are just waiting for an available place	
23	suitable place	23
24	A Yes.	24
25	Q to move him or her?	25
	Page 46	Page 48
1	A Yes.	1 CERTIFICATE OF WITNESS
2	Q And you don't know the name of that individual	? 2
3	A No.	3
4	Q Has anybody advised that individual to go see a	4 I, KEVIN FLOREK, have read the foregoin
5	doctor and have any tests done?	5 page and the corrections, if any, having been noted.
6	MR. MANZKE: Object to foundation. To	6 The same is now a true and correct transcript of my
7	the extent you know.	7 testimony.
8	THE WITNESS: I have no idea.	8
9	MR. COHEN: That's all I have.	9
10	MR. MANZKE: Anything else?	10 KEVIN FLOREK
11	(No response.)	11
12	MR. MANZKE: All right. We will reserve	
13	signature.	13 STATE OF WISCONSIN)
14	(A discussion was had off the record.)	COUNTY)
15	(Exhibits 6 and 7 were marked.)	15
16	MR. COHEN: I'm just going to make a	Subscribed and sworn to before me this
17	quick record. Let the record reflect that we	17 day of, 2013.
18	have now marked Exhibit 6, which is the	18
19	November 6, 2012 letter from RJN to Tellurian,	19
20	and Exhibit 7, which is the November 30, 2012	20
21	letter from RJN to Tellurian with the soil sample	21 Notary Public
22	results for PCB testing.	In and for the State of Wisconsin
23	(At 10:37 a.m. the deposition	23 My commission expires,
24	concluded.)	24 25
25		40

12/17/12

	Page 49		Page 51
1	STATE OF WISCONSIN)	1	J
2	MILWAUKEE COUNTY)		
3	I, KATHY A. HALMA, Registered	2	
4	Professional Reporter and Notary Public in and for the	3	
5	State of Wisconsin, do hereby certify that the	4	
6	deposition of KEVIN FLOREK, was taken before me at the	5	
7	Goodman Community Center, 149 Waubesa Street, Madison,	6	
8	Wisconsin, on the 17th day of December, 2012,	7	Notary Public in and
9	·	8	for the State of Wisconsin
	commencing at 9:30 o'clock in the forenoon.		for the State of Wisconsin
10	That it was taken at the instance of the	9	
11	Defendants upon verbal interrogatories.	10	
12	That said statement was taken to be used	11	Dated this 30th day of December, 2012,
13	in an action now pending in the UNITED STATES DISTRICT	.12	Milwaukee, Wisconsin.
14	COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN, in whi	^{ch} 13	
15	KATHLEEN MC HUGH, et al., are the Plaintiffs and	14	
16	MADISON-KIPP, et al., are the Defendants and		
17	MADISON-KIPP CORPORATION is the Cross-Claimant and	15	
18	CONTINENTAL CASUALTY COMPANY, et al., are the	16	
19	Cross-Complainants and LUMBERMENS MUTUAL CASUALTY	17	
20	COMPANY are the Third-Party Defendants.	18	
21	APPEARANCES	19	
22	THE COLLINS LAW FIRM, P.C, 1770 North	20	
	Park Street, Suite 200, Naperville, Illinois, 60563, by		
23	MR. EDWARD J. MANZKE, ejmanzke@collinslaw.com, appeared	21	
	on behalf of the Plaintiffs.	22	
24		23	
	VARGA, BERGER, LEDSKY, HAYES & CASEY,	24	
25	125 South Wacker Drive, Suite 1250, Chicago, Illinois,	25	
	Page 50		
1	60606-4473, by MR. MICHAEL D. HAYES, mhayes@vblhc.com, appeared on behalf of the Plaintiffs.		
2	MICHAEL, BEST & FRIEDRICH, LLP, 100 East		
3	Wisconsin Avenue, Milwaukee, Wisconsin, 53202, by MR.		
4	JOHN A. BUSCH, jabusch@michaelbest.com, appeared on		
5	behalf of Madison-Kipp Corporation. MICHAEL, BEST & FRIEDRICH, LLP, One		
	South Pinckney Street, Suite 700, Madison, Wisconsin,		
6	53703, by MS. LEAH H. ZIEMBA and MR. ALBERT BIANCHI, JR., lhziemba@michaelbest.com and		
7	abianchi@michaelbest.com, appeared on behalf of		
	Madison-Kipp Corporation.		
8	TROUTMAN SANDERS, LLP, 55 West Monroe		
9	Street, Suite 3000, Chicago, Illinois, 60603-5758, by		
1.0	MR. CHRISTOPHER H. WHITE,		
10	christopher.white@troutmansanders.com, appeared on behalf of the Defendant Continental Casualty Company.		
11	ochan of the Defendant Commental Casualty Company.		
	MEISSNER, TIERNEY, FISHER & NICHOLS,		
12	S.C., 111 East Kilbourn Avenue, 19th Floor, Milwaukee, Wisconsin, 53202-6622, by MR. MICHAEL J. COHEN,		
13	mjc@mtfn.com, appeared on behalf of United States Fire		
	Insurance Company.		
14	HALE & WAGNER, S.C., 839 North Jefferson		
15	Street, Suite 400, Milwaukee, Wisconsin, 53202, by MR. JACQUES C. CONDON, jcc@halewagner.com, appeared on		
16	behalf of American Motorists Insurance Company.		
17	That said deponent, before examination,		
18 19	was sworn to testify the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth relative to said cause.		
20	That the foregoing is a full, true and		
21	correct record of all the proceedings had in the matter of the taking of said deposition, as reflected by my		
22 23	of the taking of said deposition, as reflected by my original machine shorthand notes taken at said time and		
24	place.		
25			