Kathleen McHugh and Deanna Schneider vs. Madison-Kipp 1/8/13

Deposition of Daniel J. Stevens

	D 1	
	Page 1	Page 3
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN		DEUTCH & WEISS, LLC, 7670 North Port Washington Road, Milwaukee, Wisconsin, 53217, by MR.
KATHLEEN McHUGH, and DEANNA		2 CHARLES W. KRAMER, charles.kramer@mweisslaw.net, appeared on behalf of American Motorists Insurance
SCHNEIDER, Individually and on behalf of all persons similarly situated,		3 Company.
Plaintiffs,		4 INDEX
VS. CASE NO. 11-CV-724 MADISON KIPP COPPORATION		5 DANIEL J. STEVENS
MADISON-KIPP CORPORATION, CONTINENTAL CASUALTY COMPANY,		6 By Mr. Bianchi
UNITED STATES FIRE INSURANCE		7 By Mr. White26 8 E X H I B I T S
COMPANY and ABC INSURANCE COMPANIES 1-50,		 9 No. 1 Discovery Documents; PLF_230_S_MARQ_000001
Defendants,	1	
and MADISON-KIPP CORPORATION,	1	1 No. 2 Answers to Defendant Madison-Kipp Corporation's
Cross-Claimant,	1:	8
vs.	11	
CONTINENTAL CASUALTY COMPANY, COLUMBIA CASUALTY COMPANY and	1	1 2
UNITED STATES FIRE INSURANCE	1	0
COMPANY,	1	1 11
Cross-Claim Defendants,	1:	e
	1:	1
(Caption continued) DEPOSITION OF	2	
DANIEL J. STEVENS	2:	
Madison, Wisconsin	2	
January 8, 2013 12:13 p.m. to 1:05 p.m.	2	
Kathy A. Halma, RPR	2	5
	Page 2	Page 4
1 and 2 CONTINENTAL CASUALTY COMPANY and	:	1 (The original transcript was sent to Attorney
COLUMBIA CASUALTY COMPANY,		Bianchi.)
Cross-Claim Defendants		2 3
and 5		4
LUMBERMENS MUTUAL CASUALTY		5 (The original exhibits were retained by the court
6 COMPANY, AMERICAN MOTORISTS INSURANCE COMPANY, and JOHN DOE		reporter and attached to the original transcript.
 7 INSURANCE COMPANIES 1-20, 8 Third-Party Defendants. 9 		6 Copies were attached to all ordered copies.) 7
10A P P E A R A N C E S11THE COLLINS LAW FIRM, P.C, 1770 North		8
Park Street, Suite 200, Naperville, Illinois, 60563, by MR. EDWARD J. MANZKE, ejmanzke@collinslaw.com, appeared		9
on behalf of the Plaintiffs.	1(
VARGA, BERGER, LEDSKY, HAYES & CASEY, 14 125 South Wacker Drive, Suite 1250, Chicago, Illinois,	1:	
60606-4473, by MR. MICHAEL D. HAYES, mhayes@vblhc.com,	1	
 appeared on behalf of the Plaintiffs. MICHAEL, BEST & FREDRICH, LLP, One 	14	
South Pinckney Street, Suite 700, Madison, Wisconsin, 53703, by MS. LEAH H. ZIEMBA and MR. ALBERT BIANCHI,	1!	
JR., lhziemba@michaelbest.com and abianchi@michaelbest.com, appeared on behalf of	10	
Madison-Kipp Corporation.	1	
TROUTMAN SANDERS, LLP, 55 West Monroe Street, Suite 3000, Chicago, Illinois, 60603-5758, by	18	
MR. CHRISTOPHER H. WHITE,	19	
21 christopher.white@troutmansanders.com, appeared on behalf of the Defendant Continental Casualty Company.	2	
22 MEISSNER, TIERNEY, FISHER & NICHOLS,	22	
23 S.C., 111 East Kilbourn Avenue, 19th Floor, Milwaukee, Wisconsin, 53202-6622, by MS. JENNIFER KREIL,	23	
TISOUSH, 55262 0022, UV MO. JEANII EX KKEIE,		
24 jbk@mtfn.com, appeared on behalf of United States Fire Insurance Company.	24	

Halma-Jilek Reporting, Inc.

Experience Quality Service!

1 (Pages 1 to 4) (414) 271-4466 Kathleen McHugh and Deanna Schneider vs. Madison-Kipp1/8/13

Deposition of Daniel J. Stevens

1					
		Page 5			Page 7
1		TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS	1		of industry is that?
2		(Exhibits 1 through 5 were marked.)	2	Α	The best way to describe them is probably very
3		DANIEL J. STEVENS, called as a witness	3		similar to teletype. They were automatic
4		herein by the Defendants, after having been first	4		typewriters, some accounting equipment.
5		duly sworn, was examined and testified as	5	Q	
б		follows:	6	À	I was the repairman.
7		EXAMINATION	7		What were the dates that you were involved in the
8	BY	MR. BIANCHI:	8	· ·	business machine industry?
9	Q	Good afternoon.	9	А	•
10	Ă	Thank you.	10	0	
11	Q	-	11	Ā	
12	X	the record.	12	Q	
13	Δ	Daniel J. Stevens. Last name is S-T-E-V-E-N-S.	13	A	
14	11	D-A-N-I-E-L. Middle initial is J.	14	Q	
15	Q		15	A	•
16	A		16	Q	C I
$10 \\ 17$		Your home address.	17	A	1962.
	Q		18		
18	A	And where in Madison is that?	19	Q	
19	Q		20	A	
20	A			Q	
21	Q	And how far is it from the 230 South Marquette	21	A	
22		Street home?	22	Q	And then fair to say you retired?
23		About ten minutes; three, four miles.	23	A	
24	Q	Have you ever been deposed before?	24	Q	
25	A	No, never have.	25	A	The best answer to that is yes, but I'm kind of
		Page 6			Page 8
1	Q	I'm going to give you a couple quick ground rules	1		in a retired mode.
2		then that will kind of make this hopefully go	2	Q	And what employment is that?
3		smoothly, and also because it sometimes can be a	3	Α	I'm involved in the courier business. I had a
4		little awkward, because the way that we need to	4		courier business of my own that I operated for
5		converse is because we have a court reporter	5		awhile, and now I'm employed with another.
6		here, Kathy, who's taking everything down, we	6	0	• •
7		need to talk separately. So even if you know the	7	×	is the name of the courier business that you are
8		answer to my question, just let me finish, and I	8		working for?
9		will try to also let you finish your responses.	9	А	Gold Cross Courier.
10	А	Okay.	10	0	What do you do there?
11	Q	And we also need verbal responses so that she can	11	A	
12	X	type them all down. If at any time you need to	12	11	Fed Ex.
13		get up, take a break, use the restroom, you want	13	Q	
14			14	V	the name of that?
	٨	some more water or something, just let me know.	14 15	А	
15 16	A	Okay.		A	
16	Q	Mr. Stevens, what is your educational background?		Q	And how long From what date to what date did
17	A	Twelve years of high school, a few odd courses	17		you own it?
18	~	between here and there.	18	A	5
19	Q	What kind of courses?	19	Q	What got you into the courier business?
20	А	I was involved in the business machine industry	20	Α	Pardon me?
21		for quite awhile, so mechanical courses of that	21	Q	What got you into the courier business?
22		nature. I spent about 30 years as a real estate	22	Α	
23		agent, and I had a number of required courses in	23		I was looking for something to do.
			~ 4	0	And this have an Waishtah side a have last have
24		that.	24 25	Q	And this home on Knightsbridge, how long have yo lived there?

2 (Pages 5 to 8)

Case: 3:11-cv-00724-bbc Document #: 129 Filed: 02/13/13 Page 3 of 11

Kathleen McHugh and Deanna Schneider vs. Madison-Kipp1/8/13

Deposition of Daniel J. Stevens

		Page 9			Page 11
1	٨	Since 1983.	1	۸	
1 2	A	Okay. And this home at 230 Marquette, did you	1 2		I pay the heat and water services. And are there appliances?
3	Q	ever live in that home?	3	Q A	
4	۸	Never have.	4		
5			- 1 5	Q	What appliances do you provide? Range and refrigerator only.
6	Q A	So it was always purchased as a rental property? Yes.	6	A Q	Any laundry?
7	Q	Do you recall when you purchased it?	7	~	There are hookups, but I don't supply any washer
8			8		or dryer.
9	A Q	And is it currently rented?	9		Where are the hookups?
10	Q A	-	10	Q A	
11	Q	Do any of the tenants smoke in the house?	11		How about for your upstairs renter?
12	Q A		12		Range and refrigerator, as well.
13		Do you permit smoking in the home?	13		Do you provide Is it a male or female
14	Q	The issue has never come up.	14		upstairs?
15	Q	-	15		Pardon me?
16	-	I don't forbid it.	16	Q	Are the renters upstairs one person? A family?
17	Q		17	A	
18	Q	the home?	18	Q	A woman?
19	۸	The downstairs tenant has been there since	19	~	No, a single man.
20	A		20		-
20		August, I believe. The upstairs tenant has been there a long time	20	Q	Does he have laundry in the basement? No.
22	0	there a long time. You say August. August 2012? Is that what you	22	Q	Do you know what the basement is used for?
23	Q	are referring to?	23	~	It's pretty much vacant.
23	۸	Yes.	24		How long has it been vacant?
25	Q	And upstairs, long-time tenant, any kind of	25	Q A	I don't think any of my tenants have ever used it
23	Q	Page 10	25	Π	Page 12
1			1		
1		estimate? Five years?	1		to any large extent.
2		It will be in excess of 25 years.	2	-	Did you have any difficulty obtaining the current renter in the downstairs unit?
3	-	How did you obtain the renter in the downstairs?	3		
4	А	I typically have been using some internet	4 5		This last time it seemed to take longer to find
5	0	sources.	5		be tenant.
6 7	Q		6 7		Did the property ever go unrented, the
'		and	-		downstairs?
8	A	Yes.	8	_	Yes, it was vacant for half-a-month.
9	Q	And previous to the current renter who started	9	Q	Was the rent paid for, though, for the full
10		renting in August 2012 downstairs, how long had	10		month? Like did the tenant leave early?
11		the previous downstairs tenant lived there?	11 12		The previous tenant was paid fully until the time
12		They were there a year.			he left.
13	Q	Does the current downstairs tenant pay a	13		And so that half-a-month that it was vacant it
14 15		different rent than the previous downstairs	14		had still been paid for, is that correct?
15 16		tenant?	15 16		No, it was just vacant. I hadn't yet rented it.
16 17		Yes.	16 17		Do you know approximately how many people you had
17 19	Q	More or less?			come and visit the property or
18 10	A	A little bit more.	18 10		How many showings?
19 20	Q	What was the rent for the previous downstairs	19 20	Q	Yes, showings.
20		renter?	20 21	A	Somewhere between six and ten.
21	A	,	21	Q	And do you manage the property?
22	Q	You believe that's fair market value for that	22	A	Yes, I do.
23		property?	23		I'm going to give you what's been marked as
24 25	A	6	24 25		Exhibit 1. I'm just going to have you kind of
25	Q	And what is provided to the renters?	25		look through it a little bit. It's only a couple

3 (Pages 9 to 12)

Kathleen McHugh and Deanna Schneider vs. Madison-Kipp1/8/13

Deposition of Daniel J. Stevens

	Page 13			Page 15
1	pages, I think four or five, five. I'm going to	1		year.
2	represent to you that these are the documents	2	0	And how about the upstairs tenant?
3	that you provided in response you likely	3	Ā	
4	provided to your attorney in response to document		Q	
5	requests that we issued. Does that sound right?	5	Č	downstairs?
6	A They appear to be.	6	А	No, it's much less.
7	Q Okay. And I'm going to have you look at	7	Q	And why is that?
8	specifically If you see in the lower,	8	· ·	It's a long-time tenant.
9	right-hand corner, there's kind of some letters	9	Q	What's the upstairs rent?
10	and then numbers. The letters are	10	À	
11	PLF_230_S_MARQ.	11	Q	I'm going to have you look at This is Exhibit
12	A Yes, I see that.	12		No. 2, and if you could just read this bottom
13	Q And I'm going to have you look at the page that	13		portion for me, please.
14	starts with 000002. I'll just have you look at	14		"Class Members' (Dan to Pamela Stevens) Answers
15	that and then the next page that's 3.	15		to Defendant Madison-Kipp Corporation's First Set
16	A The one with the zeroes and the five?	16		of Interrogatories."
17	Q No, no, the zeroes and the 2 and the zeroes and	17	Q	Okay. I will have you look through the document
18	the 3. It's the property search results.	18		and please confirm for me that these are the
19	MR. MANZKE: There you go. It's those	19		answers that you provided.
20	two (indicating).	20	Α	This looks familiar.
21	THE WITNESS: I have those.	21	Q	Okay.
22	BY MR. BIANCHI:	22	Α	And that is my signature.
23	Q Can you look over those pages and tell me if	23	Q	All right. I'm going to have you turn to Page
24	there's any information that's incorrect that you	24		No. 4 and look at Paragraph 5. The second
25	would recognize.	25		sentence says, "Subject to, and without waiving
	Page 14			Page 16
1	A The bedroom information is not correct.	1		their objection, we do not believe that the value
2	Q How many bedrooms are there?	2		of our property can be restored." Why is that?
3	A There's two bedrooms with each unit. The rest of	3	Α	Well, there's a public perception of the problem
4	it appears to be correct.	4		that exists there, and until that goes away, you
5	Q Have you ever Do you see at the top of the	5		are just not going to find many buyers for that
б	page it shows the assessment is \$164,500?	6		property.
7	A Yes.	7	Q	Do you have plans to sell the property?
8	Q Have you ever tried to reduce that assessment?	8	Α	Yes, I do.
9	A Not on that building.	9	Q	Have you tried to sell the property?
10	Q You have done it before on other buildings?	10	Α	No.
11	A Yes.	11	Q	Do you believe that the city's assessment of the
12	Q Do you know the process to do it?	12		property's value is wrong?
13	A I'm familiar with it, yes.	13	Α	That's really difficult to say, because there's
14	Q And you currently said that the basement is	14		been no comparable sales.
15	vacant. Do you provide the tenants with	15	Q	5 5 11
16	permission to use the basement, though?	16		been done in the neighborhood?
17	A Its open for their usage.	17	Α	I have some basic knowledge of another one. A
18	Q Have you ever had the basement or the home tested	18		friend of mine owns a property down the street
19	for radon?	19		that he tried to sell and couldn't.
20	A No.	20	Q	
21	Q Have you made any attempts to sell the building?	21	Α	
22	A No.	22	Q	But you have made no attempts to try and sell the
	Q And how long is the current lease that you have	23		property?
23	-			
23 24 25	with the downstairs tenant? A I believe that expires in July of 2013, this	24 25	A O	No. And have you had any appraisals done on the

4 (Pages 13 to 16)

Case: 3:11-cv-00724-bbc Document #: 129 Filed: 02/13/13 Page 5 of 11

Kathleen McHugh and Deanna Schneider vs. Madison-Kipp1/8/13

Deposition of Daniel J. Stevens

		Page 17			Page 19
1		property within the last ten years?	1		of pollution and contamination issues with
2	Α	Not on that, no.	2		Madison-Kipp?
3	Q		3	А	There were articles in the newspaper.
4	À		4		And what did you do upon reading those newspaper
5	Q	Have you taken any equity out of it?	5		articles?
6	Ā		6	А	There wasn't much to do. There wasn't anything
7	Q	When you purchased the property in 1973, were you	7		that I could do.
8		aware that Madison-Kipp was nearby?	8	Q	Did you contact the DNR?
9	Α	Oh, sure.	9	Α	No.
10	Q	Did you believe that that had any impact on the	10	Q	The City Department of Health?
11		value of the property?	11	Α	No.
12	Α	I didn't consider that at the time.	12	Q	State Department of Health?
13	Q	Why is that?	13	Α	No.
14	Α	Well, the market was really strong at that point.	14	Q	Did you talk to your tenants about it at all?
15	Q	Did you have any concerns about Madison-Kipp	15	Α	Not immediately.
16		being there?	16	Q	When did you talk to your tenants about it?
17	Α	I didn't at the time, no.	17	Α	Well, the subject didn't come up until the
18	Q	5 51 11			current tenants moved in.
19		while owning the property?	19	Q	And how did it come up?
20	Α	No, not at all.	20	Α	They wanted to know what's that, pointing to
21	Q		21		Kipp.
22		Madison-Kipp?	22		Just what the company was?
23	Α	They would complain about the noise from time to	23	А	Yes, and they wanted to know what the problems
24	_	time.	24		were, and I had to disclose to them what was
25	Q	That's it?	25		going on.
		Page 18			Page 20
1	Α	That's it to my knowledge.	1	Q	What exactly did you disclose to them?
2	Q			Α	I told them basically there were articles in the
3		at Madison-Kipp over the years?	3		newspaper about contamination of the soil and
4	Α	Yes.	4		groundwater and what have you.
5	Q		5	Q	Did you tell them whether you believed your
6	A	e	6		property had been contaminated at all?
7	Q	What kind of conversations did you have with	7	Α	I didn't know that my property had been
8		Reid?	8		contaminated, just that there were articles in
9	Α	They had purchased an adjoining property on the	9		the newspaper and it covered pretty much the
10		corner, and not too long after they purchased it	10	0	whole area.
11		they converted the usage into a parking lot and	11	Q	
12		paved it. This resulted in water running from	12		potential contamination?
13	0	their parking lot down into my property.	13	А	The best answer is I don't know. I was contacted
14 15	Q	•	14 15		about that, a gentleman came over and did some
15 16	А	Related to diverting the water elsewhere, which they did.	15 16		work in the basement, but I don't know any
16 17	Ω		16 17	0	results of any testing that they may have done.
18	Q A	Built a pretty good size curb around the edge of	18	Q	Would you want your home to be tested? I believe I did already agree to that.
19	л	the parking lot area and diverted it out to the	19	A 0	
20		street.	20	Q	Madison-Kipp to obtain testing?
21	Q		21	Δ	DNR, yes.
22	A		22	Q	And who did you contact there?
	Q	Any other interactions with Reid?	23	Ă	
23					
23 24	Ā	No.	24		that came, as I recall, or I got a telephone

5 (Pages 17 to 20)

Case: 3:11-cv-00724-bbc Document #: 129 Filed: 02/13/13 Page 6 of 11

Kathleen McHugh and Deanna Schneider vs. Madison-Kipp1/8/13

Deposition of Daniel J. Stevens

		Page 21			Page 23
1		they wanted access to the basement, which I gave	1		again?
2		them.	2	Δ	"Class Members' (Dan and Pamela Stevens) Answer
3	0	And did you tell your tenants to give the DNR	3	п	to Defendant United States Fire Insurance
4	Q	access to the basement, as well?	4		Company's First Set of Interrogatories."
5	٨		5	Ο	And do you recognize these, as well? These are
6	A	No, but I told the tenants that I had given	6	Q	your responses to those?
0 7	0	access.	7	٨	
	Q	So after disclosing to the current tenants these		А	Yes, that looks familiar and that, again, is my
8		kind of general issues, was there any further	8	0	signature.
9		conversation about the contamination?	9	Q	Okay. Let's do the same thing with Exhibit
10	A	Yes, they decided they needed to think it over	10		No. 4, please.
11	0	whether they were going to rent or not.	11		You want me to read this, as well?
12	Q		12		Correct, please. Thank you.
13	A		13	Α	"Class Members' (Dan and Pamela Stevens)
14	Q	•	14		Responses to Defendant Madison-Kipp Corporation's
15	A		15		First Set of Requests for Documents and Things."
16	Q		16	Q	And in response to this request you provided
17		since 1973. What kind of changes and	17		those documents that were in Exhibit 1, about
18		improvements have you made to the home?	18		five documents. Does that sound right?
19	Α	Well, there's been some general maintenance in	19	Α	Yes, that's about right.
20		terms of a new roof.	20	Q	And let's go to Exhibit 5.
21	Q	When was that, the new roof?	21	Α	"Class Members' (Dan and Pamela Stevens)
22	Α	Actually, just about a year ago. Refurbishing	22		Responses to Defendant United States Fire
23		the inside from time to time.	23		Insurance Company's First Set of Requests for
24	Q	Do you know was there a city permit obtained for	24		Production."
25		the reroof of the house?	25	Q	And do you remember receiving this and providing
		Page 22			Page 24
1	А	Yes.	1		responses, as well?
2	Q	Any other major remodeling since you purchased	2	А	I don't remember this one. I don't see my
3		the home?	3		signature anywhere or my wife's signature. I may
4	А	No.	4		have seen it, I just don't recall it.
5	Q	So no like adding new bathrooms?	5	0	
6	Ă	No.	6	Ă	
7	Q	Do you know whether there is any contamination on	7	Q	-
8	× V	your property?	8	A	
9	Δ	I don't know.	9	Q	Just Madison, Dane County?
10	0		10	A	-
11	Q	your understanding based on the effect on the	11	0	•
12			12	V	that had any kind of environmental contamination
13	٨	neighborhood in general? Yes.	13		issues? Radon, maybe?
13	A		13 14	٨	•
	Q	Not on your specific home?	14 15	А	Towards the latter part of the time, say the last
15 16	A	That's right.			year or two, radon was a matter of concern to any
16	Q	Has anyone ever told you that it would be	16		number of people, and most of the offers to
17		dangerous to use the basement in the home?	17		purchases that I dealt with had some kind of
18	Α	No.	18	~	clause in there with respect to a radon test.
		MR. BIANCHI: Let's take a quick break.	19	Q	
19		(A recess was taken.)	20	A	In my cases, no, because none of them came back
20		MR. BIANCHI:	21		with radon or a large enough sample to cause
20 21					
20 21 22		A couple more things. I'm going to go through a	22		concern.
20 21 22 23		A couple more things. I'm going to go through a couple additional documents here just to get them	23	Q	When you say "a large enough sample," you mean ir
20 21 22		A couple more things. I'm going to go through a		Q	

Halma-Jilek Reporting, Inc. Experience Quality Service!

Case: 3:11-cv-00724-bbc Document #: 129 Filed: 02/13/13 Page 7 of 11

Kathleen McHugh and Deanna Schneider vs. Madison-Kipp1/8/13

Deposition of Daniel J. Stevens

		Page 25			Page 27
1	А	It wasn't of a certain level.	1	Q	Do you own other rental properties in addition to
2	Q		2		the property on Marquette Street?
3	· ·	affect the value of the home?	3	А	Yes, I do.
4	А	To my knowledge, no.	4		How many other properties do you own?
5		And in looking at the current neighborhood, that	5	À	Eight others in total. I have a total of nine.
6	×	230 Marquette Street, have you done kind of an	6	Q	Are they all in Madison?
7		examination as you would as a realtor to	7	-	Yes. Well, no, one is in Monona, but the Madison
8		determine whether the property could be sold	8		metropolitan area.
9		right now?	9	0	Do you believe the assessment on the Marquette
10	А	I have not tried to sell the property, so I	10	×	Street property to be accurate?
11		haven't done anything in that regard.	11	А	I really have no way of knowing, because we can't
12	Q		12		test it.
13	~	No. Well, I should amend that. I have gone up	13	0	When you said you have gone through the process
14	11	and down the street looking for comparables, and	14	×	of challenging the assessed values on other
15		there are none.	15		properties, is that on the other some of the
16	Q		16		other eight properties that you own for rental
17	-	Well, at least I didn't find any.	17		purposes?
18	Q	•	18		No, I didn't compare it to that, because that's a
19	A		19	11	two-unit building, and although I have other
20	Q	-	20		two-unit buildings, the ratios are different.
21	Q	written rental agreements with them?	21		What other properties have you gone through the
22	Δ	I have leases, yes.	22	Q	process of challenging an assessment on?
23	Q	•	23	Δ	I'm sorry. What?
24	A	-	24	0	On what other properties have you gone through
25	Q		25	Q	the process of challenging the assessed value?
	<u> </u>	Page 26			Page 28
1		to believe that he doesn't intend to rent next	1	٨	
2		year from you?	2	А	I have a property on East Washington Avenue. This goes back some years. The assessed
3	٨	I have no indication of that.	3		valuation at the time was about \$60,000, and the
4	0				building immediately in back of my building,
5	Q	this past July of 2012, why they stopped renting?	5		which is an identical building, had just sold for
6	А	Yes, I do.	6		50. I appealed that and lost.
7	Q	Why is that?	7	0	
8		It was a man and a woman, and they had a breakup.	8	Q	made?
9	0	Were you ever involved in the sale of a home that	9	۸	Yes. Well, let me amend that. There's an
10	Q	had a radon mitigation system in it?	10	A	informal process of calling up the Assessor's
11	٨	No.	11		Office and telling them you don't like a
12	Л	MR. BIANCHI: I don't have any other	12		particular assessment. They may say something
13		questions.	13		like, "Well, what would you agree to," and if you
14		EXAMINATION	13 14		tell them you want something, they may agree to
14	PV	MR. WHITE:	14		it, if it's a small amount. Otherwise they
15			15 16		won't.
17	Q	Hello. My name is Chris White. I represent Continental Casualty Company and Columbia	16 17	0	
18		Casualty Company, which are some of	18	Q	5
18			18 19	٨	assessment on any of your rental properties?
20		Madison-Kipp's insurance companies. I have just		~	Not in recent memory.
20	٨	a couple additional questions for you.	20 21	Q	Are there some that are you have done further in the post?
∠⊥	А	Okay. You might want to speak up a little bit,	21 22	٨	in the past?
$\gamma\gamma$		because I'm getting old and hard of hearing.		A	Yes, I believe so.
22	\cap				
23	Q	I'm sorry. I will be sure to do that. Can you	23	Q	About how long ago?
		I'm sorry. I will be sure to do that. Can you hear me now? Yes.	23 24 25	Q A O	About how long ago? Probably ten years or more. Have you made any efforts to have any sort of

7 (Pages 25 to 28)

Kathleen McHugh and Deanna Schneider vs. Madison-Kipp1/8/13

Deposition of Daniel J. Stevens

		Page 29			Page 31
1			1		
1		informal conversation with the Assessor's Office	1		Electric is about \$80 a month for gas. Water and
2		about the assessment on the Marquette Street	2		sewer will run pretty close to \$600 a year total
3		property?	3	0	for the entire building.
4		No.	4	Q	Do you have any other monthly costs associated
5	Q	Do you have a mitigation system installed on the	5		with maintaining the Marquette Street property?
6		Marquette Street property?	6	А	Well, I pay everything else on an annual basis or
7	A		7		any repair expenses I pay as they accrue. What
8	Q		8		I'm thinking of is if maybe you are referring to
9	A		9		annual taxes, that's paid in an annual
10	Q		10 11	0	installment.
11 12	А	If this is something that needs to be done and is	11		What are the annual taxes on the property?
	0	required, we will certainly do that.		А	This year the 2012 taxes I believe are about
13		How will you determine if it needs to be done?	13	0	\$3,300 or \$3,400.
14 15	А	Once I get the results of the testing that's done	14 15	Q	
15 16		there, then it would be likely to be done if it	15	A	
16	0	showed that there was contamination at the site.	16	Q	What's your insurance cost?
17	Q	If all of the test results on your property were	17	А	That's changing, so I can't give you a good an
18		to come back with complete non-detects, do you	18		accurate answer, but I'm guessing about \$700 per
19		believe it would be wise to install a mitigation	19 20	0	annum.
20		system?	20 21	Q	Jerre I erre erre erre erre erre erre er
21		In terms of marketing, it probably would be.			maintaining and operating the Marquette Street
22	Q	As far as marketing is concerned, you mentioned	22 23		property and compare that to the rent that you
23		earlier that you hadn't tried to sell the			receive from your tenants, it sounds to me like
24 25		property, but you had some plans to sell the	24 25		the Marquette Street property is a profitable one for you. Is that accurate?
2.5		property?	20		-
		Page 30			Page 32
1	Α		1	А	That's accurate.
2	Q	· · · ·	2	Q	Has it generally always been a profitable
3		Marquette Street property?	3		property for you going back to 1973?
4	Α	Sure. I'm 72-years-old, and by the time I'm 80,	4	Α	Perhaps not in the very early years. Within five
5	-	I don't want to own these things anymore.	5		years or so it should have been profitable.
6	Q		6	Q	And has the property been continuously profitable
7		semi-retired to being fully retired?	7		from 1978 or so continuously to the present?
8	Α	Yes, that describes it pretty fully.	8	Α	Yes.
9	Q		9	Q	The upstairs tenant, what is his name?
10		would like to sell these properties. Is that an	10	Α	Jones, Richard Jones. Or Frederick. I'm sorry.
11		accurate assessment?	11	~	Frederick Jones.
12		Yes.	12	Q	The current rent Mr. Jones pays is \$705?
13	Q	5 5 1	13	A	\$705, yes.
14		your tenants with water and gas. Did I hear that	14	Q	And you said he'd been there 25 years or so?
15		correctly?	15	A	I believe the initial lease was 1979.
16	Α	That's right, natural gas, water and sewer	16	Q	So he's been there more than 30 years?
17	~	service.	17	A	Yes.
18	Q		18	Q	I realize this is going way back in time, but do
19		those utilities you provide to your tenants?	19		you happen to recall about what the rent was in
20	Α	Well, there's just one furnace and one water	20		1979?
21		heater, so there's just one gas bill which is	21	A	
22		incorporated with the first floor apartment. The	22	Q	From the time the rent was \$235 in 1979 until its
			0.0		
23		upstairs tenants pays his own gas, which is	23		current value, has it just sort of gradually
			24		current value, has it just sort of gradually increased over time? Yes.

Case: 3:11-cv-00724-bbc Document #: 129 Filed: 02/13/13 Page 9 of 11

Kathleen McHugh and Deanna Schneider vs. Madison-Kipp1/8/13

Deposition of Daniel J. Stevens

		Page 33			Page 35
1	Q	Has Mr. Jones requested any reduction to his rent	1		any difficulty finding a new tenant?
2	-	as a result of the issues with Madison-Kipp?	2	Α	No, not at that time.
3	Α	No, he hasn't.	3	Q	Earlier you mentioned Peter Uttech?
4	Q		4	À	Yes.
5	•	names of the tenants who lived there?	5	Q	A neighbor from down the street?
6	Α	Adam and Tonya Striefer.	6	À	-
7	Q	And the rent that the Striefers pay is \$900, you	7	0	Did I understand that you had some conversations
8	· ·	said?	8	· ·	with Mr. Uttech about his attempts to sell his
9	А	That's right.	9		house?
10	Q	0	10	А	Yes.
11	Č	was another couple that lived there, and that's	11	Q	Could you describe those conversations to me?
12		the couple that got divorced?	12	~	I have known Pete for quite some time, and we do
13	А	Yes.	13		talk on occasion about other things, as well, but
14	Q	And your understanding is the reason they moved			in this particular case he was relating to me the
15	X	out is because they got divorced?	15		difficulty he was having trying to sell. It was
16	А		16		difficult for him, number one, to get a buyer to
17	Q	And not because of anything having to do with	17		begin with. He did get an offer to purchase.
18	Q	Madison-Kipp?	18		That buyer had difficulty obtaining financing and
19	۸	I believe that's right.	19		it fell through. The second buyer came along and
20		Well, do you remember the name of that couple?	20		was well qualified, but when he went to get a
20	Q A		20		mortgage, the mortgage lender would not make the
22	A	woman's name was a long Polish name, and I don't	22		mortgage because of the adverse publicity, so
23		know if I could even pronounce it.	23		that deal fell apart.
23 24	0	-	23 24	0	-
24 25	Q	11 5 6	24 25	Q	When were these conversations?
25		Mr. Davis and his ex-wife live in the unit?	25	A	In the last year.
		Page 34			Page 36
1	Α	I don't believe she was his wife. They were just	1	Q	Did Mr. Uttech identify for you what the basis of
2		living together. They moved out before the year	2		his understanding was, the difficulty of the
3		lease was over. I think maybe about three months	3		sales were due to Madison-Kipp?
4		prior to the time that it was ready to expire.	4	Α	I don't know if I could tell you precisely, but
5	Q	How long had Mr. Davis lived there?	5		my recollection is that it had to do with the
6	Α	When he left, it had been a year.	6		lender's knowledge of the controversy in the
7	Q	Was the unit rented prior to the time that	7		area.
8		Mr. Davis lived there?	8	Q	Do you know if Mr. Uttech had spoken with the
9	А	I'm sorry?	9		lender directly?
10	Q	Was the unit rented prior to the time that	10	Α	No, I don't know.
11	-	Mr. Davis lived there?	11	Q	Do you know if Mr. Uttech was relating
12	А	Yes.	12	•	conversations with his realtor to you?
13	Q	And do you remember the name of that tenant?	13	А	I don't know that.
14	À	Not without getting into my records.	14	Q	Do you have any specific information about the
15	Q	Do you recall how long that tenant had lived	15	¢	source of the information that Mr. Uttech was
16	×	there?	16		providing to you?
17	А	I believe they were there for two years.	17	А	No. Other than what he had told me, none.
18	0		18	0	
19	×	a few weeks or a month or two, have both units	19	×	owned the property, done any paint stripping?
20		been continuously rented from the 1970s through	20	А	
20		the present?	21	0	Have you had any issues with peeling or chipping
21 X	Λ	That's essentially true. The downstairs unit I	22	V	paint in the house?
22	А	-	23	٨	-
22 23		recently returnished and that tool several			
23		recently refurnished, and that took several months but that was at my option		A O	
	0	months, but that was at my option. Once the unit was refurbished, you didn't have	24 25	Q	Have you done any renovation work that involved demolishing old building materials and then

Case: 3:11-cv-00724-bbc Document #: 129 Filed: 02/13/13 Page 10 of 11

Kathleen McHugh and Deanna Schneider vs. Madison-Kipp1/8/13

Deposition of Daniel J. Stevens

		nagit and beaming semiciaer vs. Maarson kipp i/o		-
		Page 37		Page 39
1		putting in new building materials?	1	MS. KREIL: I have no questions.
2	А	No. I will amend that slightly. I put in some	2	MS. KRAMER: No questions.
3		new closet doors, so	3	MR. MANZKE: Okay.
4	0	Did you just remove the old closet doors and put	4	MR. BIANCHI: All done.
5	×	new doors in or did you change the shape of the	5	MR. MANZKE: We will reserve. Thanks,
6		doorway?	6	Dan. You are finished and I'm your taxi service.
5 7	Δ	I had to change the framing slightly.	7	(At 1:05 p.m. the deposition concluded.)
8		Did you do that yourself or did you hire a	8	(At 1.05 p.m. the deposition concluded.)
9	Q	professional?	9	
10	۸	I did it.	10	
11		Prior to doing that work on the closet, did you	11	
12	Q	make any effort to determine if the wall material	12	
13		contained any asbestos?	13	
14	٨	No, I didn't.	14	
15			15	
	Q	Now earlier I believe you said that you live on	16	
16		Knightsbridge Road here in Madison?		
17		That's right.	17	
18	Q		18	
19		approximately?	19	
20		Yes.	20	
21	Q		21	
22		Road address?	22	
23	Α	It's a tongue-twister, isn't it. 5604 Brim Trim	23	
24		Road in Madison.	24	
25	Q	Where relative to Madison-Kipp is the Brim Trim	25	
		Page 38		Page 40
1		Road address?	1	CERTIFICATE OF WITNESS
2	Α	About two to three miles south.	2	
3	Q		3	
4	-		4	I, DANIEL J. STEVENS, have read the
5	Q	Are you married?	5	foregoing page and the corrections, if any, having been
6	Ā	Yes.	6	noted. The same is now a true and correct transcript
7	Q	When were you married?	7	of my testimony.
8	A	1965.	8	of my testimony.
9	Q	And have you and your wife lived together	9	
10	Y	continuously from 1965 through the present?	10	DANIEL J. STEVENS
11	۸	Yes.	11	
12	Q		12	
13	-	Yes.	13	STATE OF WISCONSIN)
13			14	COUNTY)
	Q		14	(UUNI I)
15 16		Four. Did your children live with you continuously from		Subcombod and arrow to before me this
16	Q	Did your children live with you continuously from	16	Subscribed and sworn to before me this
17		the time they were born until they left home to	17	day of, 2013.
18		go off to work or off to college?	18	
19		Yes.	19	
20	Q	In this lawsuit are you claiming that you	20	
21		sustained any damages between January 1st of 1980		Notary Public
22		and January 1st of 1987?	22	In and for the State of Wisconsin
23	Α	No.	23	My commission expires,,
24		MR. WHITE: That's all I have. Thank	24	
25		you very much.	25	

10 (Pages 37 to 40)

Case: 3:11-cv-00724-bbc Document #: 129 Filed: 02/13/13 Page 11 of 11

Kathleen McHugh and Deanna Schneider vs. Madison-Kipp1/8/13

Deposition of Daniel J. Stevens

Kachiteen	McHugn and Deanna Schneider VS. Madison-Kipp 1/8	715	Deposition of Daniel	0. 500000
	Page 41			Page 43
1	STATE OF WISCONSIN)	1		
2	MILWAUKEE COUNTY)	2		
3	I, KATHY A. HALMA, Registered			
4	Professional Reporter and Notary Public in and for the	3		
5	State of Wisconsin, do hereby certify that the	4		
6	deposition of DANIEL J. STEVENS, was taken before me at	5		
7	*	6	Notary Public in and	
	the law offices of MICHAEL, BEST & FRIEDRICH, LLP, One	7	for the State of Wisconsin	
8	South Pinckney Street, Suite 700, Madison, Wisconsin,	8		
9	on the 8th day of January, 2013, commencing at 12:13	9		
10	o'clock in the afternoon.		D (14) 144 1 CL 2012	
11	That it was taken at the instance of the	10	Dated this 14th day of January, 2013,	
12	Defendants upon verbal interrogatories.	11	Milwaukee, Wisconsin.	
13	That said statement was taken to be used	12		
14	in an action now pending in the UNITED STATES DISTRICT	13		
15	COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN, in whi	ch14		
16	KATHLEEN MC HUGH, et al., are the Plaintiffs and	15		
17	MADISON-KIPP, et al., are the Defendants and	16		
18	MADISON-KIPP CORPORATION is the Cross-Claimant and			
19	CONTINENTAL CASUALTY COMPANY, et al., are the	17		
20	Cross-Complainants and LUMBERMENS MUTUAL CASUALTY	18		
21	COMPANY are the Third-Party Defendants.	19		
22	A P P E A R A N C E S	20		
23	THE COLLINS LAW FIRM, P.C, 1770 North	21		
23		22		
	Park Street, Suite 200, Naperville, Illinois, 60563, by	23		
24	MR. EDWARD J. MANZKE, ejmanzke@collinslaw.com, appeared			
	on behalf of the Plaintiffs.	24		
25		25		
	Page 42			
1	VARGA, BERGER, LEDSKY, HAYES & CASEY,			
1	125 South Wacker Drive, Suite 1250, Chicago, Illinois,			
2	60606-4473, by MR. MICHAEL D. HAYES, mhayes@vblhc.com,			
	appeared on behalf of the Plaintiffs.			
3	MICHAEL, BEST & FRIEDRICH, LLP, One			
4	South Pinckney Street, Suite 700, Madison, Wisconsin,			
	53703, by MS. LEAH H. ZIEMBA and MR. ALBERT BIANCHI,			
5	JR., lhziemba@michaelbest.com and			
6	abianchi@michaelbest.com, appeared on behalf of Madison-Kipp Corporation.			
7	TROUTMAN SANDERS, LLP, 55 West Monroe			
	Street, Suite 3000, Chicago, Illinois, 60603-5758, by			
8	MR. CHRISTOPHER H. WHITE,			
9	christopher.white@troutmansanders.com, appeared on behalf of the Defendant Continental Casualty Company.			
10	MEISSNER, TIERNEY, FISHER & NICHOLS,			
	S.C., 111 East Kilbourn Avenue, 19th Floor, Milwaukee,			
11	Wisconsin, 53202-6622, by MS. JENNIFER KREIL,			
12	jbk@mtfn.com, appeared on behalf of United States Fire Insurance Company.			
13	DEUTCH & WEISS, LLC, 7670 North Port			
	Washington Road, Milwaukee, Wisconsin, 53217, by MR.			
14	CHARLES W. KRAMER, charles.kramer@mweisslaw.net,			
15	appeared on behalf of American Motorists Insurance Company.			
16	That said deponent, before examination,			
17	was sworn to testify the truth, the whole truth, and			
18	nothing but the truth relative to said cause.			
19 20	That the foregoing is a full, true and correct record of all the proceedings had in the matter			
21	of the taking of said deposition, as reflected by my			
22	original machine shorthand notes taken at said time and			
23 24	place.			
24 25				
55				

Halma-Jilek Reporting, Inc. Experience Quality Service!