### Case: 3:11-cv-00724-bbc Document #: 237 Filed: 04/10/13 Page 1 of 53

Kathleen McHugh and Deanna Schneider vs. Madison-Kipp4/8/13

,		Page 1
1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
2	WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN	
3		
4 5	KATHLEEN MCHUGH, and DEANNA SCHNEIDER, Individually and on behalf of all persons similarly situated,	
6	Plaintiffs,	
7	vs. CASE NO. 11-CV-724	
8 9	MADISON-KIPP CORPORATION, CONTINENTAL CASUALTY COMPANY, UNITED STATES FIRE INSURANCE	
10	COMPANY and ABC INSURANCE COMPANIES 1-50,	
11	Defendants,	
12	and	
13	MADISON-KIPP CORPORATION,	
14	Cross-Claimant,	
15	VS.	
16	CONTINENTAL CASUALTY COMPANY,	
17	COLUMBIA CASUALTY COMPANY and UNITED STATES FIRE INSURANCE COMPANY,	
18	Cross-Claim Defendants,	
19		
20	(Caption continued)	
21	DEPOSITION OF	
22	MARK D. DANIEL	
23	Milwaukee, Wisconsin	
24	April 8, 2013 9:10 a.m. to 12:53 p.m.	
25	Kathy A. Halma, RPR	

	Page 2		Page 3
1			
1 2	and CONTINENTAL CASUALTY COMPANY and	1 2	I N D E X MARK D. DANIEL
3	COLUMBIA CASUALTY COMPANY,	3	By Ms. Ross
4	Cross-Claim Defendants	4	By Mr. Cohen121
	and	5	EXHIBITS
5	LUMBERMENS MUTUAL CASUALTY	6	No. 1 Amended Deposition Notice6
6	COMPANY, AMERICAN MOTORISTS INSURANCE COMPANY, and JOHN DOE	7	No. 2 Madison-Kipp's Objections and Response to
7	INSURANCE COMPANIES 1-20,	8	Amended Notice
8 9	Third-Party Defendants.	9 10	No. 3 Binder of Responsive Documents21 No. 4 Stipulation Concerning Terms/Conditions of
10 11	A P P E A R A N C E S VARGA, BERGER, LEDSKY, HAYES & CASEY,	11	Columbia Casualty Company Umbrella Polices22
	125 South Wacker Drive, Suite 1250, Chicago, Illinois,	12	No. 5 Continental Casualty Company Policy No.
12	60606-4473, by MR. MICHAEL HAYES, appeared on behalf of the Plaintiffs.	13	RDX02207938732
13	MICHAEL, BEST & FRIEDRICH, LLP, Two	14	No. 6 Stipulation Concerning Terms/Conditions of
14	Riverwood Place, Suite 200, N19 W24133 Riverwood Drive,	15	Columbia Casualty Company Umbrella Policies34
15	Waukesha, Wisconsin, 53188-1174, by MR. LEE M. SEESE and MR. NATHAN L. MOENCK, appeared on behalf of	16	No. 7 Formal Responses
16	Madison-Kipp Corporation.	17 18	No. 8 Compilation of Letters; CCC00771 to 80084
	TROUTMAN SANDERS, LLP, 55 West Monroe	19	No. 9 Amended Deposition Notice with Handwritten Notes
17	Street, Suite 3000, Chicago, Illinois, 60603-5758, by MS. REBECCA L. ROSS, appeared on behalf of the	20	10005
18 19	Defendant Continental Casualty Company. MEISSNER, TIERNEY, FISHER & NICHOLS,	21	(The original transcript was sent to Attorney
	S.C., 111 East Kilbourn Avenue, 19th Floor, Milwaukee,		Ross.)
20	Wisconsin, 53202-6622, by MR. MICHAEL J. COHEN, appeared on behalf of United States Fire Insurance	22	
21	Company. WEISS LAW OFFICE, S.C., 1017 West Glen	23	(Original Exhibits 1, 2 and 4 through 9 were retained
22	Oaks Lane, Suite 207, Mequon, Wisconsin, 53092, by MR.	24	by the court reporter and attached to the original
23	MONTE E. WEISS, appeared on behalf of the Defendants Lumbermens and American Motorists.	24	transcript. Copies were attached to all ordered copies. Exhibit 3 was retained by Attorney Moenck to
24 25		25	be provided electronically via an FTP site.)
	Dere 4		
	Page 4		Page 5
1	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS	1	A No, I have not.
2	MARK D. DANIEL, called as a witness	2	Q As you can tell, there's a court reporter here
3	herein by the Cross-Claim Defendants, after	3	and she is taking down my questions and your
4	having been first duly sworn, was examined and	4	answers. Do you understand that?
5	testified as follows:	5	A Correct, yes.
6	EXAMINATION	6	Q And so during a deposition it's important that
7	BY MS. ROSS:	7	she has the opportunity to get my full question
8	Q Mr. Daniel, can you please state your full name	8	and your full answer, so I would ask that you not
9	for the record?	9	talk over me, and I will try not to talk over
10	A Mark D. Daniel.	10	you. Is that okay?
11	Q And what is your Are you employed?	11	A Thank you.
12	A Yes.	12	Q You also have a right to a break at any time that
13	Q At Madison-Kipp?	13	you want it. I would prefer that you not ask for
14 15	A I'm the Vice President of Finance for Madicon Kinn Corporation	14	a break between a question and an answer, unless
15 16	Madison-Kipp Corporation.	15 16	you really need a break, and then tell me and we will stop.
16 17	Q And what are your duties and responsibilities as the Vice President of Finance at Madison Kipp?	17	A Thank you.
18	the Vice President of Finance at Madison-Kipp? A Largely the financial organization, treasury and	18	Q With respect to the questions I will ask, I will
18	the information systems fall under my	18	try to be as clear as I possibly can be, but if
20	responsibility, strategic planning and focus on	20	at any point you don't understand my question,
20	improving the business.	20	will you please tell me?
22	Q Do the insurance issues fall under your	21	A Yes.
22	jurisdiction?	22	Q And so I'm going to assume that if you answer my
23	A They do now, yes.	23	question, you have understood it. Okay?
24 25	Q Have you ever had your deposition taken before?	24	A Yes.
25	2 mare you even had your deposition taken before?	2.5	11 100.

# Case: 3:11-cv-00724-bbc Document #: 237 Filed: 04/10/13 Page 3 of 53

Kathleen McHugh and Deanna Schneider vs. Madison-Kipp4/8/13

Deposition of Mark D. Daniel

	Page 6		Page 7
1	Q Now you are appearing here today on behalf of	1	MS. ROSS: I don't really have any
2	Madison-Kipp, is that correct?	2	objection to your putting them in. Do you have
3	A That is correct.	3	copies or not?
4	Q And have you been designated to respond to the	4	MR. SEESE: I only have one copy. Sorry
5	Amended Notice of Rule 30(b)(6) Deposition of	5	about that. I don't think it's a controversial
6	Madison-Kipp Corporation?	6	document.
7	A Yes, I have.	7	(Exhibit 2 was marked.)
8	(Exhibit 1 was marked.)	8	BY MS. ROSS:
9	BY MS. ROSS:	9	Q Mr. Daniel, can you identify what Exhibit 2 is?
10	Q Showing you what has been marked as Exhibit 1,	10	Do you know what it is?
11	can you briefly look through that and tell me if	11	A I have seen this, yes.
12	there are any of the matters of examination for	12	Q And what is that document?
13	which you are not designated to respond today?	13	A It's the rebuttal from the original thing.
14	A Not at this time.	14	Q And do you know whose rebuttal it is?
15	MR. SEESE: Hold on. Do you understand	15	A It's Madison-Kipp's.
16	the question she asked?	16	Q Did you have any involvement in the drafting of
17	THE WITNESS: I believe so.	17	that document, Exhibit 2?
18	MR. SEESE: She's asking you what topics	18	A No, I did not.
19	you are not designated on.	19	Q Did you approve it before it was sent out?
20	THE WITNESS: I'm designated on the	20	A No.
21	topics under the examination. Correct?	21	Q What did you do to prepare for your deposition
22	MR. SEESE: What I'd like to do is can	22	today?
23	we put into evidence as Exhibit No. 2 our	23	A We reviewed the documents that are enclosed in
24	objections in response to the Notice of	24	this book, which are the insurance coverages, all
25	Examination so I don't have to restate them?	25	correspondence that have been found and accounts
		25	-
_	Page 8	-	Page 9
1	payable and invoice copies in this relationship.	1	A We had a phone call on Friday for about 20
2	Q Did you do anything else?	2	minutes.
3	A No.	3	Q Were you asked to obtain any information other
4	Q Did you talk to anyone?	4	than the information that's contained in the
5	A I talked with Lee Seese, the attorney for	5	binder in front of you?
6	Madison-Kipp Corporation.	6	A No.
7	Q Other than Mr	7	Q Can I look at that binder, please?
8	MS. ROSS: How do you pronounce your	8	A Yes.
9	name?	9	MR. SEESE: If you want, I have got
10	MR. SEESE: Seese.	10	extra copies.
11	BY MS. ROSS:	11	MS. ROSS: Okay.
12	Q Other than Mr. Seese, was anyone else present in	12	MR. SEESE: I have got two extra copies.
13	your conversation?	13	It's a big stack. So if you guys want to share
14	A Mr. Busch and Mr. Crass on different occasions.	14	or I will give them to you guys first.
15	Q On how many different occasions did you meet with	15	MS. ROSS: For now let's just see what
16	Mr. Seese?	16	we have got.
17	A Three.	17	BY MS. ROSS:
18	Q And when was the first time?	18	Q Mr. Daniel, are these set up This binder is
19	A Approximately three weeks ago.	19	set up with certain tabs, is that correct?
20	Q How long did you meet?	20	A Yes.
21	A Approximately an hour.	21	Q And do the tabs relate to particular items in the
	Q When was the second time?	22	Notice of Deposition?
22		23	A Yes.
23	A A week and one-half ago. It was about a two-hour		
	<ul><li>A week and one-half ago. It was about a two-hour session.</li><li>Q And when was the third time?</li></ul>	24 25	Q In your copy are there any markings on any of the documents?

3 (Pages 6 to 9)

# Case: 3:11-cv-00724-bbc Document #: 237 Filed: 04/10/13 Page 4 of 53

Kathleen McHugh and Deanna Schneider vs. Madison-Kipp4/8/13

Deposition of Mark D. Daniel

		Page 10		Page 11
1			- 1	
1 2		Other than highlighting names and dates, no.	1 2	related in any manner to the actual contamination
3	Q	And when you say "other than highlighting names and dates," where did you highlight names and	3	at the site, but also any environmental contamination that came from the site, is that
4		dates?	4	correct?
5	Δ	An example is on the first letter under Section	5	MR. SEESE: Object to form. You can
6	A	3.	6	answer.
7	0	Yes.	7	THE WITNESS: Yes.
8	-	The date June 5, 1991, Continental Insurance.	8	BY MS. ROSS:
9	Π	The reference Refuse Hideaway Landfill, and the	9	Q Were you attempting to also gather information to
10		author being Holly Sewall.	10	and from the DNR?
11	Q		11	A Yes.
12		Just those four lines.	12	Q And was that information that was then provided
13	Q		13	to Continental Casualty Company or Columbia
14	×	lines?	14	Casualty Company? Is that the reason that you
15	А	Just to be able to provide succinct answers to	15	put it in under No. 3?
16		the questions being posed.	16	A Yes.
17	0	Can you walk through with me with respect to what	17	Q So did you attempt to determine all of the
18		you have listed under Item 3? What did you	18	documents that have been sent to Continental
19		attempt to gather to put behind Item 3?	19	Casualty Company or Columbia Casualty Company
20	Α	As outlined in the deposition request, all	20	relating in any way to the environmental
21		notices or tenders to Continental Casualty	21	contamination?
22		Company or Columbia Casualty Company for any	22	A To the best of my knowledge, yes.
23		environmental contamination claims relating to or	23	Q Under 5 and 12, the second tab, what did you
24		arising out of the Madison-Kipp facility.	24	attempt to gather with respect to that those
25	Q	So you looked not only for the notices that	25	documents?
		Page 12		Page 13
1	А	Tab No. 5 and No. 12. All costs, both defense	1	immediately surrounding the Madison-Kipp
2		and indemnity, that Madison-Kipp has incurred	2	facility, is that correct?
3		related to the environmental contamination at or	3	MR. SEESE: Object to form. You can
4		arising out of the Madison-Kipp facility for	4	answer.
5		which Madison-Kipp has not been paid or	5	THE WITNESS: Yes, that is correct.
6		reimbursed by any person. This includes, but is	6	BY MS. ROSS:
7		not limited to, the dates such costs were	7	Q And what did you attempt to gather behind the tab
8		incurred and the amounts of those costs.	8	that's marked 9?
9	Q	And are these the costs that were incurred prior	9	A All notices or tenders to United States Fire
10		to July 25, 2011?	10	Insurance Company of any environmental
11	А	Yes.	11	contamination claims relating to or arising out
12	Q	And where did you get the information that is	12	of the Madison-Kipp facility.
13		contained behind the tab marked 5 and 12?	13	Q And, once again, with respect to Tab No. 9, this
14	А	From the accounting records of Madison-Kipp	14	included notices or tenders that related both to
15		Corporation.	15	the contamination at the Madison-Kipp facility
16	Q	And then with respect to Item 7 and 8, the third	16	and its surrounding area, as well as any
17		tab, what did you attempt to gather to put behind	17	contamination allegedly arising from the
18		Tab 7 and 8?	18	Madison-Kipp facility, is that right?
19	А	All communications Madison-Kipp had with anyone	19	MR. SEESE: Object to form. You can
20		at Continental Casualty Company relating to	20	answer.
21		environmental contamination at or arising out of	21	THE WITNESS: That is correct.
22	~	the Madison-Kipp facility prior to July 25, 2011.	22	BY MS. ROSS:
23	Q	Okay. And, once again, this includes	23	Q What did you attempt to put behind Tab No. 11?
24 25		communications that relate to sites other than the Madison Kinn facility or the homes	24	A All communications Madison-Kipp had with United
25		the Madison-Kipp facility or the homes	25	States Fire Insurance Company relating to

4 (Pages 10 to 13)

# Case: 3:11-cv-00724-bbc Document #: 237 Filed: 04/10/13 Page 5 of 53

Kathleen McHugh and Deanna Schneider vs. Madison-Kipp4/8/13

Deposition of Mark D. Daniel

		Page 14		Page 15
1	,	environmental contamination at or arising out of	1	American Motorists Insurance Company concerning
2		the Madison-Kipp facility prior to July 25, 2011.	2	the environmental contamination at the
3	0	And what did you attempt to put behind the tab	3	Madison-Kipp facility. And 21, all
4	×	marked 14 and 15?	4	communications between Madison-Kipp and
5	А	All communications concerning whether to provide	5	Lumbermens Mutual Casualty Company concerning the
6		notice of environmental contamination at the	6	environmental contamination at the Madison-Kipp
7		Madison-Kipp facility to any insurer. That was	7	facility.
8		No. 14. No. 15, all communication concerning	8	Q So, once again, are these communications behind
9		when to provide notice of environmental	9	Tab 20 and 21 designed to be communications with
10		contamination at the Madison-Kipp facility to any	10	Lumbermens and American Motorists that relate
11		insurer and why notice was provided at such time.	11	both to the contamination at the Madison-Kipp
12	0	Were 14 and 15 designed Are the documents	12	facility and surrounding area, as well as any
13	×	behind 14 and 15 designed to be all of the	13	alleged contamination coming from the
14		communications concerning when to provide notice	14	Madison-Kipp facility?
15		of these claims?	15	MR. SEESE: Object to form. You can
16		MR. SEESE: I object to that on the	16	answer.
17		grounds of privilege and instruct the witness to	17	THE WITNESS: That is correct.
18		answer that question, but in doing so, do not	18	BY MS. ROSS:
19		disclose attorney-client privileged information.	19	Q And what did you attempt to gather under the tab
20		THE WITNESS: To the best of my	20	marked 22?
21		knowledge, yes.	21	A All claims of general liability coverage
22	BY	MS. ROSS:	22	Madison-Kipp has ever had made under any policies
23		What did you attempt to gather with respect to	23	issued by American Motorists Insurance Company or
24	×	the documents behind Tab 20 to 21?	24	Lumbermens Mutual Casualty Company.
25	А	All communications between Madison-Kipp and	25	Q How did you gather the documents that are
		Page 16		Page 17
1		contained under Tab 22?	1	Q Did you meet with the researcher?
2	Δ	Extensive research of all of the archive files of	2	A As an introductory and walked through the
3	11	Madison-Kipp Corporation.	3	facility, yes.
4	0	What kind of research did you do?	4	Q Where did you find the documents that you have
5		I went to all of our storage locations reviewing	5	under Tab 22?
6	11	all of the storage files that we have. We also	6	A I'm not sure.
7		brought in a researcher to help with that	7	Q For example, the first one is a November 8, 1973
8		research in this process.	8	letter to Richard Riesen from American Motorists
9	0	Do you know what the researcher's name was?	9	Insurance Company, right?
10	A		10	A Yes.
11		Did you conduct the research to obtain the	11	Q Do you know where that document came from?
12	۲ ۲	documents behind Tab No. 22?	12	A No, I do not.
13	Δ	I assisted in the research, yes.	13	Q If I were to ask you the same question with
14		Who conducted that research?	14	respect to the remaining documents that are
15	~	There was a small team which included our Vice	15	contained under Tab 22, would you be able to tell
16	11	President of Human Resources, Mark Meunier,	16	me where any of those documents came from?
17		myself and a clerical individual, Nancy	17	A No, I would not.
18		Gilminder.	18	Q Who would know?
19	Q		19	A I would have to seek counsel on that. I don't
20	A	No.	20	know.
21	Q	Was there anyone involved from the Michael Best	21	Q Well, you told me that there were only four
22	Q	law firm?	22	people that were involved in the search, is that
23	А	No.	23	right?
	Q	Was the researcher involved?	24	A That's correct.
2.4				
24 25	-	Yes.	25	Q So presumably one of those four people was the

5 (Pages 14 to 17)

Deposition of Mark D. Daniel

			- Dage 10
	Page 18		Page 19
1	person that found the particular document, is	1	MR. SEESE: Same objection. You can
2	that right?	2	answer.
3	A I believe so, yes.	3	THE WITNESS: Yes.
4	Q Were any of the documents given to you by	4	BY MS. ROSS:
5	counsel?	5	Q Are there folders that are marked "insurance
6	A This book was given to me by counsel. I	6	policies," for example?
7	physically did not provide these documents.	7	A There were some.
8	Q Were the documents that were that are behind	8	Q Do you know what years those were?
9	Tab 22 given to you by counsel?	9	MR. SEESE: I'm going to will object to
10	A Again, the book was given to me by counsel.	10	this whole line of questioning as beyond the
11	Q Were the documents that are behind Tab 22	11	scope of what's noticed here. I'm not sure
12 13	documents that your counsel first found and then	12	What topic are we actually on now?
14	provided to Madison-Kipp? A I do not know.	13 14	MS. ROSS: Right now we're trying to
15		14	find out about the binder that the witness
16	Q Where are the storage locations that were searched?	16	provided to us.
17	A There was two vault areas in our basement in the	10	MR. SEESE: So my objection is all of this whole line of questioning is beyond the
18	Madison-Kipp building.	18	scope of any of the topics in the notice. You
19	Q And do those contain individual claim files?	19	can answer to the extent you are able.
20	MR. SEESE: I'm going to object to this	20	THE WITNESS: It included other areas,
21	as beyond the scope of Topic 22. You can answer.	21	as well, and the term "all," I cannot answer to
22	THE WITNESS: It stores all of our	22	all because I do not physically look at every
23	archive material, so it's beyond that area.	23	document to see if it was all insurance policies.
24	BY MS. ROSS:	24	BY MS. ROSS:
25	Q Does it store all of your insurance materials?	25	Q During the period of time that you met with
	Page 20		Page 21
1	Mr. Seese, Mr. Busch and Mr. Crass, did you have	1	BY MS. ROSS:
2	conversations with any other employee of	2	Q Mr. Daniel, could you please identify for the
3	Madison-Kipp?	3	record what Exhibit 3 is.
4	A No.	4	A It is a binder of copies of documents collected
5	Q How long did it take you to find the documents	5	to enter the deposition.
6	that are contained in the binder that's sitting	6	Q And this is the binder that you have been
7	in front of you?	7	describing in the last portion of your testimony,
8	MR. SEESE: Object to the form of that.	8	is that correct?
9	You can answer, if you are able.	9	A That is correct.
10	THE WITNESS: The search started	10	Q Okay. Can you tell me what years Madison-Kipp
11	midsummer in 2012.	11	claims that Continental Casualty Company issued
12	BY MR. SEESE:	12	primary policies to Madison-Kipp?
13	Q What was the reason for starting the search in	13	MR. SEESE: I object to that as beyond
14	midsummer of 2001, do you know?	14	the scope of the notice, the deposition notice.
15	MR. SEESE: Object to that as beyond the	15	You can answer, to the extent you are able.
16	scope. You can answer, if you are able.	16	THE WITNESS: I'm not able to answer to
17	THE WITNESS: I don't know why.	17	that.
18	MS. ROSS: I'd like to have marked as	18	BY MS. ROSS:
19	Exhibit 3 the binder that the witness actually	19	Q Topic No. 1 asks you to be prepared to talk about
20	has in front of him that as the highlighting on	20	the terms and conditions of any policies you
21 22	it. Do you have any objection to that? MR. SEESE: No objection, just that he	21 22	claim that Continental Casualty Company issued to Madison Kipp is that right?
	can use it then to the extent he's testified to,	22	Madison-Kipp, is that right? MR. SEESE: I object to that. He's not
		د ک	WIN. SEESE. TOUJECT IO MAI. THE SHOL
23 24	but ves you can mark that one	24	designated here to respond to Tonic No. 1
23 24 25	but, yes, you can mark that one. (Exhibit 3 was marked.)	24 25	designated here to respond to Topic No. 1. MS. ROSS: You are not going to allow

6 (Pages 18 to 21)

	Dama 22		Dama 02
	Page 22		Page 23
1	him to testify at all about Topic No. 1?	1	Continental to Madison-Kipp. Do you see that?
2	MR. SEESE: That's correct. It's the	2	MR. SEESE: I'm going to object to the
3	subject of the pending motion for protective	3	whole line of questioning on this document as
4	order.	4	beyond the scope of the deposition notice and
5	MS. ROSS: Could the court reporter	5	beyond the scope of the topics on which he's been
6	please mark as Exhibit 4 a multipage document	6	designated. You can answer that question, if you
7	entitled "Stipulation Concerning the Terms and	7	are able.
8	Conditions of the Continental Casualty Company	8	THE WITNESS: I'm not able to address
9	Primary Policies."	9	this.
10	(Exhibit 4 was marked.)	10	BY MS. ROSS:
11	BY MS. ROSS:	11	Q Do you know whether Madison-Kipp claims that
12	Q Mr. Daniel, showing you what has been marked	12	Continental Casualty Company issued any primary
13	MS. ROSS: Can you hand these down,	13	policies other than for the period of time from
14	Mike? Thank you.	14	1981 through 1987?
15	BY MS. ROSS:	15	MR. SEESE: I will object to that.
16	Q Showing you what has been marked as Exhibit 4,	16	Again, it's beyond the scope of the deposition
17	Daniel Exhibit 4, have you ever seen that	17	notice itself, and it's beyond the scope of any
18	document before?	18	topic on which this witness has been designated.
19	A Not to my knowledge, but	19	Subject to that objection, you can answer.
20	MR. SEESE: You have to answer her.	20	THE WITNESS: I'm not aware.
21	THE WITNESS: I have not seen this	21	BY MS. ROSS:
22	document, no.	22	Q Have you reviewed any of the copies of any of the
23	BY MS. ROSS:	23	primary policies issued by Continental Casualty
24	Q This document on Page 2 of the document	24	Company to Madison-Kipp?
25	identifies six primary policies issued by	25	MR. SEESE: Same objection. You can
			-
	Page 24		Page 25
1	Page 24 answer.	1	Page 25 THE WITNESS: I'm not aware.
2	Page 24 answer. THE WITNESS: No, I have not.	1 2	Page 25 THE WITNESS: I'm not aware. BY MS. ROSS:
2 3	Page 24 answer. THE WITNESS: No, I have not. BY MS. ROSS:	1 2 3	Page 25 THE WITNESS: I'm not aware. BY MS. ROSS: Q Do you have any understanding concerning
2 3 4	Page 24 answer. THE WITNESS: No, I have not. BY MS. ROSS: Q Do you know anything about the negotiation of	1 2 3 4	Page 25 THE WITNESS: I'm not aware. BY MS. ROSS: Q Do you have any understanding concerning Madison-Kipp's responsibilities under the primary
2 3 4 5	Page 24 answer. THE WITNESS: No, I have not. BY MS. ROSS: Q Do you know anything about the negotiation of those policies with Continental Casualty Company?	1 2 3 4 5	Page 25 THE WITNESS: I'm not aware. BY MS. ROSS: Q Do you have any understanding concerning Madison-Kipp's responsibilities under the primary policies in the event of an occurrence?
2 3 4 5 6	Page 24 answer. THE WITNESS: No, I have not. BY MS. ROSS: Q Do you know anything about the negotiation of	1 2 3 4 5 6	Page 25 THE WITNESS: I'm not aware. BY MS. ROSS: Q Do you have any understanding concerning Madison-Kipp's responsibilities under the primary policies in the event of an occurrence? MR. SEESE: Same objection. You can
2 3 4 5	Page 24 answer. THE WITNESS: No, I have not. BY MS. ROSS: Q Do you know anything about the negotiation of those policies with Continental Casualty Company? MR. SEESE: Same objection. You can answer.	1 2 3 4 5	Page 25 THE WITNESS: I'm not aware. BY MS. ROSS: Q Do you have any understanding concerning Madison-Kipp's responsibilities under the primary policies in the event of an occurrence? MR. SEESE: Same objection. You can answer, if you know.
2 3 4 5 6	Page 24 answer. THE WITNESS: No, I have not. BY MS. ROSS: Q Do you know anything about the negotiation of those policies with Continental Casualty Company? MR. SEESE: Same objection. You can answer. THE WITNESS: No, I do not.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Page 25 THE WITNESS: I'm not aware. BY MS. ROSS: Q Do you have any understanding concerning Madison-Kipp's responsibilities under the primary policies in the event of an occurrence? MR. SEESE: Same objection. You can answer, if you know. THE WITNESS: No, I do not.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Page 24 answer. THE WITNESS: No, I have not. BY MS. ROSS: Q Do you know anything about the negotiation of those policies with Continental Casualty Company? MR. SEESE: Same objection. You can answer. THE WITNESS: No, I do not. BY MS. ROSS:	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Page 25 THE WITNESS: I'm not aware. BY MS. ROSS: Q Do you have any understanding concerning Madison-Kipp's responsibilities under the primary policies in the event of an occurrence? MR. SEESE: Same objection. You can answer, if you know. THE WITNESS: No, I do not. BY MS. ROSS:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Page 24 answer. THE WITNESS: No, I have not. BY MS. ROSS: Q Do you know anything about the negotiation of those policies with Continental Casualty Company? MR. SEESE: Same objection. You can answer. THE WITNESS: No, I do not. BY MS. ROSS: Q Are you aware of Madison-Kipp's understanding of	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Page 25 THE WITNESS: I'm not aware. BY MS. ROSS: Q Do you have any understanding concerning Madison-Kipp's responsibilities under the primary policies in the event of an occurrence? MR. SEESE: Same objection. You can answer, if you know. THE WITNESS: No, I do not. BY MS. ROSS: Q Have you had a discussion with anyone at
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Page 24 answer. THE WITNESS: No, I have not. BY MS. ROSS: Q Do you know anything about the negotiation of those policies with Continental Casualty Company? MR. SEESE: Same objection. You can answer. THE WITNESS: No, I do not. BY MS. ROSS: Q Are you aware of Madison-Kipp's understanding of any of the terms and conditions of the primary	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Page 25 THE WITNESS: I'm not aware. BY MS. ROSS: Q Do you have any understanding concerning Madison-Kipp's responsibilities under the primary policies in the event of an occurrence? MR. SEESE: Same objection. You can answer, if you know. THE WITNESS: No, I do not. BY MS. ROSS: Q Have you had a discussion with anyone at Madison-Kipp concerning Madison-Kipp's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Page 24 answer. THE WITNESS: No, I have not. BY MS. ROSS: Q Do you know anything about the negotiation of those policies with Continental Casualty Company? MR. SEESE: Same objection. You can answer. THE WITNESS: No, I do not. BY MS. ROSS: Q Are you aware of Madison-Kipp's understanding of any of the terms and conditions of the primary policies issued by Continental Casualty Company	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Page 25 THE WITNESS: I'm not aware. BY MS. ROSS: Q Do you have any understanding concerning Madison-Kipp's responsibilities under the primary policies in the event of an occurrence? MR. SEESE: Same objection. You can answer, if you know. THE WITNESS: No, I do not. BY MS. ROSS: Q Have you had a discussion with anyone at
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Page 24 answer. THE WITNESS: No, I have not. BY MS. ROSS: Q Do you know anything about the negotiation of those policies with Continental Casualty Company? MR. SEESE: Same objection. You can answer. THE WITNESS: No, I do not. BY MS. ROSS: Q Are you aware of Madison-Kipp's understanding of any of the terms and conditions of the primary policies issued by Continental Casualty Company to Madison-Kipp?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Page 25 THE WITNESS: I'm not aware. BY MS. ROSS: Q Do you have any understanding concerning Madison-Kipp's responsibilities under the primary policies in the event of an occurrence? MR. SEESE: Same objection. You can answer, if you know. THE WITNESS: No, I do not. BY MS. ROSS: Q Have you had a discussion with anyone at Madison-Kipp concerning Madison-Kipp's understanding of the pollution exclusions in the Continental Casualty Company primary policies?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Page 24 answer. THE WITNESS: No, I have not. BY MS. ROSS: Q Do you know anything about the negotiation of those policies with Continental Casualty Company? MR. SEESE: Same objection. You can answer. THE WITNESS: No, I do not. BY MS. ROSS: Q Are you aware of Madison-Kipp's understanding of any of the terms and conditions of the primary policies issued by Continental Casualty Company	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Page 25 THE WITNESS: I'm not aware. BY MS. ROSS: Q Do you have any understanding concerning Madison-Kipp's responsibilities under the primary policies in the event of an occurrence? MR. SEESE: Same objection. You can answer, if you know. THE WITNESS: No, I do not. BY MS. ROSS: Q Have you had a discussion with anyone at Madison-Kipp concerning Madison-Kipp's understanding of the pollution exclusions in the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Page 24 answer. THE WITNESS: No, I have not. BY MS. ROSS: Q Do you know anything about the negotiation of those policies with Continental Casualty Company? MR. SEESE: Same objection. You can answer. THE WITNESS: No, I do not. BY MS. ROSS: Q Are you aware of Madison-Kipp's understanding of any of the terms and conditions of the primary policies issued by Continental Casualty Company to Madison-Kipp?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Page 25 THE WITNESS: I'm not aware. BY MS. ROSS: Q Do you have any understanding concerning Madison-Kipp's responsibilities under the primary policies in the event of an occurrence? MR. SEESE: Same objection. You can answer, if you know. THE WITNESS: No, I do not. BY MS. ROSS: Q Have you had a discussion with anyone at Madison-Kipp concerning Madison-Kipp's understanding of the pollution exclusions in the Continental Casualty Company primary policies?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Page 24 answer. THE WITNESS: No, I have not. BY MS. ROSS: Q Do you know anything about the negotiation of those policies with Continental Casualty Company? MR. SEESE: Same objection. You can answer. THE WITNESS: No, I do not. BY MS. ROSS: Q Are you aware of Madison-Kipp's understanding of any of the terms and conditions of the primary policies issued by Continental Casualty Company to Madison-Kipp? MR. SEESE: I'm sorry. I was a little	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Page 25 THE WITNESS: I'm not aware. BY MS. ROSS: Q Do you have any understanding concerning Madison-Kipp's responsibilities under the primary policies in the event of an occurrence? MR. SEESE: Same objection. You can answer, if you know. THE WITNESS: No, I do not. BY MS. ROSS: Q Have you had a discussion with anyone at Madison-Kipp concerning Madison-Kipp's understanding of the pollution exclusions in the Continental Casualty Company primary policies? MR. SEESE: I object to that as beyond
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Page 24 answer. THE WITNESS: No, I have not. BY MS. ROSS: Q Do you know anything about the negotiation of those policies with Continental Casualty Company? MR. SEESE: Same objection. You can answer. THE WITNESS: No, I do not. BY MS. ROSS: Q Are you aware of Madison-Kipp's understanding of any of the terms and conditions of the primary policies issued by Continental Casualty Company to Madison-Kipp? MR. SEESE: I'm sorry. I was a little distracted. Would you mind reading that question	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Page 25 THE WITNESS: I'm not aware. BY MS. ROSS: Q Do you have any understanding concerning Madison-Kipp's responsibilities under the primary policies in the event of an occurrence? MR. SEESE: Same objection. You can answer, if you know. THE WITNESS: No, I do not. BY MS. ROSS: Q Have you had a discussion with anyone at Madison-Kipp concerning Madison-Kipp's understanding of the pollution exclusions in the Continental Casualty Company primary policies? MR. SEESE: I object to that as beyond the scope of the deposition notice, beyond the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Page 24 answer. THE WITNESS: No, I have not. BY MS. ROSS: Q Do you know anything about the negotiation of those policies with Continental Casualty Company? MR. SEESE: Same objection. You can answer. THE WITNESS: No, I do not. BY MS. ROSS: Q Are you aware of Madison-Kipp's understanding of any of the terms and conditions of the primary policies issued by Continental Casualty Company to Madison-Kipp? MR. SEESE: I'm sorry. I was a little distracted. Would you mind reading that question back?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Page 25 THE WITNESS: I'm not aware. BY MS. ROSS: Q Do you have any understanding concerning Madison-Kipp's responsibilities under the primary policies in the event of an occurrence? MR. SEESE: Same objection. You can answer, if you know. THE WITNESS: No, I do not. BY MS. ROSS: Q Have you had a discussion with anyone at Madison-Kipp concerning Madison-Kipp's understanding of the pollution exclusions in the Continental Casualty Company primary policies? MR. SEESE: I object to that as beyond the scope of the deposition notice, beyond the scope of the topics on which this witness has
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Page 24 answer. THE WITNESS: No, I have not. BY MS. ROSS: Q Do you know anything about the negotiation of those policies with Continental Casualty Company? MR. SEESE: Same objection. You can answer. THE WITNESS: No, I do not. BY MS. ROSS: Q Are you aware of Madison-Kipp's understanding of any of the terms and conditions of the primary policies issued by Continental Casualty Company to Madison-Kipp? MR. SEESE: Tm sorry. I was a little distracted. Would you mind reading that question back? COURT REPORTER: "Are you aware of	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Page 25 THE WITNESS: I'm not aware. BY MS. ROSS: Q Do you have any understanding concerning Madison-Kipp's responsibilities under the primary policies in the event of an occurrence? MR. SEESE: Same objection. You can answer, if you know. THE WITNESS: No, I do not. BY MS. ROSS: Q Have you had a discussion with anyone at Madison-Kipp concerning Madison-Kipp's understanding of the pollution exclusions in the Continental Casualty Company primary policies? MR. SEESE: I object to that as beyond the scope of the deposition notice, beyond the scope of the topics on which this witness has been designated. I also object to that one on the grounds of privilege and instruct the witness
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Page 24 answer. THE WITNESS: No, I have not. BY MS. ROSS: Q Do you know anything about the negotiation of those policies with Continental Casualty Company? MR. SEESE: Same objection. You can answer. THE WITNESS: No, I do not. BY MS. ROSS: Q Are you aware of Madison-Kipp's understanding of any of the terms and conditions of the primary policies issued by Continental Casualty Company to Madison-Kipp? MR. SEESE: I'm sorry. I was a little distracted. Would you mind reading that question back? COURT REPORTER: "Are you aware of Madison-Kipp's understanding of any of the terms	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Page 25 THE WITNESS: I'm not aware. BY MS. ROSS: Q Do you have any understanding concerning Madison-Kipp's responsibilities under the primary policies in the event of an occurrence? MR. SEESE: Same objection. You can answer, if you know. THE WITNESS: No, I do not. BY MS. ROSS: Q Have you had a discussion with anyone at Madison-Kipp concerning Madison-Kipp's understanding of the pollution exclusions in the Continental Casualty Company primary policies? MR. SEESE: I object to that as beyond the scope of the deposition notice, beyond the scope of the topics on which this witness has been designated. I also object to that one on
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Page 24 answer. THE WITNESS: No, I have not. BY MS. ROSS: Q Do you know anything about the negotiation of those policies with Continental Casualty Company? MR. SEESE: Same objection. You can answer. THE WITNESS: No, I do not. BY MS. ROSS: Q Are you aware of Madison-Kipp's understanding of any of the terms and conditions of the primary policies issued by Continental Casualty Company to Madison-Kipp? MR. SEESE: I'm sorry. I was a little distracted. Would you mind reading that question back? COURT REPORTER: "Are you aware of Madison-Kipp's understanding of any of the terms and conditions of the primary policies issued by Continental Casualty Company to Madison-Kipp?"	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Page 25 THE WITNESS: I'm not aware. BY MS. ROSS: Q Do you have any understanding concerning Madison-Kipp's responsibilities under the primary policies in the event of an occurrence? MR. SEESE: Same objection. You can answer, if you know. THE WITNESS: No, I do not. BY MS. ROSS: Q Have you had a discussion with anyone at Madison-Kipp concerning Madison-Kipp's understanding of the pollution exclusions in the Continental Casualty Company primary policies? MR. SEESE: I object to that as beyond the scope of the deposition notice, beyond the scope of the topics on which this witness has been designated. I also object to that one on the grounds of privilege and instruct the witness not to answer the question to the extent it requires you to disclose attorney-client
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Page 24 answer. THE WITNESS: No, I have not. BY MS. ROSS: Q Do you know anything about the negotiation of those policies with Continental Casualty Company? MR. SEESE: Same objection. You can answer. THE WITNESS: No, I do not. BY MS. ROSS: Q Are you aware of Madison-Kipp's understanding of any of the terms and conditions of the primary policies issued by Continental Casualty Company to Madison-Kipp? MR. SEESE: I'm sorry. I was a little distracted. Would you mind reading that question back? COURT REPORTER: "Are you aware of Madison-Kipp's understanding of any of the terms and conditions of the primary policies issued by Continental Casualty Company to Madison-Kipp?" MR. SEESE: Okay. I object to that as	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Page 25 THE WITNESS: I'm not aware. BY MS. ROSS: Q Do you have any understanding concerning Madison-Kipp's responsibilities under the primary policies in the event of an occurrence? MR. SEESE: Same objection. You can answer, if you know. THE WITNESS: No, I do not. BY MS. ROSS: Q Have you had a discussion with anyone at Madison-Kipp concerning Madison-Kipp's understanding of the pollution exclusions in the Continental Casualty Company primary policies? MR. SEESE: I object to that as beyond the scope of the deposition notice, beyond the scope of the topics on which this witness has been designated. I also object to that one on the grounds of privilege and instruct the witness not to answer the question to the extent it requires you to disclose attorney-client privileged communications.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Page 24 answer. THE WITNESS: No, I have not. BY MS. ROSS: Q Do you know anything about the negotiation of those policies with Continental Casualty Company? MR. SEESE: Same objection. You can answer. THE WITNESS: No, I do not. BY MS. ROSS: Q Are you aware of Madison-Kipp's understanding of any of the terms and conditions of the primary policies issued by Continental Casualty Company to Madison-Kipp? MR. SEESE: Tm sorry. I was a little distracted. Would you mind reading that question back? COURT REPORTER: "Are you aware of Madison-Kipp's understanding of any of the terms and conditions of the primary policies issued by Continental Casualty Company to Madison-Kipp?" MR. SEESE: Okay. I object to that as beyond the scope of any of the deposition topics	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Page 25 THE WITNESS: I'm not aware. BY MS. ROSS: Q Do you have any understanding concerning Madison-Kipp's responsibilities under the primary policies in the event of an occurrence? MR. SEESE: Same objection. You can answer, if you know. THE WITNESS: No, I do not. BY MS. ROSS: Q Have you had a discussion with anyone at Madison-Kipp concerning Madison-Kipp's understanding of the pollution exclusions in the Continental Casualty Company primary policies? MR. SEESE: I object to that as beyond the scope of the deposition notice, beyond the scope of the topics on which this witness has been designated. I also object to that one on the grounds of privilege and instruct the witness not to answer the question to the extent it requires you to disclose attorney-client privileged communications. THE WITNESS: I am not aware.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Page 24 answer. THE WITNESS: No, I have not. BY MS. ROSS: Q Do you know anything about the negotiation of those policies with Continental Casualty Company? MR. SEESE: Same objection. You can answer. THE WITNESS: No, I do not. BY MS. ROSS: Q Are you aware of Madison-Kipp's understanding of any of the terms and conditions of the primary policies issued by Continental Casualty Company to Madison-Kipp? MR. SEESE: I'm sorry. I was a little distracted. Would you mind reading that question back? COURT REPORTER: "Are you aware of Madison-Kipp's understanding of any of the terms and conditions of the primary policies issued by Continental Casualty Company to Madison-Kipp?" MR. SEESE: Okay. I object to that as beyond the scope of any of the deposition topics and beyond the scope of what this witness has	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Page 25 THE WITNESS: I'm not aware. BY MS. ROSS: Q Do you have any understanding concerning Madison-Kipp's responsibilities under the primary policies in the event of an occurrence? MR. SEESE: Same objection. You can answer, if you know. THE WITNESS: No, I do not. BY MS. ROSS: Q Have you had a discussion with anyone at Madison-Kipp concerning Madison-Kipp's understanding of the pollution exclusions in the Continental Casualty Company primary policies? MR. SEESE: I object to that as beyond the scope of the deposition notice, beyond the scope of the topics on which this witness has been designated. I also object to that one on the grounds of privilege and instruct the witness not to answer the question to the extent it requires you to disclose attorney-client privileged communications. THE WITNESS: I am not aware. BY MS. ROSS:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Page 24 answer. THE WITNESS: No, I have not. BY MS. ROSS: Q Do you know anything about the negotiation of those policies with Continental Casualty Company? MR. SEESE: Same objection. You can answer. THE WITNESS: No, I do not. BY MS. ROSS: Q Are you aware of Madison-Kipp's understanding of any of the terms and conditions of the primary policies issued by Continental Casualty Company to Madison-Kipp? MR. SEESE: Tm sorry. I was a little distracted. Would you mind reading that question back? COURT REPORTER: "Are you aware of Madison-Kipp's understanding of any of the terms and conditions of the primary policies issued by Continental Casualty Company to Madison-Kipp?" MR. SEESE: Okay. I object to that as beyond the scope of any of the deposition topics	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Page 25 THE WITNESS: I'm not aware. BY MS. ROSS: Q Do you have any understanding concerning Madison-Kipp's responsibilities under the primary policies in the event of an occurrence? MR. SEESE: Same objection. You can answer, if you know. THE WITNESS: No, I do not. BY MS. ROSS: Q Have you had a discussion with anyone at Madison-Kipp concerning Madison-Kipp's understanding of the pollution exclusions in the Continental Casualty Company primary policies? MR. SEESE: I object to that as beyond the scope of the deposition notice, beyond the scope of the topics on which this witness has been designated. I also object to that one on the grounds of privilege and instruct the witness not to answer the question to the extent it requires you to disclose attorney-client privileged communications. THE WITNESS: I am not aware. BY MS. ROSS:

7 (Pages 22 to 25)

# Case: 3:11-cv-00724-bbc Document #: 237 Filed: 04/10/13 Page 8 of 53

Kathleen McHugh and Deanna Schneider vs. Madison-Kipp4/8/13

Deposition of Mark D. Daniel

	Page 26		Page 27
1		1	
1 2	A No.	1 2	grounds of scope and privilege. You can answer. THE WITNESS: I'm not aware.
∠ 3	Q Does Madison-Kipp believe that it has the right	3	BY MS. ROSS:
	to voluntarily make a payment or assume an obligation or incur an expense without	4	
4 5	• •	5	Q Is there any testimony you are not providing because of the instruction not to answer?
6	Continental Casualty Company's consent under the primary policies?	6	A No.
7	1 21	7	Q Do you know of any discussion Strike that.
8	MR. SEESE: Same objections. The	8	Are you aware of any of the negotiations
9	objection is to scope of the deposition notice of the areas on which he's been designated, and I		for any excess policies issued by Continental
9 10	6	9 10	Casualty Company to Madison-Kipp?
11	will instruct you not to answer that to the extent it requires disclosure of attorney-client	11	MR. SEESE: I object to that as beyond
12	privileged communications. Counsel, can we agree	12	the scope. You can answer.
13	when I say that objection it will be scope and	13	THE WITNESS: I know there's discussions
$14^{13}$		14	
$14 \\ 15$	privilege so I don't have to say it again? MS. ROSS: That's fine.	15	going on, but that's all my knowledge. BY MS. ROSS:
16		16	
10	MR. SEESE: Thank you. THE WITNESS: No, I'm not aware.	17	Q You know that discussions are presently ongoing? A Yes.
	BY MS. ROSS:	18	
18			MS. ROSS: Same objection.
19	Q Is there any testimony that you are not providing because of the instruction not to answer?	19	BY MS. ROSS:
20		20	Q Are you aware of any discussions between Continental Casualty Company and Madison-Kipp at
21	A No.	21 22	
22	Q What excess policies does Madison-Kipp claim that		the time the policies the excess policies were
23 24	Continental Casualty Company issued to	23 24	negotiated?
24 25	Madison-Kipp?	24 25	MR. SEESE: Object to that as beyond the
23	MR. SEESE: I object to that on the	23	scope. You can answer.
	Page 28		Page 29
1	THE WITNESS. No. Low not		
	THE WITNESS: No, I am not.	1	THE WITNESS: No, I am not.
2	BY MS. ROSS:	2	BY MS. ROSS:
3	BY MS. ROSS: Q Are you aware of Have you ever read any of the	2 3	BY MS. ROSS: Q Are you aware of Madison-Kipp's understanding of
3 4	<ul><li>BY MS. ROSS:</li><li>Q Are you aware of Have you ever read any of the excess policies issued or allegedly issued by</li></ul>	2 3 4	<ul><li>BY MS. ROSS:</li><li>Q Are you aware of Madison-Kipp's understanding of the reduction of the aggregate provision</li></ul>
3 4 5	BY MS. ROSS: Q Are you aware of Have you ever read any of the excess policies issued or allegedly issued by Continental Casualty Company to Madison-Kipp?	2 3 4 5	<ul><li>BY MS. ROSS:</li><li>Q Are you aware of Madison-Kipp's understanding of the reduction of the aggregate provision contained in any of the excess policies issued or</li></ul>
3 4 5 6	<ul> <li>BY MS. ROSS:</li> <li>Q Are you aware of Have you ever read any of the excess policies issued or allegedly issued by Continental Casualty Company to Madison-Kipp?</li> <li>MR. SEESE: Object to that as beyond the</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6	<ul> <li>BY MS. ROSS:</li> <li>Q Are you aware of Madison-Kipp's understanding of the reduction of the aggregate provision contained in any of the excess policies issued or allegedly issued by Continental Casualty Company</li> </ul>
3 4 5 6 7	BY MS. ROSS: Q Are you aware of Have you ever read any of the excess policies issued or allegedly issued by Continental Casualty Company to Madison-Kipp? MR. SEESE: Object to that as beyond the scope. You can answer.	2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>BY MS. ROSS:</li> <li>Q Are you aware of Madison-Kipp's understanding of the reduction of the aggregate provision contained in any of the excess policies issued or allegedly issued by Continental Casualty Company to Madison-Kipp?</li> </ul>
3 4 5 6 7 8	BY MS. ROSS: Q Are you aware of Have you ever read any of the excess policies issued or allegedly issued by Continental Casualty Company to Madison-Kipp? MR. SEESE: Object to that as beyond the scope. You can answer. THE WITNESS: No, I have not.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	BY MS. ROSS: Q Are you aware of Madison-Kipp's understanding of the reduction of the aggregate provision contained in any of the excess policies issued or allegedly issued by Continental Casualty Company to Madison-Kipp? MR. SEESE: Same objection, scope and
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>BY MS. ROSS:</li> <li>Q Are you aware of Have you ever read any of the excess policies issued or allegedly issued by Continental Casualty Company to Madison-Kipp? MR. SEESE: Object to that as beyond the scope. You can answer. THE WITNESS: No, I have not.</li> <li>BY MS. ROSS:</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	BY MS. ROSS: Q Are you aware of Madison-Kipp's understanding of the reduction of the aggregate provision contained in any of the excess policies issued or allegedly issued by Continental Casualty Company to Madison-Kipp? MR. SEESE: Same objection, scope and privilege.
3 4 5 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>BY MS. ROSS:</li> <li>Q Are you aware of Have you ever read any of the excess policies issued or allegedly issued by Continental Casualty Company to Madison-Kipp? MR. SEESE: Object to that as beyond the scope. You can answer. THE WITNESS: No, I have not.</li> <li>BY MS. ROSS:</li> <li>Q Is it correct to say that you have no</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	BY MS. ROSS: Q Are you aware of Madison-Kipp's understanding of the reduction of the aggregate provision contained in any of the excess policies issued or allegedly issued by Continental Casualty Company to Madison-Kipp? MR. SEESE: Same objection, scope and privilege. THE WITNESS: No, I'm not.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>BY MS. ROSS:</li> <li>Q Are you aware of Have you ever read any of the excess policies issued or allegedly issued by Continental Casualty Company to Madison-Kipp? MR. SEESE: Object to that as beyond the scope. You can answer. THE WITNESS: No, I have not.</li> <li>BY MS. ROSS:</li> <li>Q Is it correct to say that you have no understanding of any of the provisions of the</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>BY MS. ROSS:</li> <li>Q Are you aware of Madison-Kipp's understanding of the reduction of the aggregate provision contained in any of the excess policies issued or allegedly issued by Continental Casualty Company to Madison-Kipp?</li> <li>MR. SEESE: Same objection, scope and privilege.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: No, I'm not.</li> <li>BY MS. ROSS:</li> </ul>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>BY MS. ROSS:</li> <li>Q Are you aware of Have you ever read any of the excess policies issued or allegedly issued by Continental Casualty Company to Madison-Kipp? MR. SEESE: Object to that as beyond the scope. You can answer. THE WITNESS: No, I have not.</li> <li>BY MS. ROSS:</li> <li>Q Is it correct to say that you have no understanding of any of the provisions of the excess policies issued or allegedly issued to</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>BY MS. ROSS:</li> <li>Q Are you aware of Madison-Kipp's understanding of the reduction of the aggregate provision contained in any of the excess policies issued or allegedly issued by Continental Casualty Company to Madison-Kipp?</li> <li>MR. SEESE: Same objection, scope and privilege.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: No, I'm not.</li> <li>BY MS. ROSS:</li> <li>Q Do you have any information that Madison-Kipp</li> </ul>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>BY MS. ROSS:</li> <li>Q Are you aware of Have you ever read any of the excess policies issued or allegedly issued by Continental Casualty Company to Madison-Kipp? MR. SEESE: Object to that as beyond the scope. You can answer. THE WITNESS: No, I have not.</li> <li>BY MS. ROSS:</li> <li>Q Is it correct to say that you have no understanding of any of the provisions of the excess policies issued or allegedly issued to Madison-Kipp by Continental Casualty Company?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>BY MS. ROSS:</li> <li>Q Are you aware of Madison-Kipp's understanding of the reduction of the aggregate provision contained in any of the excess policies issued or allegedly issued by Continental Casualty Company to Madison-Kipp?</li> <li>MR. SEESE: Same objection, scope and privilege.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: No, I'm not.</li> <li>BY MS. ROSS:</li> <li>Q Do you have any information that Madison-Kipp ever paid any premiums on any excess policies</li> </ul>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>BY MS. ROSS:</li> <li>Q Are you aware of Have you ever read any of the excess policies issued or allegedly issued by Continental Casualty Company to Madison-Kipp? MR. SEESE: Object to that as beyond the scope. You can answer. THE WITNESS: No, I have not.</li> <li>BY MS. ROSS:</li> <li>Q Is it correct to say that you have no understanding of any of the provisions of the excess policies issued or allegedly issued to Madison-Kipp by Continental Casualty Company? MR. SEESE: Object to beyond the scope.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>BY MS. ROSS:</li> <li>Q Are you aware of Madison-Kipp's understanding of the reduction of the aggregate provision contained in any of the excess policies issued or allegedly issued by Continental Casualty Company to Madison-Kipp?</li> <li>MR. SEESE: Same objection, scope and privilege.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: No, I'm not.</li> <li>BY MS. ROSS:</li> <li>Q Do you have any information that Madison-Kipp ever paid any premiums on any excess policies issued or allegedly issued by Continental</li> </ul>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>BY MS. ROSS:</li> <li>Q Are you aware of Have you ever read any of the excess policies issued or allegedly issued by Continental Casualty Company to Madison-Kipp? MR. SEESE: Object to that as beyond the scope. You can answer. THE WITNESS: No, I have not.</li> <li>BY MS. ROSS:</li> <li>Q Is it correct to say that you have no understanding of any of the provisions of the excess policies issued or allegedly issued to Madison-Kipp by Continental Casualty Company? MR. SEESE: Object to beyond the scope. You can answer.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>BY MS. ROSS:</li> <li>Q Are you aware of Madison-Kipp's understanding of the reduction of the aggregate provision contained in any of the excess policies issued or allegedly issued by Continental Casualty Company to Madison-Kipp?</li> <li>MR. SEESE: Same objection, scope and privilege.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: No, I'm not.</li> <li>BY MS. ROSS:</li> <li>Q Do you have any information that Madison-Kipp ever paid any premiums on any excess policies issued or allegedly issued by Continental Casualty Company to Madison-Kipp?</li> </ul>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>BY MS. ROSS:</li> <li>Q Are you aware of Have you ever read any of the excess policies issued or allegedly issued by Continental Casualty Company to Madison-Kipp? MR. SEESE: Object to that as beyond the scope. You can answer. THE WITNESS: No, I have not.</li> <li>BY MS. ROSS:</li> <li>Q Is it correct to say that you have no understanding of any of the provisions of the excess policies issued or allegedly issued to Madison-Kipp by Continental Casualty Company? MR. SEESE: Object to beyond the scope. You can answer. THE WITNESS: I'm not aware of any of</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>BY MS. ROSS:</li> <li>Q Are you aware of Madison-Kipp's understanding of the reduction of the aggregate provision contained in any of the excess policies issued or allegedly issued by Continental Casualty Company to Madison-Kipp?</li> <li>MR. SEESE: Same objection, scope and privilege.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: No, I'm not.</li> <li>BY MS. ROSS:</li> <li>Q Do you have any information that Madison-Kipp ever paid any premiums on any excess policies issued or allegedly issued by Continental Casualty Company to Madison-Kipp?</li> <li>MR. SEESE: Object to that on grounds of</li> </ul>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>BY MS. ROSS:</li> <li>Q Are you aware of Have you ever read any of the excess policies issued or allegedly issued by Continental Casualty Company to Madison-Kipp? MR. SEESE: Object to that as beyond the scope. You can answer. THE WITNESS: No, I have not.</li> <li>BY MS. ROSS:</li> <li>Q Is it correct to say that you have no understanding of any of the provisions of the excess policies issued or allegedly issued to Madison-Kipp by Continental Casualty Company? MR. SEESE: Object to beyond the scope. You can answer. THE WITNESS: I'm not aware of any of those discussions or terms.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>BY MS. ROSS:</li> <li>Q Are you aware of Madison-Kipp's understanding of the reduction of the aggregate provision contained in any of the excess policies issued or allegedly issued by Continental Casualty Company to Madison-Kipp?</li> <li>MR. SEESE: Same objection, scope and privilege.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: No, I'm not.</li> <li>BY MS. ROSS:</li> <li>Q Do you have any information that Madison-Kipp ever paid any premiums on any excess policies issued or allegedly issued by Continental Casualty Company to Madison-Kipp?</li> <li>MR. SEESE: Object to that on grounds of scope. You can answer.</li> </ul>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>BY MS. ROSS:</li> <li>Q Are you aware of Have you ever read any of the excess policies issued or allegedly issued by Continental Casualty Company to Madison-Kipp? MR. SEESE: Object to that as beyond the scope. You can answer. THE WITNESS: No, I have not.</li> <li>BY MS. ROSS:</li> <li>Q Is it correct to say that you have no understanding of any of the provisions of the excess policies issued or allegedly issued to Madison-Kipp by Continental Casualty Company? MR. SEESE: Object to beyond the scope. You can answer. THE WITNESS: I'm not aware of any of those discussions or terms.</li> <li>BY MS. ROSS:</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>BY MS. ROSS:</li> <li>Q Are you aware of Madison-Kipp's understanding of the reduction of the aggregate provision contained in any of the excess policies issued or allegedly issued by Continental Casualty Company to Madison-Kipp?</li> <li>MR. SEESE: Same objection, scope and privilege.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: No, I'm not.</li> <li>BY MS. ROSS:</li> <li>Q Do you have any information that Madison-Kipp ever paid any premiums on any excess policies issued or allegedly issued by Continental Casualty Company to Madison-Kipp?</li> <li>MR. SEESE: Object to that on grounds of scope. You can answer.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: I have no knowledge.</li> </ul>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>BY MS. ROSS:</li> <li>Q Are you aware of Have you ever read any of the excess policies issued or allegedly issued by Continental Casualty Company to Madison-Kipp? MR. SEESE: Object to that as beyond the scope. You can answer. THE WITNESS: No, I have not.</li> <li>BY MS. ROSS:</li> <li>Q Is it correct to say that you have no understanding of any of the provisions of the excess policies issued or allegedly issued to Madison-Kipp by Continental Casualty Company? MR. SEESE: Object to beyond the scope. You can answer. THE WITNESS: I'm not aware of any of those discussions or terms.</li> <li>BY MS. ROSS:</li> <li>Q Are you aware of any understanding Madison-Kipp</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>BY MS. ROSS:</li> <li>Q Are you aware of Madison-Kipp's understanding of the reduction of the aggregate provision contained in any of the excess policies issued or allegedly issued by Continental Casualty Company to Madison-Kipp?</li> <li>MR. SEESE: Same objection, scope and privilege.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: No, I'm not.</li> <li>BY MS. ROSS:</li> <li>Q Do you have any information that Madison-Kipp ever paid any premiums on any excess policies issued or allegedly issued by Continental Casualty Company to Madison-Kipp?</li> <li>MR. SEESE: Object to that on grounds of scope. You can answer.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: I have no knowledge.</li> <li>That's prior to my tenure with Madison-Kipp.</li> </ul>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>BY MS. ROSS:</li> <li>Q Are you aware of Have you ever read any of the excess policies issued or allegedly issued by Continental Casualty Company to Madison-Kipp? MR. SEESE: Object to that as beyond the scope. You can answer. THE WITNESS: No, I have not.</li> <li>BY MS. ROSS:</li> <li>Q Is it correct to say that you have no understanding of any of the provisions of the excess policies issued or allegedly issued to Madison-Kipp by Continental Casualty Company? MR. SEESE: Object to beyond the scope. You can answer. THE WITNESS: I'm not aware of any of those discussions or terms.</li> <li>BY MS. ROSS:</li> <li>Q Are you aware of any understanding Madison-Kipp has concerning any of the pollution exclusions in</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>BY MS. ROSS:</li> <li>Q Are you aware of Madison-Kipp's understanding of the reduction of the aggregate provision contained in any of the excess policies issued or allegedly issued by Continental Casualty Company to Madison-Kipp?</li> <li>MR. SEESE: Same objection, scope and privilege.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: No, I'm not.</li> <li>BY MS. ROSS:</li> <li>Q Do you have any information that Madison-Kipp ever paid any premiums on any excess policies issued or allegedly issued by Continental Casualty Company to Madison-Kipp?</li> <li>MR. SEESE: Object to that on grounds of scope. You can answer.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: I have no knowledge.</li> <li>That's prior to my tenure with Madison-Kipp.</li> <li>BY MS. ROSS:</li> </ul>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>BY MS. ROSS:</li> <li>Q Are you aware of Have you ever read any of the excess policies issued or allegedly issued by Continental Casualty Company to Madison-Kipp? MR. SEESE: Object to that as beyond the scope. You can answer. THE WITNESS: No, I have not.</li> <li>BY MS. ROSS:</li> <li>Q Is it correct to say that you have no understanding of any of the provisions of the excess policies issued or allegedly issued to Madison-Kipp by Continental Casualty Company? MR. SEESE: Object to beyond the scope. You can answer. THE WITNESS: I'm not aware of any of those discussions or terms.</li> <li>BY MS. ROSS:</li> <li>Q Are you aware of any understanding Madison-Kipp has concerning any of the pollution exclusions in any of the policies issued or allegedly issued by</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>BY MS. ROSS:</li> <li>Q Are you aware of Madison-Kipp's understanding of the reduction of the aggregate provision contained in any of the excess policies issued or allegedly issued by Continental Casualty Company to Madison-Kipp?</li> <li>MR. SEESE: Same objection, scope and privilege.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: No, I'm not.</li> <li>BY MS. ROSS:</li> <li>Q Do you have any information that Madison-Kipp ever paid any premiums on any excess policies issued or allegedly issued by Continental Casualty Company to Madison-Kipp?</li> <li>MR. SEESE: Object to that on grounds of scope. You can answer.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: I have no knowledge.</li> <li>That's prior to my tenure with Madison-Kipp.</li> <li>BY MS. ROSS:</li> <li>Q Do you have any knowledge concerning whether</li> </ul>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>BY MS. ROSS:</li> <li>Q Are you aware of Have you ever read any of the excess policies issued or allegedly issued by Continental Casualty Company to Madison-Kipp? MR. SEESE: Object to that as beyond the scope. You can answer. THE WITNESS: No, I have not.</li> <li>BY MS. ROSS:</li> <li>Q Is it correct to say that you have no understanding of any of the provisions of the excess policies issued or allegedly issued to Madison-Kipp by Continental Casualty Company? MR. SEESE: Object to beyond the scope. You can answer. THE WITNESS: I'm not aware of any of those discussions or terms.</li> <li>BY MS. ROSS:</li> <li>Q Are you aware of any understanding Madison-Kipp has concerning any of the pollution exclusions in any of the policies issued or allegedly issued by Continental Casualty Company to Madison-Kipp?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>BY MS. ROSS:</li> <li>Q Are you aware of Madison-Kipp's understanding of the reduction of the aggregate provision contained in any of the excess policies issued or allegedly issued by Continental Casualty Company to Madison-Kipp? MR. SEESE: Same objection, scope and privilege. THE WITNESS: No, I'm not.</li> <li>BY MS. ROSS:</li> <li>Q Do you have any information that Madison-Kipp ever paid any premiums on any excess policies issued or allegedly issued by Continental Casualty Company to Madison-Kipp? MR. SEESE: Object to that on grounds of scope. You can answer. THE WITNESS: I have no knowledge. That's prior to my tenure with Madison-Kipp.</li> <li>BY MS. ROSS:</li> <li>Q Do you have any knowledge concerning whether Continental Casualty Company issued any excess</li> </ul>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>BY MS. ROSS:</li> <li>Q Are you aware of Have you ever read any of the excess policies issued or allegedly issued by Continental Casualty Company to Madison-Kipp? MR. SEESE: Object to that as beyond the scope. You can answer. THE WITNESS: No, I have not.</li> <li>BY MS. ROSS:</li> <li>Q Is it correct to say that you have no understanding of any of the provisions of the excess policies issued or allegedly issued to Madison-Kipp by Continental Casualty Company? MR. SEESE: Object to beyond the scope. You can answer. THE WITNESS: I'm not aware of any of those discussions or terms.</li> <li>BY MS. ROSS:</li> <li>Q Are you aware of any understanding Madison-Kipp has concerning any of the pollution exclusions in any of the policies issued or allegedly issued by Continental Casualty Company to Madison-Kipp? MR. SEESE: Object to that on the</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>BY MS. ROSS:</li> <li>Q Are you aware of Madison-Kipp's understanding of the reduction of the aggregate provision contained in any of the excess policies issued or allegedly issued by Continental Casualty Company to Madison-Kipp? MR. SEESE: Same objection, scope and privilege. THE WITNESS: No, I'm not.</li> <li>BY MS. ROSS:</li> <li>Q Do you have any information that Madison-Kipp ever paid any premiums on any excess policies issued or allegedly issued by Continental Casualty Company to Madison-Kipp? MR. SEESE: Object to that on grounds of scope. You can answer. THE WITNESS: I have no knowledge. That's prior to my tenure with Madison-Kipp.</li> <li>BY MS. ROSS:</li> <li>Q Do you have any knowledge concerning whether Continental Casualty Company issued any excess policies to Madison-Kipp?</li> </ul>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>BY MS. ROSS:</li> <li>Q Are you aware of Have you ever read any of the excess policies issued or allegedly issued by Continental Casualty Company to Madison-Kipp? MR. SEESE: Object to that as beyond the scope. You can answer. THE WITNESS: No, I have not.</li> <li>BY MS. ROSS:</li> <li>Q Is it correct to say that you have no understanding of any of the provisions of the excess policies issued or allegedly issued to Madison-Kipp by Continental Casualty Company? MR. SEESE: Object to beyond the scope. You can answer. THE WITNESS: I'm not aware of any of those discussions or terms.</li> <li>BY MS. ROSS:</li> <li>Q Are you aware of any understanding Madison-Kipp has concerning any of the pollution exclusions in any of the policies issued or allegedly issued by Continental Casualty Company to Madison-Kipp?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>BY MS. ROSS:</li> <li>Q Are you aware of Madison-Kipp's understanding of the reduction of the aggregate provision contained in any of the excess policies issued or allegedly issued by Continental Casualty Company to Madison-Kipp? MR. SEESE: Same objection, scope and privilege. THE WITNESS: No, I'm not.</li> <li>BY MS. ROSS:</li> <li>Q Do you have any information that Madison-Kipp ever paid any premiums on any excess policies issued or allegedly issued by Continental Casualty Company to Madison-Kipp? MR. SEESE: Object to that on grounds of scope. You can answer. THE WITNESS: I have no knowledge. That's prior to my tenure with Madison-Kipp.</li> <li>BY MS. ROSS:</li> <li>Q Do you have any knowledge concerning whether Continental Casualty Company issued any excess</li> </ul>

8 (Pages 26 to 29)

Page 30P1THE WITNESS: I'm not aware of any policies.1corporate witness again.2policies.2MS. ROSS: I will simply say in resp3BY MS. ROSS:3to that that I think your motion for protect order is much narrower than the instruction you are giving the witness at this point in Madison-Kipp?66Madison-Kipp?6And, furthermore, I think that the agreemed between us is that you can instruct him not answer any time you deem it to be approp 979THE WITNESS: Again, not being an 109but that's not going to keep us from asking questions. I understand you have instruct 11 aware.1012BY MS. ROSS:12MR. SEESE: That's correct.13QAre you aware of any of the terms and conditions 14 1513BY MS. ROSS:14of any umbrella policies issued or allegedly 1514QOkay. Do you have any knowledge of an negotiations concerning any of the umbre policies that Madison-Kipp claims that 171717MR. SEESE: I object to that as beyond17Continental Casualty Company issued or	ive ns that time. ent t to riate, g the ed him
2policies.2MS. ROSS: I will simply say in resp3BY MS. ROSS:3to that that I think your motion for protect4QDoes Madison-Kipp claim that Continental Casualty4order is much narrower than the instruction5Company issued any umbrella policies to5you are giving the witness at this point in6Madison-Kipp?6And, furthermore, I think that the agreement7MR. SEESE: Object to beyond the scope.7between us is that you can instruct him not8Subject to that, you can answer.8answer any time you deem it to be approp9THE WITNESS: Again, not being an9but that's not going to keep us from asking10employee of Madison-Kipp at that time, I'm not10questions. I understand you have instruct11aware.11not to answer. Is that correct?12BY MS. ROSS:12MR. SEESE: That's correct.13QAre you aware of any of the terms and conditions13BY MS. ROSS:14of any umbrella policies issued or allegedly14QOkay. Do you have any knowledge of an negotiations concerning any of the umbre16Company?16policies that Madison-Kipp claims that	ive ns that time. ent t to riate, g the ed him
3BY MS. ROSS:3to that that I think your motion for protect4QDoes Madison-Kipp claim that Continental Casualty4order is much narrower than the instruction5Company issued any umbrella policies to5you are giving the witness at this point in6Madison-Kipp?6And, furthermore, I think that the agreement7MR. SEESE: Object to beyond the scope.7between us is that you can instruct him not8Subject to that, you can answer.8answer any time you deem it to be approp9THE WITNESS: Again, not being an9but that's not going to keep us from asking10employee of Madison-Kipp at that time, I'm not10questions. I understand you have instruct11aware.11not to answer. Is that correct?12BY MS. ROSS:12MR. SEESE: That's correct.13QAre you aware of any of the terms and conditions13BY MS. ROSS:14of any umbrella policies issued or allegedly14QOkay. Do you have any knowledge of an negotiations concerning any of the umbrel16Company?16policies that Madison-Kipp claims that	ive ns that time. ent t to riate, g the ed him
4QDoes Madison-Kipp claim that Continental Casualty4order is much narrower than the instruction5Company issued any umbrella policies to5you are giving the witness at this point in6Madison-Kipp?6And, furthermore, I think that the agreement7MR. SEESE: Object to beyond the scope.7between us is that you can instruct him not8Subject to that, you can answer.8answer any time you deem it to be approp9THE WITNESS: Again, not being an9but that's not going to keep us from asking10employee of Madison-Kipp at that time, I'm not10questions. I understand you have instruct11aware.11not to answer. Is that correct?12BY MS. ROSS:12MR. SEESE: That's correct.13QAre you aware of any of the terms and conditions14of any umbrella policies issued or allegedly1415issued to Madison-Kipp by Continental Casualty15negotiations concerning any of the umbrell16Company?16policies that Madison-Kipp claims that	ns that time. ent t to riate, g the ed him
5Company issued any umbrella policies to5you are giving the witness at this point in6Madison-Kipp?6And, furthermore, I think that the agreement7MR. SEESE: Object to beyond the scope.7between us is that you can instruct him not8Subject to that, you can answer.8answer any time you deem it to be approp9THE WITNESS: Again, not being an9but that's not going to keep us from asking10employee of Madison-Kipp at that time, I'm not10questions. I understand you have instruct11aware.11not to answer. Is that correct?12BY MS. ROSS:12MR. SEESE: That's correct.13QAre you aware of any of the terms and conditions13BY MS. ROSS:14of any umbrella policies issued or allegedly14QOkay. Do you have any knowledge of an15issued to Madison-Kipp by Continental Casualty15negotiations concerning any of the umbred16Company?16policies that Madison-Kipp claims that	time. ent t to riate, g the ed him
6Madison-Kipp?6And, furthermore, I think that the agreemed7MR. SEESE: Object to beyond the scope.6And, furthermore, I think that the agreemed8Subject to that, you can answer.8answer any time you deem it to be approp9THE WITNESS: Again, not being an9but that's not going to keep us from asking10employee of Madison-Kipp at that time, I'm not10questions. I understand you have instruct11aware.11not to answer. Is that correct?12BY MS. ROSS:12MR. SEESE: That's correct.13QAre you aware of any of the terms and conditions13BY MS. ROSS:14of any umbrella policies issued or allegedly14QOkay. Do you have any knowledge of an15issued to Madison-Kipp by Continental Casualty15negotiations concerning any of the umbrei16Company?16policies that Madison-Kipp claims that	ent t to riate, g the ed him
7MR. SEESE: Object to beyond the scope.7between us is that you can instruct him not8Subject to that, you can answer.8answer any time you deem it to be approp9THE WITNESS: Again, not being an9but that's not going to keep us from asking10employee of Madison-Kipp at that time, I'm not10questions. I understand you have instruct11aware.11not to answer. Is that correct?12BY MS. ROSS:12MR. SEESE: That's correct.13QAre you aware of any of the terms and conditions1314of any umbrella policies issued or allegedly14Q15issued to Madison-Kipp by Continental Casualty15negotiations concerning any of the umbred16Company?16policies that Madison-Kipp claims that	t to riate, g the ed him ny
8Subject to that, you can answer.8answer any time you deem it to be approp9THE WITNESS: Again, not being an9but that's not going to keep us from asking10employee of Madison-Kipp at that time, I'm not10questions. I understand you have instruct11aware.11not to answer. Is that correct?12BY MS. ROSS:12MR. SEESE: That's correct.13QAre you aware of any of the terms and conditions1314of any umbrella policies issued or allegedly14Q15issued to Madison-Kipp by Continental Casualty15negotiations concerning any of the umbred16Company?16policies that Madison-Kipp claims that	riate, g the ed him 1y
9THE WITNESS: Again, not being an employee of Madison-Kipp at that time, I'm not aware.9but that's not going to keep us from asking 10 questions. I understand you have instruct 11 not to answer. Is that correct?12BY MS. ROSS:12MR. SEESE: That's correct.13QAre you aware of any of the terms and conditions of any umbrella policies issued or allegedly14QOkay. Do you have any knowledge of an negotiations concerning any of the umbre 1616Company?16policies that Madison-Kipp claims that	g the ed him 1y
10employee of Madison-Kipp at that time, I'm not10questions. I understand you have instruct11aware.11not to answer. Is that correct?12BY MS. ROSS:12MR. SEESE: That's correct.13QAre you aware of any of the terms and conditions13BY MS. ROSS:14of any umbrella policies issued or allegedly14QOkay. Do you have any knowledge of an15issued to Madison-Kipp by Continental Casualty15negotiations concerning any of the umbre16Company?16policies that Madison-Kipp claims that	ed him
11aware.11not to answer. Is that correct?12BY MS. ROSS:12MR. SEESE: That's correct.13QAre you aware of any of the terms and conditions13BY MS. ROSS:14of any umbrella policies issued or allegedly14QOkay. Do you have any knowledge of an15issued to Madison-Kipp by Continental Casualty15negotiations concerning any of the umbre16Company?16policies that Madison-Kipp claims that	ıy
12BY MS. ROSS:12MR. SEESE: That's correct.13QAre you aware of any of the terms and conditions13BY MS. ROSS:14of any umbrella policies issued or allegedly14QOkay. Do you have any knowledge of an15issued to Madison-Kipp by Continental Casualty15negotiations concerning any of the umbre16Company?16policies that Madison-Kipp claims that	
13QAre you aware of any of the terms and conditions13BY MS. ROSS:14of any umbrella policies issued or allegedly14QOkay. Do you have any knowledge of an15issued to Madison-Kipp by Continental Casualty15negotiations concerning any of the umbre16Company?16policies that Madison-Kipp claims that	
14of any umbrella policies issued or allegedly14QOkay. Do you have any knowledge of an15issued to Madison-Kipp by Continental Casualty15negotiations concerning any of the umbre16Company?16policies that Madison-Kipp claims that	
15issued to Madison-Kipp by Continental Casualty15negotiations concerning any of the umbre16Company?16policies that Madison-Kipp claims that	
16Company?16policies that Madison-Kipp claims that	lla
$\perp$ / VIK. SEESE: I object to that as beyond $\mid \perp$ / Continental Casualty Company issued or $\perp$	alla 11
	allegedly
18the scope. It's also subject to the protective18issued to Madison-Kipp?19order, so I'm going to instruct the witness not19MR. SEESE: I object to that as beyond	have
20to answer. Topics 1 and 2 are the subject of a20the scope. Subject to that, you can answer21protective order, and he's specifically not21THE WITNESS: No, I do not.	
22 designated on those topic areas. The agreement 22 BY MS. ROSS:	
23 was is that we would produce him and if, after 23 Q Are you aware of the policy limits of any	7
24 the court decides it, if the court says you get 24 umbrella policy Madison-Kipp claims that	
25 to ask questions about those, we will produce a 25 Continental Casualty Company issued to	L
	age 33
1Madison-Kipp?1responsibility for Madison-Kipp's insurance	e
2 MR. SEESE: Objection, beyond the scope. 2 program other than you.	
3 THE WITNESS: And I'm not aware. 3 THE WITNESS: No.	
4 MS. ROSS: Could the court reporter 4 MR. SEESE: Object to the form and	
5 please mark as Exhibit 5 a copy of a policy with 5 scope of that. You have to have a little part	ise
6 Policy No. RDX022079387. 6 so I can object.	
7 (Exhibit 5 was marked.) 7 BY MS. ROSS:	hood
8 BY MS. ROSS: 9 O Mr. Deniel could you briefly review Exhibit 5 9 this Exhibit 5 you have no position on the	ead
9 Q Mr. Daniel, could you briefly review Exhibit 5 9 this Exhibit 5, you have no position on the	
10and tell me whether you have ever seen that10meaning of any of its terms or conditions?11document before.11MR. SEESE: Object to the form of t	hat
11If it is, it would be in the book. This is from12If it is, it would be in the book. This is from12I also object to it as beyond the scope. Sub-	
12AIf it is, it would be in the book. This is from12I also object to it as beyond the scope. Sut13Continental?13to that, you can answer.	jeet
15Continiental?15to that, you can answer.14QYes.14THE WITNESS: Not having review	ed this
14Q14Intraction15AI do not believe I have seen that.15not I do not have a position or understan	
16 Q Is there anyone else at Madison-Kipp that has 16 of the document.	
17 responsibility for Madison-Kipp's insurance 17 BY MS. ROSS:	
18 coverage? 18 Q Do you understand that policies contain	
19MR. SEESE: Object to form. Object to1919MR. SEESE: Object to form. Object to19declarations pages generally?	
20 the scope of that question. Subject to those 20 MR. SEESE: I object to the form of	that
21 objections, you can answer. 21 as beyond the scope, and I think now we're	
22 THE WITNESS: May I ask a framing 22 into the topics of 1 and 2, specific terms and	
23 question? What time frame are we addressing? 23 conditions, on which we have a pending m	
24 MS. ROSS: I'm asking whether there's 24 protective order, and so I will instruct the	
anyone at Madison-Kipp presently that has any 25 witness not to answer.	

Deposition of Mark D. Daniel

	Page 34		Page 35
1	BY MS. ROSS:	1	before?
2	Q Do you know what policy form Madison-Kipp	2	A No.
3	believes was utilized with respect to Exhibit 5?	3	Q On Page 2 of that document it lists four policies
4	MR. SEESE: I object to that as beyond	4	that Columbia Casualty Company issued to
5	the scope, and it's also getting into specific	5	Madison-Kipp for the period of time from
6	terms and conditions on which there's a pending	6	January 1, 1981 through January 1, 1984. Do you
		7	
7	motion for protective order, so I'd instruct the		see that?
8	witness not to answer.	8	MR. SEESE: I will object to this line
9	BY MS. ROSS:	9	of questioning on this document. It's beyond the
10	Q What policies does Madison-Kipp claim that	10	scope on which he's been designated. Subject to
11	Columbia issued to Madison-Kipp?	11	that, you can answer.
12	MR. SEESE: I object to that as beyond	12	THE WITNESS: I see the items listed,
13	the scope. The witness can answer to the extent	13	but I know nothing more than that.
14	you are able.	14	BY MS. ROSS:
15	THE WITNESS: I'm not sure what policies	15	Q Do you know whether Madison-Kipp claims that
16	were issued.	16	Columbia Casualty Company issued any policies
17	MS. ROSS: Could the court reporter	17	other than those listed on Page 2 of Exhibit 6?
18	please mark as Exhibit 6 a multipage document	18	MR. SEESE: Same objection.
19	entitled, "Stipulation Concerning the Terms and	19	THE WITNESS: No.
20	Conditions of the Columbia Casualty Company	20	BY MS. ROSS:
21	Umbrella Policies."	21	Q Are you aware of any of the negotiations relating
22	(Exhibit 6 was marked.)	22	to the policies identified on Page 2 of
23	BY MS. ROSS:	23	Exhibit 6?
2.4	Q Mr. Daniel, showing you a copy of Exhibit 6, is	24	MR. SEESE: Same objection.
25	that a document that you have ever reviewed	25	THE WITNESS: Nope.
2.5	that a document that you have ever reviewed	2.5	THE WITHESS. Nope.
	Page 36		Page 37
1	BY MS. ROSS:	1	MR. SEESE: Object to the scope of that.
2	Q Are you aware of any representations concerning	2	Also caution the witness not to disclose
3	the terms and conditions of the policies listed	3	attorney-client privileged communications.
4	on Page 2 of Exhibit 6?	4	Subject to that, you can answer.
	•		Subject to that, you can answer.
5	MR SEESE: I object to that as beyond	5	THE WITNESS: I'm not aware
5	MR. SEESE: I object to that as beyond	5	THE WITNESS: I'm not aware.
6	the scope. You are also now referring to terms	6	BY MS. ROSS:
6 7	the scope. You are also now referring to terms and conditions, which is subject to a protective	6 7	BY MS. ROSS: Q Are there Is there testimony you are not
6 7 8	the scope. You are also now referring to terms and conditions, which is subject to a protective order. I instruct the witness not to answer.	6 7 8	<ul><li>BY MS. ROSS:</li><li>Q Are there Is there testimony you are not providing because of the instruction by your</li></ul>
6 7 8 9	<ul><li>the scope. You are also now referring to terms and conditions, which is subject to a protective order. I instruct the witness not to answer.</li><li>BY MS. ROSS:</li></ul>	6 7 8 9	<ul><li>BY MS. ROSS:</li><li>Q Are there Is there testimony you are not providing because of the instruction by your counsel?</li></ul>
6 7 8 9 10	<ul><li>the scope. You are also now referring to terms and conditions, which is subject to a protective order. I instruct the witness not to answer.</li><li>BY MS. ROSS:</li><li>Q With respect Are you aware of any payments by</li></ul>	6 7 8 9 10	<ul><li>BY MS. ROSS:</li><li>Q Are there Is there testimony you are not providing because of the instruction by your counsel?</li><li>A No.</li></ul>
6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>the scope. You are also now referring to terms and conditions, which is subject to a protective order. I instruct the witness not to answer.</li> <li>BY MS. ROSS:</li> <li>Q With respect Are you aware of any payments by Madison-Kipp to Columbia Casualty Company related</li> </ul>	6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>BY MS. ROSS:</li> <li>Q Are there Is there testimony you are not providing because of the instruction by your counsel?</li> <li>A No.</li> <li>Q Do you have any understanding of the limits of</li> </ul>
6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>the scope. You are also now referring to terms and conditions, which is subject to a protective order. I instruct the witness not to answer.</li> <li>BY MS. ROSS:</li> <li>Q With respect Are you aware of any payments by Madison-Kipp to Columbia Casualty Company related to the policies listed on Page 2 of Exhibit 6?</li> </ul>	6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>BY MS. ROSS:</li> <li>Q Are there Is there testimony you are not providing because of the instruction by your counsel?</li> <li>A No.</li> <li>Q Do you have any understanding of the limits of liability provision in the Columbia Casualty</li> </ul>
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>the scope. You are also now referring to terms and conditions, which is subject to a protective order. I instruct the witness not to answer.</li> <li>BY MS. ROSS:</li> <li>Q With respect Are you aware of any payments by Madison-Kipp to Columbia Casualty Company related to the policies listed on Page 2 of Exhibit 6? MR. SEESE: Objection, beyond the scope.</li> </ul>	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>BY MS. ROSS:</li> <li>Q Are there Is there testimony you are not providing because of the instruction by your counsel?</li> <li>A No.</li> <li>Q Do you have any understanding of the limits of liability provision in the Columbia Casualty Company umbrella policies?</li> </ul>
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>the scope. You are also now referring to terms and conditions, which is subject to a protective order. I instruct the witness not to answer.</li> <li>BY MS. ROSS:</li> <li>Q With respect Are you aware of any payments by Madison-Kipp to Columbia Casualty Company related to the policies listed on Page 2 of Exhibit 6? MR. SEESE: Objection, beyond the scope. Subject to that, you can answer.</li> </ul>	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>BY MS. ROSS:</li> <li>Q Are there Is there testimony you are not providing because of the instruction by your counsel?</li> <li>A No.</li> <li>Q Do you have any understanding of the limits of liability provision in the Columbia Casualty Company umbrella policies?</li> <li>MR. SEESE: Object to that as beyond the</li> </ul>
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>the scope. You are also now referring to terms and conditions, which is subject to a protective order. I instruct the witness not to answer.</li> <li>BY MS. ROSS:</li> <li>Q With respect Are you aware of any payments by Madison-Kipp to Columbia Casualty Company related to the policies listed on Page 2 of Exhibit 6? MR. SEESE: Objection, beyond the scope.</li> <li>Subject to that, you can answer. THE WITNESS: I'm not aware.</li> </ul>	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>BY MS. ROSS:</li> <li>Q Are there Is there testimony you are not providing because of the instruction by your counsel?</li> <li>A No.</li> <li>Q Do you have any understanding of the limits of liability provision in the Columbia Casualty Company umbrella policies? MR. SEESE: Object to that as beyond the scope. Now we're talking about specific terms</li> </ul>
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>the scope. You are also now referring to terms and conditions, which is subject to a protective order. I instruct the witness not to answer.</li> <li>BY MS. ROSS:</li> <li>Q With respect Are you aware of any payments by Madison-Kipp to Columbia Casualty Company related to the policies listed on Page 2 of Exhibit 6? MR. SEESE: Objection, beyond the scope. Subject to that, you can answer.</li> </ul>	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>BY MS. ROSS:</li> <li>Q Are there Is there testimony you are not providing because of the instruction by your counsel?</li> <li>A No.</li> <li>Q Do you have any understanding of the limits of liability provision in the Columbia Casualty Company umbrella policies?</li> <li>MR. SEESE: Object to that as beyond the</li> </ul>
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>the scope. You are also now referring to terms and conditions, which is subject to a protective order. I instruct the witness not to answer.</li> <li>BY MS. ROSS:</li> <li>Q With respect Are you aware of any payments by Madison-Kipp to Columbia Casualty Company related to the policies listed on Page 2 of Exhibit 6? MR. SEESE: Objection, beyond the scope.</li> <li>Subject to that, you can answer. THE WITNESS: I'm not aware.</li> </ul>	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>BY MS. ROSS:</li> <li>Q Are there Is there testimony you are not providing because of the instruction by your counsel?</li> <li>A No.</li> <li>Q Do you have any understanding of the limits of liability provision in the Columbia Casualty Company umbrella policies? MR. SEESE: Object to that as beyond the scope. Now we're talking about specific terms</li> </ul>
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>the scope. You are also now referring to terms and conditions, which is subject to a protective order. I instruct the witness not to answer.</li> <li>BY MS. ROSS:</li> <li>Q With respect Are you aware of any payments by Madison-Kipp to Columbia Casualty Company related to the policies listed on Page 2 of Exhibit 6? MR. SEESE: Objection, beyond the scope. Subject to that, you can answer. THE WITNESS: I'm not aware.</li> <li>BY MS. ROSS:</li> </ul>	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>BY MS. ROSS:</li> <li>Q Are there Is there testimony you are not providing because of the instruction by your counsel?</li> <li>A No.</li> <li>Q Do you have any understanding of the limits of liability provision in the Columbia Casualty Company umbrella policies?</li> <li>MR. SEESE: Object to that as beyond the scope. Now we're talking about specific terms and conditions, so I'll instruct the witness not</li> </ul>
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>the scope. You are also now referring to terms and conditions, which is subject to a protective order. I instruct the witness not to answer.</li> <li>BY MS. ROSS:</li> <li>Q With respect Are you aware of any payments by Madison-Kipp to Columbia Casualty Company related to the policies listed on Page 2 of Exhibit 6? MR. SEESE: Objection, beyond the scope. Subject to that, you can answer. THE WITNESS: I'm not aware.</li> <li>BY MS. ROSS:</li> <li>Q Are you aware of any payments by Kemper under a</li> </ul>	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>BY MS. ROSS:</li> <li>Q Are there Is there testimony you are not providing because of the instruction by your counsel?</li> <li>A No.</li> <li>Q Do you have any understanding of the limits of liability provision in the Columbia Casualty Company umbrella policies?</li> <li>MR. SEESE: Object to that as beyond the scope. Now we're talking about specific terms and conditions, so I'll instruct the witness not to answer.</li> <li>BY MS. ROSS:</li> </ul>
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>the scope. You are also now referring to terms and conditions, which is subject to a protective order. I instruct the witness not to answer.</li> <li>BY MS. ROSS:</li> <li>Q With respect Are you aware of any payments by Madison-Kipp to Columbia Casualty Company related to the policies listed on Page 2 of Exhibit 6? MR. SEESE: Objection, beyond the scope.</li> <li>Subject to that, you can answer. THE WITNESS: I'm not aware.</li> <li>BY MS. ROSS:</li> <li>Q Are you aware of any payments by Kemper under a 1980 to '81 policy, primary policy, issued to Madison-Kipp?</li> </ul>	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>BY MS. ROSS:</li> <li>Q Are there Is there testimony you are not providing because of the instruction by your counsel?</li> <li>A No.</li> <li>Q Do you have any understanding of the limits of liability provision in the Columbia Casualty Company umbrella policies?</li> <li>MR. SEESE: Object to that as beyond the scope. Now we're talking about specific terms and conditions, so I'll instruct the witness not to answer.</li> <li>BY MS. ROSS:</li> <li>Q Do you have any understanding of the maintenance</li> </ul>
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>the scope. You are also now referring to terms and conditions, which is subject to a protective order. I instruct the witness not to answer.</li> <li>BY MS. ROSS:</li> <li>Q With respect Are you aware of any payments by Madison-Kipp to Columbia Casualty Company related to the policies listed on Page 2 of Exhibit 6? MR. SEESE: Objection, beyond the scope. Subject to that, you can answer. THE WITNESS: I'm not aware.</li> <li>BY MS. ROSS:</li> <li>Q Are you aware of any payments by Kemper under a 1980 to '81 policy, primary policy, issued to Madison-Kipp? MR. SEESE: Same objection.</li> </ul>	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>BY MS. ROSS:</li> <li>Q Are there Is there testimony you are not providing because of the instruction by your counsel?</li> <li>A No.</li> <li>Q Do you have any understanding of the limits of liability provision in the Columbia Casualty Company umbrella policies?</li> <li>MR. SEESE: Object to that as beyond the scope. Now we're talking about specific terms and conditions, so I'll instruct the witness not to answer.</li> <li>BY MS. ROSS:</li> <li>Q Do you have any understanding of the maintenance of underlying insurance provision contained in</li> </ul>
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>the scope. You are also now referring to terms and conditions, which is subject to a protective order. I instruct the witness not to answer.</li> <li>BY MS. ROSS:</li> <li>Q With respect Are you aware of any payments by Madison-Kipp to Columbia Casualty Company related to the policies listed on Page 2 of Exhibit 6? MR. SEESE: Objection, beyond the scope.</li> <li>Subject to that, you can answer. THE WITNESS: I'm not aware.</li> <li>BY MS. ROSS:</li> <li>Q Are you aware of any payments by Kemper under a 1980 to '81 policy, primary policy, issued to Madison-Kipp? MR. SEESE: Same objection. THE WITNESS: I'm not aware.</li> </ul>	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>BY MS. ROSS:</li> <li>Q Are there Is there testimony you are not providing because of the instruction by your counsel?</li> <li>A No.</li> <li>Q Do you have any understanding of the limits of liability provision in the Columbia Casualty Company umbrella policies?</li> <li>MR. SEESE: Object to that as beyond the scope. Now we're talking about specific terms and conditions, so I'll instruct the witness not to answer.</li> <li>BY MS. ROSS:</li> <li>Q Do you have any understanding of the maintenance of underlying insurance provision contained in the Columbia Casualty Company policies?</li> </ul>
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>the scope. You are also now referring to terms and conditions, which is subject to a protective order. I instruct the witness not to answer.</li> <li>BY MS. ROSS:</li> <li>Q With respect Are you aware of any payments by Madison-Kipp to Columbia Casualty Company related to the policies listed on Page 2 of Exhibit 6? MR. SEESE: Objection, beyond the scope. Subject to that, you can answer. THE WITNESS: I'm not aware.</li> <li>BY MS. ROSS:</li> <li>Q Are you aware of any payments by Kemper under a 1980 to '81 policy, primary policy, issued to Madison-Kipp? MR. SEESE: Same objection. THE WITNESS: I'm not aware.</li> <li>BY MS. ROSS:</li> </ul>	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>BY MS. ROSS:</li> <li>Q Are there Is there testimony you are not providing because of the instruction by your counsel?</li> <li>A No.</li> <li>Q Do you have any understanding of the limits of liability provision in the Columbia Casualty Company umbrella policies?</li> <li>MR. SEESE: Object to that as beyond the scope. Now we're talking about specific terms and conditions, so I'll instruct the witness not to answer.</li> <li>BY MS. ROSS:</li> <li>Q Do you have any understanding of the maintenance of underlying insurance provision contained in the Columbia Casualty Company policies?</li> <li>MR. SEESE: Same objection. I instruct</li> </ul>
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>the scope. You are also now referring to terms and conditions, which is subject to a protective order. I instruct the witness not to answer.</li> <li>BY MS. ROSS:</li> <li>Q With respect Are you aware of any payments by Madison-Kipp to Columbia Casualty Company related to the policies listed on Page 2 of Exhibit 6? MR. SEESE: Objection, beyond the scope. Subject to that, you can answer. THE WITNESS: I'm not aware.</li> <li>BY MS. ROSS:</li> <li>Q Are you aware of any payments by Kemper under a 1980 to '81 policy, primary policy, issued to Madison-Kipp? MR. SEESE: Same objection. THE WITNESS: I'm not aware.</li> <li>BY MS. ROSS:</li> <li>Q Do you have any understanding concerning any of</li> </ul>	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>BY MS. ROSS:</li> <li>Q Are there Is there testimony you are not providing because of the instruction by your counsel?</li> <li>A No.</li> <li>Q Do you have any understanding of the limits of liability provision in the Columbia Casualty Company umbrella policies?</li> <li>MR. SEESE: Object to that as beyond the scope. Now we're talking about specific terms and conditions, so I'll instruct the witness not to answer.</li> <li>BY MS. ROSS:</li> <li>Q Do you have any understanding of the maintenance of underlying insurance provision contained in the Columbia Casualty Company policies?</li> <li>MR. SEESE: Same objection. I instruct the witness not to answer.</li> </ul>
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>the scope. You are also now referring to terms and conditions, which is subject to a protective order. I instruct the witness not to answer.</li> <li>BY MS. ROSS:</li> <li>Q With respect Are you aware of any payments by Madison-Kipp to Columbia Casualty Company related to the policies listed on Page 2 of Exhibit 6? MR. SEESE: Objection, beyond the scope. Subject to that, you can answer. THE WITNESS: I'm not aware.</li> <li>BY MS. ROSS:</li> <li>Q Are you aware of any payments by Kemper under a 1980 to '81 policy, primary policy, issued to Madison-Kipp? MR. SEESE: Same objection. THE WITNESS: I'm not aware.</li> <li>BY MS. ROSS:</li> </ul>	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>BY MS. ROSS:</li> <li>Q Are there Is there testimony you are not providing because of the instruction by your counsel?</li> <li>A No.</li> <li>Q Do you have any understanding of the limits of liability provision in the Columbia Casualty Company umbrella policies?</li> <li>MR. SEESE: Object to that as beyond the scope. Now we're talking about specific terms and conditions, so I'll instruct the witness not to answer.</li> <li>BY MS. ROSS:</li> <li>Q Do you have any understanding of the maintenance of underlying insurance provision contained in the Columbia Casualty Company policies?</li> <li>MR. SEESE: Same objection. I instruct</li> </ul>

10 (Pages 34 to 37)

Deposition of Mark D. Daniel

	Page 38		Page 39
1	Madison-Kipp has if a claim is made against	1	notice, which is the fourth, I believe, divider
2	Madison-Kipp? What responsibilities Madison-Kipp	2	back, August 1st letter to John Walter Williams,
3	has under the Columbia policies if a claim is	3	CNA Insurance, in reference to site Waubesa
4	made against Madison-Kipp?	4	Street facility penned by David Crass.
5	MR. SEESE: Object to that as beyond the	5	Q And at the point in time that notice was given on
6	scope. Subject to the object, you can answer.	6	August 1, 2003, Madison-Kipp had been cleaning up
7	THE WITNESS: I'm not aware.	7	the Madison-Kipp facility for a number of years,
8	BY MS. ROSS:	8	is that correct?
9	Q Are you aware of any other policies that Columbia	9	MR. SEESE: Object to that as beyond the
10	Casualty Company issued or allegedly issued to	10	scope. Subject to that, you can answer.
11	Madison-Kipp other than those set forth on Page 2	11	THE WITNESS: To my knowledge, they had
12	of Exhibit 6?	12	been doing remedial work, yes.
13	MR. SEESE: Objection, beyond the scope.	13	BY MS. ROSS:
14	Subject to that, you can answer.	14	Q Why didn't Madison-Kipp Why didn't
15	THE WITNESS: I'm not aware.	15	Madison-Kipp provide notice to Continental
16	BY MS. ROSS:	16	Casualty Company prior to August 1, 2003?
17	Q When did Madison-Kipp first provide notice to	17	MR. SEESE: I object to that to the
18	Continental Casualty Company about the	18	extent it calls for attorney-client privileged
19	environmental contamination claims at the	19	communications. Subject to that, you can answer
20	Madison-Kipp facility?	20	without disclosing privileged communications.
21	A What question are we addressing?	21	THE WITNESS: As referenced in the
22	Q It comes out of Topics 3, 7 and 8.	22	letter dated August 1, 2003 in Tab 14 and 15, the
23	A If we turn to the document	23	insurance or the law was changed. Therefore,
24	Q Are you looking at Exhibit 3?	24	there was a filing made at that time.
25	A I'm looking at Exhibit 3. August 1, 2003 formal	25	C
	Page 40		Page 41
1	BY MS. ROSS:	1	MR. SEESE: I object to that as beyond
2	Q And you are referencing an August 1, 2003 letter	2	the scope. It's also subject to an agreement by
3	to Christine Beyrent at Riverstone Claims	3	counsel that we would respond by interrogatory,
4	Management, is that correct?	4	so I instruct the witness not to answer.
5	A Yes, and that's a different I'm sorry. There	5	MS. ROSS: Our understanding of our
6	was a similar letter sent to Columbia.	6	agreement was that you would respond by
7	Q And so is your answer that there was a change in	7	interrogatory prior to this deposition. I
8	the law? Is that correct?	8	recognize we have a disagreement of our agreement
9	A That is correct.	9	on that.
10	Q Prior to the change in the law, did Madison-Kipp	10	MR. SEESE: We do have a disagreement of
11	ever provide a notice of occurrence to	11	the agreement or about whether an agreement
12	Continental Casualty Company?	12	exists, but yes.
13	A Not to my knowledge.	13	MS. ROSS: Were you instructing him not
14	Q Did Madison-Kipp ever provide a notice of	14	to answer?
15	occurrence to Columbia Casualty Company relating	15	MR. SEESE: I did. Yes, I maintain the
16	to the Madison-Kipp facility?	16	instruction not to answer.
17	MR. SEESE: Object to the form of that	17	BY MS. ROSS:
18	as vague as to time.	18	Q Did Madison-Kipp incur defense costs between 1994
19	MS. ROSS: At any point prior to	19	and 2003?
20	August 1, 2003.	20	A Both defense and indemnity. We incurred
	<b>u</b>	21	approximately \$565,000 as outlined in Tab No. 5.
21	THE WITNESS: Not to my knowledge.		
21	THE WITNESS: Not to my knowledge. BY MS. ROSS:	22	Q And that \$565,000 is both defense and indemnity?
22	BY MS. ROSS:	22	Q And that \$565,000 is both defense and indemnity?

11 (Pages 38 to 41)

# Case: 3:11-cv-00724-bbc Document #: 237 Filed: 04/10/13 Page 12 of 53

Kathleen McHugh and Deanna Schneider vs. Madison-Kipp4/8/13

	Page 42		Page 43
1	Q So \$565,000 in defense and indemnity was incurred	1	system, which is now obsolete, prior to 2001.
2	by Madison-Kipp prior to 2009. Is that your	2	Q Did you attempt to do that?
3	testimony?	3	A Yes, we did.
4	A Yes, it is.	4	Q And what did you find out?
5	Q How much of that defense and indemnity was	5	A We were unable to resurrect any of the
6	incurred prior to August 1, 2003?	6	information.
7	A I'm not prepared to answer that question. We	7	Q Do you have any estimate of the amount of defense
8	have the detail here that goes back to 2001,	8	or indemnity that Madison-Kipp incurred between
9	which is the total of \$565,000. There's a	9	1994 and 2001 relating to contamination at the
10	mixture.	10	Madison-Kipp facility?
11	Q Were there costs incurred between 1994 and 2001	11	A No, I'm not aware.
12	relating to contamination at the Madison-Kipp	12	Q I assume Madison-Kipp is not seeking any coverage
13	facility?	13	for the defense or indemnity that it allegedly
14	A I'm not aware.	14	incurred between 1994 and 2001, is that correct?
15	Q So to the best of your knowledge, there were no	15	MR. SEESE: Object to form and
16	costs incurred in that time period?	16	foundation, also beyond the scope. Subject to
17	MR. SEESE: Object to the form of that,	17	that, you can answer.
18	misstates his testimony. You can answer.	18	THE WITNESS: I'm not aware.
19	THE WITNESS: I'm not aware.	19	BY MS. ROSS:
20	BY MS. ROSS:	20	Q Between August 1, 2003 and July 2011, what
21	Q Does that mean you don't know one way or the	21	communications were there between Madison-Kipp
22	other?	22	and Continental Casualty Company concerning the
23	A I do not know one way or another.	23	contamination at the Madison-Kipp facility?
24	Q How would you find out?	24	A Are we referring to Item 3 in the binder?
25	A We would have to go back to the old financial	25	Q I'm asking my question. If you need to refer to
	Page 44		Page 45
1	something, you are welcome to do so.	1	you. Would you reread the question for me,
2	A All correspondence is included in the binder	2	please.
3	under Tab 3 for Continental and No. 8, correct?	3	COURT REPORTER: "Well, I'm asking you a
4	MR. SEESE: You have to answer her.	4	pretty specific question without You can look
5	THE WITNESS: No. 3 and No. 8. All	5	at anything you want to look at, but my question
6	communications, as defined earlier, are 3, 7 and	6	to you is what communications were there between
7	8. They all refer to the question that's posed.	7	Madison-Kipp and Continental Casualty Company
8	BY MS. ROSS:		Madison-Kipp and Continental Casualty Company
			between August 1, 2003 and July of 2011
a		8 9	between August 1, 2003 and July of 2011 concerning contamination at the Madison-Kinn
9 10	Q Well, I'm asking you a pretty specific question	9	concerning contamination at the Madison-Kipp
10	Q Well, I'm asking you a pretty specific question without You can look at anything you want to	9 10	concerning contamination at the Madison-Kipp facility, July 19, 2011."
10 11	Q Well, I'm asking you a pretty specific question without You can look at anything you want to look at, but my question to you is what	9 10 11	concerning contamination at the Madison-Kipp facility, July 19, 2011." THE WITNESS: Okay. We have the letter
10 11 12	Q Well, I'm asking you a pretty specific question without You can look at anything you want to look at, but my question to you is what communications were there between Madison-Kipp	9 10 11 12	concerning contamination at the Madison-Kipp facility, July 19, 2011." THE WITNESS: Okay. We have the letter dated August 1, No. 3, from David Crass to CNA
10 11 12 13	Q Well, I'm asking you a pretty specific question without You can look at anything you want to look at, but my question to you is what communications were there between Madison-Kipp and Continental Casualty Company between	9 10 11 12 13	concerning contamination at the Madison-Kipp facility, July 19, 2011." THE WITNESS: Okay. We have the letter dated August 1, No. 3, from David Crass to CNA Insurance, John Walter Williams, in reference to
10 11 12 13 14	Q Well, I'm asking you a pretty specific question without You can look at anything you want to look at, but my question to you is what communications were there between Madison-Kipp and Continental Casualty Company between August 1, 2003 and July of 2011 concerning	9 10 11 12 13 14	concerning contamination at the Madison-Kipp facility, July 19, 2011." THE WITNESS: Okay. We have the letter dated August 1, No. 3, from David Crass to CNA Insurance, John Walter Williams, in reference to the Waubesa Street site. On July 25, 2011 a
10 11 12 13 14 15	Q Well, I'm asking you a pretty specific question without You can look at anything you want to look at, but my question to you is what communications were there between Madison-Kipp and Continental Casualty Company between August 1, 2003 and July of 2011 concerning contamination at the Madison-Kipp facility,	9 10 11 12 13 14 15	concerning contamination at the Madison-Kipp facility, July 19, 2011." THE WITNESS: Okay. We have the letter dated August 1, No. 3, from David Crass to CNA Insurance, John Walter Williams, in reference to the Waubesa Street site. On July 25, 2011 a letter from David Crass to, again, CNA Insurance,
10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q Well, I'm asking you a pretty specific question without You can look at anything you want to look at, but my question to you is what communications were there between Madison-Kipp and Continental Casualty Company between August 1, 2003 and July of 2011 concerning contamination at the Madison-Kipp facility, July 19, 2011.	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	concerning contamination at the Madison-Kipp facility, July 19, 2011." THE WITNESS: Okay. We have the letter dated August 1, No. 3, from David Crass to CNA Insurance, John Walter Williams, in reference to the Waubesa Street site. On July 25, 2011 a letter from David Crass to, again, CNA Insurance, John Walter Williams, and that included the
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q Well, I'm asking you a pretty specific question without You can look at anything you want to look at, but my question to you is what communications were there between Madison-Kipp and Continental Casualty Company between August 1, 2003 and July of 2011 concerning contamination at the Madison-Kipp facility, July 19, 2011. MR. SEESE: I just want to make sure you	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	concerning contamination at the Madison-Kipp facility, July 19, 2011." THE WITNESS: Okay. We have the letter dated August 1, No. 3, from David Crass to CNA Insurance, John Walter Williams, in reference to the Waubesa Street site. On July 25, 2011 a letter from David Crass to, again, CNA Insurance, John Walter Williams, and that included the August 1, 2003 letter. There was a letter from
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q Well, I'm asking you a pretty specific question without You can look at anything you want to look at, but my question to you is what communications were there between Madison-Kipp and Continental Casualty Company between August 1, 2003 and July of 2011 concerning contamination at the Madison-Kipp facility, July 19, 2011. MR. SEESE: I just want to make sure you are talking about contamination regarding	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	concerning contamination at the Madison-Kipp facility, July 19, 2011." THE WITNESS: Okay. We have the letter dated August 1, No. 3, from David Crass to CNA Insurance, John Walter Williams, in reference to the Waubesa Street site. On July 25, 2011 a letter from David Crass to, again, CNA Insurance, John Walter Williams, and that included the August 1, 2003 letter. There was a letter from David Crass to a Gina Marsari, Resolute
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q Well, I'm asking you a pretty specific question without You can look at anything you want to look at, but my question to you is what communications were there between Madison-Kipp and Continental Casualty Company between August 1, 2003 and July of 2011 concerning contamination at the Madison-Kipp facility, July 19, 2011. MR. SEESE: I just want to make sure you are talking about contamination regarding environmental contamination at the facility, not	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	concerning contamination at the Madison-Kipp facility, July 19, 2011." THE WITNESS: Okay. We have the letter dated August 1, No. 3, from David Crass to CNA Insurance, John Walter Williams, in reference to the Waubesa Street site. On July 25, 2011 a letter from David Crass to, again, CNA Insurance, John Walter Williams, and that included the August 1, 2003 letter. There was a letter from David Crass to a Gina Marsari, Resolute Management.
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>Q Well, I'm asking you a pretty specific question without You can look at anything you want to look at, but my question to you is what communications were there between Madison-Kipp and Continental Casualty Company between August 1, 2003 and July of 2011 concerning contamination at the Madison-Kipp facility, July 19, 2011.</li> <li>MR. SEESE: I just want to make sure you are talking about contamination at the facility, not contamination regarding things sent elsewhere.</li> </ul>	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>concerning contamination at the Madison-Kipp facility, July 19, 2011."</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Okay. We have the letter dated August 1, No. 3, from David Crass to CNA Insurance, John Walter Williams, in reference to the Waubesa Street site. On July 25, 2011 a letter from David Crass to, again, CNA Insurance, John Walter Williams, and that included the August 1, 2003 letter. There was a letter from David Crass to a Gina Marsari, Resolute Management.</li> <li>BY MS. ROSS:</li> </ul>
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>Q Well, I'm asking you a pretty specific question without You can look at anything you want to look at, but my question to you is what communications were there between Madison-Kipp and Continental Casualty Company between August 1, 2003 and July of 2011 concerning contamination at the Madison-Kipp facility, July 19, 2011.</li> <li>MR. SEESE: I just want to make sure you are talking about contamination regarding environmental contamination at the facility, not contamination regarding things sent elsewhere. Is that fair? Is that the question?</li> </ul>	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>concerning contamination at the Madison-Kipp facility, July 19, 2011."</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Okay. We have the letter dated August 1, No. 3, from David Crass to CNA Insurance, John Walter Williams, in reference to the Waubesa Street site. On July 25, 2011 a letter from David Crass to, again, CNA Insurance, John Walter Williams, and that included the August 1, 2003 letter. There was a letter from David Crass to a Gina Marsari, Resolute Management.</li> <li>BY MS. ROSS:</li> <li>Q What date is that?</li> </ul>
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q Well, I'm asking you a pretty specific question without You can look at anything you want to look at, but my question to you is what communications were there between Madison-Kipp and Continental Casualty Company between August 1, 2003 and July of 2011 concerning contamination at the Madison-Kipp facility, July 19, 2011. MR. SEESE: I just want to make sure you are talking about contamination regarding environmental contamination at the facility, not contamination regarding things sent elsewhere. Is that fair? Is that the question? MS. ROSS: Right. That's the question.	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>concerning contamination at the Madison-Kipp facility, July 19, 2011."</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Okay. We have the letter dated August 1, No. 3, from David Crass to CNA Insurance, John Walter Williams, in reference to the Waubesa Street site. On July 25, 2011 a letter from David Crass to, again, CNA Insurance, John Walter Williams, and that included the August 1, 2003 letter. There was a letter from David Crass to a Gina Marsari, Resolute Management.</li> <li>BY MS. ROSS:</li> <li>Q What date is that?</li> <li>A October 21, 2011.</li> </ul>
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q Well, I'm asking you a pretty specific question without You can look at anything you want to look at, but my question to you is what communications were there between Madison-Kipp and Continental Casualty Company between August 1, 2003 and July of 2011 concerning contamination at the Madison-Kipp facility, July 19, 2011. MR. SEESE: I just want to make sure you are talking about contamination regarding environmental contamination at the facility, not contamination regarding things sent elsewhere. Is that fair? Is that the question? MS. ROSS: Right. That's the question. MR. SEESE: Do you understand her	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>concerning contamination at the Madison-Kipp facility, July 19, 2011."</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Okay. We have the letter dated August 1, No. 3, from David Crass to CNA Insurance, John Walter Williams, in reference to the Waubesa Street site. On July 25, 2011 a letter from David Crass to, again, CNA Insurance, John Walter Williams, and that included the August 1, 2003 letter. There was a letter from David Crass to a Gina Marsari, Resolute Management.</li> <li>BY MS. ROSS:</li> <li>Q What date is that?</li> <li>A October 21, 2011.</li> <li>Q I'm not trying to interrupt you, but I'm looking</li> </ul>
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q Well, I'm asking you a pretty specific question without You can look at anything you want to look at, but my question to you is what communications were there between Madison-Kipp and Continental Casualty Company between August 1, 2003 and July of 2011 concerning contamination at the Madison-Kipp facility, July 19, 2011. MR. SEESE: I just want to make sure you are talking about contamination regarding environmental contamination at the facility, not contamination regarding things sent elsewhere. Is that fair? Is that the question? MS. ROSS: Right. That's the question.	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>concerning contamination at the Madison-Kipp facility, July 19, 2011."</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Okay. We have the letter dated August 1, No. 3, from David Crass to CNA Insurance, John Walter Williams, in reference to the Waubesa Street site. On July 25, 2011 a letter from David Crass to, again, CNA Insurance, John Walter Williams, and that included the August 1, 2003 letter. There was a letter from David Crass to a Gina Marsari, Resolute Management.</li> <li>BY MS. ROSS:</li> <li>Q What date is that?</li> <li>A October 21, 2011.</li> </ul>

## Case: 3:11-cv-00724-bbc Document #: 237 Filed: 04/10/13 Page 13 of 53

Kathleen McHugh and Deanna Schneider vs. Madison-Kipp4/8/13

	Page 46		Page 47
1	A I believe then the only would be the August 1,	1	it says, "We hereby place your company on notice
2	2003 letter in reference to the Madison property.	2	of a claim for defense and indemnity obligations
3	Q So between August 1, 2003 and July 25, 2011,	3	stemming from liabilities that have been and will
4	Madison-Kipp did not communicate with Continental	4	be incurred by your insured in response to and as
5	Casualty Company in any way concerning the	5	a result of WDNR's demands with respect to this
6	contamination at the Waubesa Street facility, is	6	site." Do you see that?
7	that correct?	7	A Yes.
8	A Other than the August 1, 2003 letter, to my	8	Q And then it says, "We request that your company
9	knowledge there was no other communication.	9	analyze this matter and accept duties of defense
10	Q There was no oral communication of any type, is	10	and then indemnity owed under the CGL and/or
11	that correct?	11	umbrella policies." Do you see that?
12	A Not to my knowledge.	12	A Yes.
13	Q Of the \$565,000 in defense and indemnity that	13	Q Now you understand that to be a demand by
14	Madison-Kipp incurred during that period of time,	14	Madison-Kipp to Continental Casualty Company and
15	were any of the bills for those \$565,000 ever	15	Columbia Casualty Company to accept defense and
16	forwarded to Continental Casualty Company?	16	indemnity with respect to the Waubesa site, is
17	MR. SEESE: Object to the form of that.	17	that correct?
18	You can answer.	18	A Yes.
19	THE WITNESS: Not to my knowledge.	10 19	Q With respect to the next sentence it says, "We
20	BY MS. ROSS:	20	will provide you with further information as it
20		20 21	is developed in this matter." Do you see that?
21	Q In the August 1, 2003 letter that you reference	21 22	A Yes.
	to CNA Insurance Companies, can you look at	22	
23	Page 4 of that letter, please.	23 24	Q Prior to July of 2011, did Madison-Kipp ever
24	A Yes.		provide Continental Casualty with further
25	Q In the next to the last paragraph of the letter	25	information as it was developed in this matter?
	Page 48		Page 49
1	MR. SEESE: Object to the form of that.	1	MR. SEESE: Objection, beyond the scope.
2	Subject to that, you can answer.	2	Subject though that, you can answer.
3	THE WITNESS: Not that I'm aware.	3	THE WITNESS: Not to my knowledge.
4	BY MS. ROSS:	4	BY MS. ROSS:
5	Q What communications did Madison-Kipp have with	5	Q Did Madison-Kipp ever discuss any actions it
6	Columbia Casualty Company between August 1, 2003	6	intended to take with respect to the Madison-Kipp
7	and July 19, 2011?	7	site with Continental Casualty Company between
8	A I'm not aware of any.	8	2003 and July of 2011?
9	Q And Madison-Kipp also did not submit any invoices	9	A I'm not aware of any.
10	to Columbia Casualty Company, is that correct?	10	Q Did Madison-Kipp ever discuss any actions it
11	A Not that I'm aware of.	11	intended to take with respect to the Madison-Kipp
12	MR. SEESE: When you get a chance, I'd	12	site with Columbia Casualty Company between 2003
13	like to take a break whenever it's okay.	13	and July of 2011?
14	MS. ROSS: I will get through a couple	14	A I'm not aware of any.
15	more questions, unless you want it right this	15	Q Was Madison-Kipp aware in August of 2003 that
16	minute.	16	Columbia Casualty Company had not located any of
17	MR. SEESE: No, that's okay.	17	the policies Madison-Kipp claimed it had issued?
18	BY MS. ROSS:	18	MR. SEESE: Object to form. Also beyond
19	Q Did Madison-Kipp ever seek approval from	19	the scope. Subject to that, you can answer.
20	Continental Casualty Company for incurring any	20	THE WITNESS: Not to my knowledge.
20	expense between 2003 and July of 2011?	20	MS. ROSS: Okay. Let's take a short
22	A I'm not aware of any.	21	break.
22		22	
		23 24	(A recess was taken.) BY MS. ROSS:
24	any expense between 2003 and July of 2011 from Columbia Casualty Company?	24 25	Q Mr. Daniel, when did you start at Madison-Kipp?
25		_ <u>_</u>	O IVIT. Damer, when the VOU start at Madison-K10D?

# Case: 3:11-cv-00724-bbc Document #: 237 Filed: 04/10/13 Page 14 of 53

Kathleen McHugh and Deanna Schneider vs. Madison-Kipp4/8/13

	Page 50		Page 51
1	A March of 2002.	1	having communications with Continental Casualty
2	Q And in what position?	2	Company or Columbia Casualty Company between
3	A As controller.	3	August 1, 2003 and July of 2011?
4	Q How long did you remain controller?	4	MR. SEESE: I object to the form of
5	A For approximately one year.	5	that. Also object to the extent it calls for
6	Q And then what position did you undertake?	6	privilege. You may answer the question, but do
7	A VP of Finance.	7	not disclose attorney-client privileged
8	Q Have you been the VP of Finance ever since then?	8	communication.
9	A That is correct.	9	THE WITNESS: Not to my knowledge.
10	Q Why didn't Madison-Kipp provide any further	10	BY MS. ROSS:
11	information to Continental Casualty or Columbia	11	Q Can you look at that August 1, 2003 letter,
12	Casualty Company between August 1, 2003 and July	12	please, that is under Tab 3 in your in the
13	of 2011?	13	binder that is Exhibit 3. That letter identifies
14	MR. SEESE: I object to that. It calls	14	specific Continental Casualty Company CGL and
15	for attorney-client privileged communications. I	15	umbrella policies, is that correct?
16	instruct the witness to answer, but in doing so,	16	A That is correct.
17	do not disclose attorney-client privileged	17	Q And it identifies policies under Continental
18	communications.	18	Casualty Company that go between 1981 and 1987.
19	THE WITNESS: I'm not sure.	19	Do you see that?
20	BY MS. ROSS:	20	A I see that, yes.
21	Q Is there any information you are not providing	21	Q And it includes certain primary policies issued
22	because of the instruction not to answer?	22	by Continental Casualty Company, is that right?
23	A No.	23	MR. SEESE: Object to the form. You an
24	Q Do you have Does Madison-Kipp have any	24	answer.
25	justification that you are aware of for not	25	THE WITNESS: Yes.
	Page 52		Page 53
1	BY MS. ROSS:	1	
1		1	it parentheses it says 1/1/82 to '83. Do you see that?
2 3	Q But it doesn't include the primary policy from 1983 to 1984, does it?	2	A Yes.
4		3	
5	<ul><li>A What time period?</li><li>Q 1/1/83 to 1/1/84, primary policy.</li></ul>	4 5	Q Do you know what kind of policy that is? A I do not.
6		6	MR. SEESE: Object to form, beyond the
7	A There is a policy listed on the first page covering that time period.	7	•
-			scope. Subject to that, you can answer. THE WITNESS: I do not.
8 9		8	
10	excess policy? A It is grouped under the Continental Casualty CGL	9 10	BY MS. ROSS: O Do you know Have you ever seen a copy of that
11	and umbrella policies.	10	Q Do you know Have you ever seen a copy of that
12	Q So do you know whether it's a primary policy or	12	policy?
13	an excess policy?	13	MR. SEESE: Same objection. You can
14	A I do not know.	13 14	answer. THE WITNESS: Not to my knowledge.
14		14	BY MS. ROSS:
15 16	Q Do you know of any other notice that Madison-Kipp gave of contamination at the Waubesa Street	15 16	
17	facility under the 1983 to '84 primary policy?	17	Q Do you have any information concerning the terms and conditions of that policy under which
18	A Beyond	18	Madison-Kipp provided notice?
19	MR. SEESE: Object to the form of that.	18	MR. SEESE: Can you read that back?
	Go ahead. You can answer.	20	COURT REPORTER: "Do you have any
20	Go ancau. I ou can answel.		information concerning the terms and conditions
20 21	THE WITNESS. Revend the August 1 2002		
21	THE WITNESS: Beyond the August 1, 2003	21	
21 22	letter, I'm not aware.	22	of that policy under which Madison-Kipp provided
21 22 23	letter, I'm not aware. BY MS. ROSS:	22 23	of that policy under which Madison-Kipp provided notice?"
21 22	letter, I'm not aware.	22	of that policy under which Madison-Kipp provided

# Case: 3:11-cv-00724-bbc Document #: 237 Filed: 04/10/13 Page 15 of 53

Kathleen McHugh and Deanna Schneider vs. Madison-Kipp4/8/13

Deposition of Mark D. Daniel

1 2	Page 54		Page 55
C	to instruct the witness not to answer.	1	A Yes, I see that.
	BY MS. ROSS:	2	Q And it lists dates from $1/1/81$ through $1/1/84$ .
3	Q Do you see in the fourth line on Page 2 a Policy	3	Do you see that?
4	No. 1781806 and then in parentheses 1/1/81 to	4	A Yes, I see that.
5	'82? Do you see that?	5	Q Now there's no listing of any Columbia policy for
6	A Yes, I do.	6	1980 to '81, is there?
7	Q Do you know what kind of policy that allegedly	7	A That's correct.
8	is?	8	Q Did Madison-Kipp have the 1980 to '81 Columbia
9	MR. SEESE: Objection, beyond the scope.	9	policy in August of 2003?
10	Subject to that, you can answer.	10	MR. SEESE: Object to form and
11	THE WITNESS: No, I do not.	11	foundation. Also beyond the scope. Subject to
12	BY MS. ROSS:	12	that, you can answer.
13	Q Have you ever seen a copy of that policy?	13	THE WITNESS: I'm not aware.
14	MR. SEESE: Same objection.	14	BY MS. ROSS:
15	THE WITNESS: No, I have not.	15	Q Do you have any understanding concerning why
16	BY MS. ROSS:	16	Madison-Kipp did not provide notice under the
17	Q Have you Do you know anything about the terms	17	1980 to '81 Columbia policy in the August 1, 2003
18	and conditions of that policy?	18	letter?
19	MR. SEESE: I instruct the witness not	19	A No.
20	to answer. That topic is the subject of a	20	Q Do you have any understanding concerning why
21	protective order.	21	Madison-Kipp never sent bills to Continental or
22	BY MS. ROSS:	22	Columbia between August 1, 2003 and July of 2011?
23	Q With respect to Columbia Casualty Company, under	23	A I'm not aware.
24	the August 1, 2003 letter it lists three policy	24	Q Do you have any understanding concerning why
25	numbers. Do you see that?	25	Madison-Kipp did not discuss potential remedial
	Page 56		Page 57
1	options with Continental Casualty Company or	1	MS. ROSS: Four.
2	Columbia Casualty Company between August 1, 2003	2	MR. SEESE: Okay.
3	and July of 2011?	3	THE WITNESS: Topic 4. At this time the
4	MR. SEESE: Object to the form of that.	4	factual basis of MKC's claim that neither
5	Subject to that, you can answer.	5	Continental nor Columbia suffered prejudice is
6	THE WITNESS: Not to my knowledge.	6	that MKC provided notice to Continental and
7	BY MS. ROSS:	7	Columbia on August 1, 2003. Neither Continental
8	Q Does Madison-Kipp claim that its failure to	8	nor Columbia responded to that notice. As a
Ŭ	provide timely notice did not prejudice	9	result, neither Continental nor Columbia can
9	Continental Casualty Company? Is that a claim by	10	·
9 10	Continiental Casualty Company. Is that a claim by	± 0	claim preilidice as a result of what happened at
10	Madison-Kipp?	11	claim prejudice as a result of what happened at the site from August 1, 2003 through July 25
10 11	Madison-Kipp? MR. SEESE: Lobject to the form of	11 12	the site from August 1, 2003 through July 25,
10 11 12	MR. SEESE: I object to the form of	12	the site from August 1, 2003 through July 25, 2011. In addition, when MKC first received
10 11 12 13	MR. SEESE: I object to the form of that. I also object as beyond the scope.	12 13	the site from August 1, 2003 through July 25, 2011. In addition, when MKC first received notice of the DNR's claim in 1994, there was no
10 11 12 13 14	MR. SEESE: I object to the form of that. I also object as beyond the scope. Subject to that, you can answer.	12 13 14	the site from August 1, 2003 through July 25, 2011. In addition, when MKC first received notice of the DNR's claim in 1994, there was no insurance coverage for such claims. There is no
10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. SEESE: I object to the form of that. I also object as beyond the scope. Subject to that, you can answer. THE WITNESS: Do you have a specific	12 13 14 15	the site from August 1, 2003 through July 25, 2011. In addition, when MKC first received notice of the DNR's claim in 1994, there was no insurance coverage for such claims. There is no indication that Continental or Columbia would
10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. SEESE: I object to the form of that. I also object as beyond the scope. Subject to that, you can answer. THE WITNESS: Do you have a specific topic you are referring to?	12 13 14 15 16	the site from August 1, 2003 through July 25, 2011. In addition, when MKC first received notice of the DNR's claim in 1994, there was no insurance coverage for such claims. There is no indication that Continental or Columbia would have done anything other than deny MKC's claim
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. SEESE: I object to the form of that. I also object as beyond the scope. Subject to that, you can answer. THE WITNESS: Do you have a specific topic you are referring to? MS. ROSS: It's within the topics.	12 13 14 15 16 17	the site from August 1, 2003 through July 25, 2011. In addition, when MKC first received notice of the DNR's claim in 1994, there was no insurance coverage for such claims. There is no indication that Continental or Columbia would have done anything other than deny MKC's claim had MKC given notice to Continental and Columbia
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. SEESE: I object to the form of that. I also object as beyond the scope. Subject to that, you can answer. THE WITNESS: Do you have a specific topic you are referring to? MS. ROSS: It's within the topics. MR. SEESE: Which topic?	12 13 14 15 16 17 18	the site from August 1, 2003 through July 25, 2011. In addition, when MKC first received notice of the DNR's claim in 1994, there was no insurance coverage for such claims. There is no indication that Continental or Columbia would have done anything other than deny MKC's claim had MKC given notice to Continental and Columbia in 1984.
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR. SEESE: I object to the form of that. I also object as beyond the scope. Subject to that, you can answer. THE WITNESS: Do you have a specific topic you are referring to? MS. ROSS: It's within the topics. MR. SEESE: Which topic? MS. ROSS: I'm not going to get into a	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>the site from August 1, 2003 through July 25, 2011. In addition, when MKC first received notice of the DNR's claim in 1994, there was no insurance coverage for such claims. There is no indication that Continental or Columbia would have done anything other than deny MKC's claim had MKC given notice to Continental and Columbia in 1984.</li> <li>BY MS. ROSS:</li> </ul>
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. SEESE: I object to the form of that. I also object as beyond the scope. Subject to that, you can answer. THE WITNESS: Do you have a specific topic you are referring to? MS. ROSS: It's within the topics. MR. SEESE: Which topic? MS. ROSS: I'm not going to get into a discussion with you.	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>the site from August 1, 2003 through July 25, 2011. In addition, when MKC first received notice of the DNR's claim in 1994, there was no insurance coverage for such claims. There is no indication that Continental or Columbia would have done anything other than deny MKC's claim had MKC given notice to Continental and Columbia in 1984.</li> <li>BY MS. ROSS:</li> <li>Q Are you reading from something?</li> </ul>
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. SEESE: I object to the form of that. I also object as beyond the scope. Subject to that, you can answer. THE WITNESS: Do you have a specific topic you are referring to? MS. ROSS: It's within the topics. MR. SEESE: Which topic? MS. ROSS: I'm not going to get into a discussion with you. MR. SEESE: Well, it's a 30(b)(6)	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>the site from August 1, 2003 through July 25, 2011. In addition, when MKC first received notice of the DNR's claim in 1994, there was no insurance coverage for such claims. There is no indication that Continental or Columbia would have done anything other than deny MKC's claim had MKC given notice to Continental and Columbia in 1984.</li> <li>BY MS. ROSS:</li> <li>Q Are you reading from something?</li> <li>A Yes, I am.</li> </ul>
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. SEESE: I object to the form of that. I also object as beyond the scope. Subject to that, you can answer. THE WITNESS: Do you have a specific topic you are referring to? MS. ROSS: It's within the topics. MR. SEESE: Which topic? MS. ROSS: I'm not going to get into a discussion with you. MR. SEESE: Well, it's a 30(b)(6) deposition.	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>the site from August 1, 2003 through July 25, 2011. In addition, when MKC first received notice of the DNR's claim in 1994, there was no insurance coverage for such claims. There is no indication that Continental or Columbia would have done anything other than deny MKC's claim had MKC given notice to Continental and Columbia in 1984.</li> <li>BY MS. ROSS:</li> <li>Q Are you reading from something?</li> <li>A Yes, I am.</li> <li>Q What are you reading from?</li> </ul>
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. SEESE: I object to the form of that. I also object as beyond the scope. Subject to that, you can answer. THE WITNESS: Do you have a specific topic you are referring to? MS. ROSS: It's within the topics. MR. SEESE: Which topic? MS. ROSS: I'm not going to get into a discussion with you. MR. SEESE: Well, it's a 30(b)(6) deposition. MS. ROSS: That's right.	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>the site from August 1, 2003 through July 25, 2011. In addition, when MKC first received notice of the DNR's claim in 1994, there was no insurance coverage for such claims. There is no indication that Continental or Columbia would have done anything other than deny MKC's claim had MKC given notice to Continental and Columbia in 1984.</li> <li>BY MS. ROSS:</li> <li>Q Are you reading from something?</li> <li>A Yes, I am.</li> <li>Q What are you reading from?</li> <li>A A response, formal response.</li> </ul>
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. SEESE: I object to the form of that. I also object as beyond the scope. Subject to that, you can answer. THE WITNESS: Do you have a specific topic you are referring to? MS. ROSS: It's within the topics. MR. SEESE: Which topic? MS. ROSS: I'm not going to get into a discussion with you. MR. SEESE: Well, it's a 30(b)(6) deposition.	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>the site from August 1, 2003 through July 25, 2011. In addition, when MKC first received notice of the DNR's claim in 1994, there was no insurance coverage for such claims. There is no indication that Continental or Columbia would have done anything other than deny MKC's claim had MKC given notice to Continental and Columbia in 1984.</li> <li>BY MS. ROSS:</li> <li>Q Are you reading from something?</li> <li>A Yes, I am.</li> <li>Q What are you reading from?</li> </ul>

15 (Pages 54 to 57)

### Case: 3:11-cv-00724-bbc Document #: 237 Filed: 04/10/13 Page 16 of 53

Kathleen McHugh and Deanna Schneider vs. Madison-Kipp4/8/13

Deposition of Mark D. Daniel

Ruchitech Mehagh and Dealma Semicrael VS. Maarson Ripp 1/0	1	
Page 58		Page 59
1 Q So your counsel wrote out your answer for you, is	1	not disclose attorney-client privileged
2 that right?	2	communications. If you can respond to that
3 A That is correct.	3	without disclosing attorney-client privilege, you
4 MS. ROSS: Can I have a copy of that,	4	can answer.
5 please?	5	THE WITNESS: I choose not to respond.
6 MR. SEESE: You can.	6	BY MS. ROSS:
7 BY MS. ROSS:	7	Q Are you choosing not to respond because the only
8 Q Does your copy have handwriting on it?	8	information is information that your counsel has
9 A It has one sentence.	9	instructed you not to answer?
10 Q And what does it say?	10	MR. SEESE: Object to the form of that,
11 A "What topics are we addressing."	11	and also repeat the instruction that to the
12 MS. ROSS: And can you hand your copy to	12	extent that question calls for the disclosure of
13 the court reporter, please. Could the court	13	attorney-client privileged information, you are
14 reporter please mark this as Exhibit 7.	14	not to answer.
15 (Exhibit 7 was marked.)	15	THE WITNESS: I choose not to answer.
16 BY MS. ROSS:	16	BY MS. ROSS:
17 Q Is this a three-page document, Mr. Daniel?	17	Q I'm trying to understand the basis for your
18 A It is a three-page document.	18	choice. Is your choice not to answer because you
19 Q And is this a document that your counsel wrote	19	simply don't want to answer or because you
20 out for you?	20	believe that the information that you would
21 A That is correct.	21	provide would be privileged under your counsel's
22 Q And what input did you have into the response on	22	instruction?
23 Topic No. 4?	23	MR. SEESE: Object to form. You can
24 MR. SEESE: I object to that as it calls	24	answer.
25 for attorney-client privileged information. Do	25	THE WITNESS: I have no working
Page 60		Page 61
1 knowledge of any legal issues in this situation,	1	Topic 4?
2 whether it's prejudiced or not.	2	A Not to my knowledge.
3 BY MS. ROSS:	3	Q Did anyone at Madison-Kipp have any input into
4 Q Okay. My question originally was what input, if	4	the response to Topic 10?
5 any, did you have to the response to Topic 4 that	5	A Not to my knowledge.
6 is set forth on Exhibit 7?	6	Q Did anyone at Madison-Kipp have any input into
7 MR. SEESE: I object to that to the	7	the response to Topic 13?
8 extent it calls for attorney-client privileged	8	A Not to my knowledge.
9 communications. To the extent you can answer it	9	Q Now you say there's no indication that
10 without disclosing privileged communications, you	10	Continental or Columbia would have done anything
11 may answer.	11	other than deny Madison-Kipp's claim had
12 THE WITNESS: Again, I choose not to	12	Madison-Kipp given notice to Continental and
13 answer that question.	13	Columbia in 1994. Is that right?
14 BY MS. ROSS:	14	A That is correct.
15 Q Did you have any input into the answer on Topic	15	Q What is the basis for that statement?
16 4. That's a yes or a no.	16	A As the law at that time did not cover that based
17 A No.	17	on the letter that was written.
18 Q When you look at Topic 10, did you have any input	18	MR. SEESE: I will also object here to
19 into the answer on Topic 10?	19	the extent this is a topic that skirts the
20 A No.	20	attorney-client privilege, walks very closely to
21 Q When you look at the response to Topic 13, did	21	what's privileged and not, and so I instruct the
21 Q When you look at the response to ropic 15, and		winders privineget und not, und so I mou det une
22 you have any input into the response to Topic 13, and 22 you have any input into the response to Topic 13?	22	witness in his answers do not disclose
22 you have any input into the response to Topic 13?	22	witness in his answers do not disclose

#### 16 (Pages 58 to 61)

# Case: 3:11-cv-00724-bbc Document #: 237 Filed: 04/10/13 Page 17 of 53

Kathleen McHugh and Deanna Schneider vs. Madison-Kipp4/8/13

Deposition of Mark D. Daniel

1 2 3	Page 62		Page 63
2	you did not provide in response to the last	1	BY MS. ROSS:
	question?	2	Q At the time that Madison-Kipp gave notice to
-	MR. SEESE: Object to the form of that.	3	Continental and Columbia about the environmental
4	Subject to that, you can answer.	4	contamination at the Waubesa Street facility,
5	BY MS. ROSS:	5	were there other environmental claims pending at
6	Q What I'm trying to find out is is there anything	6	that time?
7	that you didn't tell me because of the	7	A I do not believe so. If we go back to Item 3, we
8	instruction?	8	had the Refuse Hideaway, Amber Oil site. The
9	A Not to my knowledge, no.	9	Amber Oil site was an active site in 2003.
10	Q Is it Madison-Kipp's position that if it had	10	Q Do you know whether Refuse Hideaway Landfill was
11	submitted a bill to Continental or Columbia after	11	an activity site in 2003?
12	August 1, 2003, that bill would not have been	12	A I'm not sure.
13	paid?	13	Q With respect to the Amber Oil site, that site is
$14^{13}$	MR. SEESE: Object to that. Calls for a	14	referenced in the June 6, 2003 letter that is
$14 \\ 15$	legal conclusion. Also it's beyond the scope of	15	behind Tab 3 in your binder, is that correct?
16		16	A Correct.
	any of the noticed topics. Subject to that, you	17	Q And Madison-Kipp provided notice of that claim on
17	can answer, if you are able.	18	June 6, 2003, is that right?
18	THE WITNESS: Not to my knowledge.	19	A That is correct.
19	BY MS. ROSS:	20	
20	Q Is it Madison-Kipp's position that if it had		Q And it provided notice under the same policies
21	submitted invoices for remediation costs to	21	that it provided notice of the Waubesa Street
22	Continental Casualty Company after August 1,	22	site a couple of months later, is that right?
23	2003, they would not have been paid?	23	A I have not checked each line item, but I would
24	MR. SEESE: Same objections.	24	assume that it is the same policies.
25	THE WITNESS: Not to my knowledge.	25	Q And in response to the Amber Oil site,
	Page 64		Page 65
1	Continental Casualty Company indicated that it	1	respect to providing notice of claims?
2	had not it did not have any copies of the	2	MR. SEESE: Object to that to the extent
3	policies, isn't that right?	3	it calls for attorney-client privileged
4	A I do not know. I do not know.	4	communications. Also, the term "legal notice" is
5	Q In June of 2003 Continental Casualty Company	5	a term of the phrase "legal notice" is a term
б	asked Madison-Kipp to provide copies of the	6	of art. It calls for a legal conclusion.
7	policies that it had, did it not?	7	Subject to that, you can answer.
8	A I'm not aware of that.	8	THE WITNESS: Mr. Meunier is our
9	Q Who would know?	9	representative for the environmental issues.
2	MR. SEESE: Object to form and beyond	10	BY MS. ROSS:
10		11	
	the scope.		Q And has Mr. Meunier been your representative for
10		12	Q And has Mr. Meunier been your representative for the environmental issues since you joined
10 11	the scope. THE WITNESS: Legal counsel would be the other ones, as they were our representatives, and		
10 11 12	THE WITNESS: Legal counsel would be the other ones, as they were our representatives, and	12	the environmental issues since you joined
10 11 12 13 14	THE WITNESS: Legal counsel would be the other ones, as they were our representatives, and they searched their files, so	12 13	<ul><li>the environmental issues since you joined Madison-Kipp?</li><li>A Yes.</li></ul>
10 11 12 13 14 15	THE WITNESS: Legal counsel would be the other ones, as they were our representatives, and they searched their files, so BY MS. ROSS:	12 13 14 15	<ul><li>the environmental issues since you joined Madison-Kipp?</li><li>A Yes.</li><li>Q Do you know what happened with respect to the</li></ul>
10 11 12 13 14 15 16	THE WITNESS: Legal counsel would be the other ones, as they were our representatives, and they searched their files, so BY MS. ROSS: Q Well, were you responsible for insurance in June	12 13 14	<ul><li>the environmental issues since you joined Madison-Kipp?</li><li>A Yes.</li><li>Q Do you know what happened with respect to the Amber Oil site?</li></ul>
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	THE WITNESS: Legal counsel would be the other ones, as they were our representatives, and they searched their files, so BY MS. ROSS: Q Well, were you responsible for insurance in June of 2003?	12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>the environmental issues since you joined Madison-Kipp?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Do you know what happened with respect to the Amber Oil site? MR. SEESE: Objection, beyond the scope.</li> </ul>
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>THE WITNESS: Legal counsel would be the other ones, as they were our representatives, and they searched their files, so</li> <li>BY MS. ROSS:</li> <li>Q Well, were you responsible for insurance in June of 2003?</li> <li>A On a go-forward basis, yes.</li> </ul>	12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>the environmental issues since you joined Madison-Kipp?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Do you know what happened with respect to the Amber Oil site?</li> <li>MR. SEESE: Objection, beyond the scope.</li> <li>Subject to that, you can answer.</li> </ul>
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>THE WITNESS: Legal counsel would be the other ones, as they were our representatives, and they searched their files, so</li> <li>BY MS. ROSS:</li> <li>Q Well, were you responsible for insurance in June of 2003?</li> <li>A On a go-forward basis, yes.</li> <li>Q So were you responsible for deciding that notice</li> </ul>	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>the environmental issues since you joined Madison-Kipp?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Do you know what happened with respect to the Amber Oil site?</li> <li>MR. SEESE: Objection, beyond the scope.</li> <li>Subject to that, you can answer.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: The site was cleaned up</li> </ul>
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>THE WITNESS: Legal counsel would be the other ones, as they were our representatives, and they searched their files, so</li> <li>BY MS. ROSS:</li> <li>Q Well, were you responsible for insurance in June of 2003?</li> <li>A On a go-forward basis, yes.</li> <li>Q So were you responsible for deciding that notice should be given of the Amber Oil site?</li> </ul>	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>the environmental issues since you joined Madison-Kipp?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Do you know what happened with respect to the Amber Oil site?</li> <li>MR. SEESE: Objection, beyond the scope.</li> <li>Subject to that, you can answer.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: The site was cleaned up and there was a DNR release on that site.</li> </ul>
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>THE WITNESS: Legal counsel would be the other ones, as they were our representatives, and they searched their files, so</li> <li>BY MS. ROSS:</li> <li>Q Well, were you responsible for insurance in June of 2003?</li> <li>A On a go-forward basis, yes.</li> <li>Q So were you responsible for deciding that notice should be given of the Amber Oil site?</li> <li>A No, I was not.</li> </ul>	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>the environmental issues since you joined Madison-Kipp?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Do you know what happened with respect to the Amber Oil site?</li> <li>MR. SEESE: Objection, beyond the scope.</li> <li>Subject to that, you can answer.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: The site was cleaned up and there was a DNR release on that site.</li> <li>BY MS. ROSS:</li> </ul>
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>THE WITNESS: Legal counsel would be the other ones, as they were our representatives, and they searched their files, so</li> <li>BY MS. ROSS:</li> <li>Q Well, were you responsible for insurance in June of 2003?</li> <li>A On a go-forward basis, yes.</li> <li>Q So were you responsible for deciding that notice should be given of the Amber Oil site?</li> <li>A No, I was not.</li> <li>Q Who was responsible for deciding that at</li> </ul>	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>the environmental issues since you joined Madison-Kipp?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Do you know what happened with respect to the Amber Oil site?</li> <li>MR. SEESE: Objection, beyond the scope.</li> <li>Subject to that, you can answer.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: The site was cleaned up and there was a DNR release on that site.</li> <li>BY MS. ROSS:</li> <li>Q And in August of 2003 did Madison-Kipp propose</li> </ul>
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>THE WITNESS: Legal counsel would be the other ones, as they were our representatives, and they searched their files, so</li> <li>BY MS. ROSS:</li> <li>Q Well, were you responsible for insurance in June of 2003?</li> <li>A On a go-forward basis, yes.</li> <li>Q So were you responsible for deciding that notice should be given of the Amber Oil site?</li> <li>A No, I was not.</li> <li>Q Who was responsible for deciding that at Madison-Kipp?</li> </ul>	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>the environmental issues since you joined Madison-Kipp?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Do you know what happened with respect to the Amber Oil site?</li> <li>MR. SEESE: Objection, beyond the scope.</li> <li>Subject to that, you can answer.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: The site was cleaned up and there was a DNR release on that site.</li> <li>BY MS. ROSS:</li> <li>Q And in August of 2003 did Madison-Kipp propose entering into an Administrative Order of Consent</li> </ul>
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>THE WITNESS: Legal counsel would be the other ones, as they were our representatives, and they searched their files, so</li> <li>BY MS. ROSS:</li> <li>Q Well, were you responsible for insurance in June of 2003?</li> <li>A On a go-forward basis, yes.</li> <li>Q So were you responsible for deciding that notice should be given of the Amber Oil site?</li> <li>A No, I was not.</li> <li>Q Who was responsible for deciding that at</li> </ul>	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>the environmental issues since you joined Madison-Kipp?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Do you know what happened with respect to the Amber Oil site?</li> <li>MR. SEESE: Objection, beyond the scope.</li> <li>Subject to that, you can answer.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: The site was cleaned up and there was a DNR release on that site.</li> <li>BY MS. ROSS:</li> <li>Q And in August of 2003 did Madison-Kipp propose</li> </ul>

17 (Pages 62 to 65)

### Case: 3:11-cv-00724-bbc Document #: 237 Filed: 04/10/13 Page 18 of 53

Kathleen McHugh and Deanna Schneider vs. Madison-Kipp4/8/13

Deposition of Mark D. Daniel

	Page 66		Page 67
1	MR. SEESE: Objection, beyond the scope.	1	BY MS. ROSS:
2	Subject to that, you can answer.	2	Q And with respect to the November 25, 2003 letter,
3	THE WITNESS: I don't know the breadth	3	Madison-Kipp decided that it requests that its
4	and width of any agreements in reference to the	4	claim be closed, is that correct?
5	Amber Oil site.	5	A That's correct.
6	BY MS. ROSS:	б	Q And the claim that it asked to be closed was the
7	Q Do you know that Madison Do you know whether	7	Amber Oil site claim, is that right?
8	Madison-Kipp told Continental Casualty Company in	8	A That's correct.
9	November of 2003 that it was not seeking coverage	9	Q During this period of time between August 1, 2003
10	for the Amber Oil site under the Continental	10	and November 25, 2003, did Madison-Kipp ever once
11	policies?	11	say to Continental Casualty Company, "Hey, how
12	A I'm not aware of	12	about that Waubesa Street site, you going to pay
13	MR. SEESE: What topic is that on?	13	anything on that?" Did Madison-Kipp ever say
14	MS. ROSS: There are a variety of topics	14	anything during that time period?
15	that goes to, including other claims.	15	MR. SEESE: Object to the form of that,
16	MR. SEESE: Is it Continental?	16	argumentative. Subject to that, you can answer.
17	MS. ROSS: Continental.	17	THE WITNESS: Not to my knowledge.
18	THE WITNESS: In Section 7 and 8 there	18	BY MS. ROSS:
19	was correspondence with Columbia and Continental	19	Q Did they ever say anything like that to Columbia
20	June 17, 2013, August 4, 2003 from Mr. Crass to	20	Casualty Company?
21	Mr. Williams, September 8 from Mr. Crass to	21	MR. SEESE: Same objection.
22	Mr. Williams, and then Mr. Williams a letter	22	THE WITNESS: Not to my knowledge.
23	from Cynthia Smith to Mr. Williams November 25,	23	BY MS. ROSS:
24	2003 in reference to the site.	24	Q At the same time in the 2003, 2004 time frame,
25		25	there was a claim at the Jepscor site, isn't that
	Page 68	_	Page 69
1	right?	1	the instruction not to answer?
2	right? A That is correct.	2	the instruction not to answer? MR. SEESE: Well, I object to the form
2 3	right? A That is correct. Q And in August of 2004 Madison-Kipp told	2 3	the instruction not to answer? MR. SEESE: Well, I object to the form of that, and I repeat the objection about not
2 3 4	right? A That is correct. Q And in August of 2004 Madison-Kipp told Continental not to take any further action with	2 3 4	the instruction not to answer? MR. SEESE: Well, I object to the form of that, and I repeat the objection about not disclosing not answering the question to the
2 3 4 5	right? A That is correct. Q And in August of 2004 Madison-Kipp told Continental not to take any further action with respect to the Jepscor site, right?	2 3 4 5	the instruction not to answer? MR. SEESE: Well, I object to the form of that, and I repeat the objection about not disclosing not answering the question to the extent it requires disclosure of attorney-client
2 3 4 5 6	right? A That is correct. Q And in August of 2004 Madison-Kipp told Continental not to take any further action with respect to the Jepscor site, right? A That is correct.	2 3 4 5 6	the instruction not to answer? MR. SEESE: Well, I object to the form of that, and I repeat the objection about not disclosing not answering the question to the extent it requires disclosure of attorney-client privileged information.
2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>right?</li> <li>A That is correct.</li> <li>Q And in August of 2004 Madison-Kipp told Continental not to take any further action with respect to the Jepscor site, right?</li> <li>A That is correct.</li> <li>Q And in November of 2004 Madison-Kipp told</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7	the instruction not to answer? MR. SEESE: Well, I object to the form of that, and I repeat the objection about not disclosing not answering the question to the extent it requires disclosure of attorney-client privileged information. MS. ROSS: It's a yes or a no.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>right?</li> <li>A That is correct.</li> <li>Q And in August of 2004 Madison-Kipp told Continental not to take any further action with respect to the Jepscor site, right?</li> <li>A That is correct.</li> <li>Q And in November of 2004 Madison-Kipp told Continental that the EPA was not pursuing a claim</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	the instruction not to answer? MR. SEESE: Well, I object to the form of that, and I repeat the objection about not disclosing not answering the question to the extent it requires disclosure of attorney-client privileged information. MS. ROSS: It's a yes or a no. THE WITNESS: No.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>right?</li> <li>A That is correct.</li> <li>Q And in August of 2004 Madison-Kipp told Continental not to take any further action with respect to the Jepscor site, right?</li> <li>A That is correct.</li> <li>Q And in November of 2004 Madison-Kipp told Continental that the EPA was not pursuing a claim with respect to Jepscor, is that right?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	the instruction not to answer? MR. SEESE: Well, I object to the form of that, and I repeat the objection about not disclosing not answering the question to the extent it requires disclosure of attorney-client privileged information. MS. ROSS: It's a yes or a no. THE WITNESS: No. MS. ROSS: Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>right?</li> <li>A That is correct.</li> <li>Q And in August of 2004 Madison-Kipp told Continental not to take any further action with respect to the Jepscor site, right?</li> <li>A That is correct.</li> <li>Q And in November of 2004 Madison-Kipp told Continental that the EPA was not pursuing a claim with respect to Jepscor, is that right?</li> <li>A That is correct.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	the instruction not to answer? MR. SEESE: Well, I object to the form of that, and I repeat the objection about not disclosing not answering the question to the extent it requires disclosure of attorney-client privileged information. MS. ROSS: It's a yes or a no. THE WITNESS: No. MS. ROSS: Thank you. BY MS. ROSS:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>right?</li> <li>A That is correct.</li> <li>Q And in August of 2004 Madison-Kipp told Continental not to take any further action with respect to the Jepscor site, right?</li> <li>A That is correct.</li> <li>Q And in November of 2004 Madison-Kipp told Continental that the EPA was not pursuing a claim with respect to Jepscor, is that right?</li> <li>A That is correct.</li> <li>Q Now in the conversations between May of 2004 and</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	the instruction not to answer? MR. SEESE: Well, I object to the form of that, and I repeat the objection about not disclosing not answering the question to the extent it requires disclosure of attorney-client privileged information. MS. ROSS: It's a yes or a no. THE WITNESS: No. MS. ROSS: Thank you. BY MS. ROSS: Q Other than Continental and Columbia, who else did
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>right?</li> <li>A That is correct.</li> <li>Q And in August of 2004 Madison-Kipp told Continental not to take any further action with respect to the Jepscor site, right?</li> <li>A That is correct.</li> <li>Q And in November of 2004 Madison-Kipp told Continental that the EPA was not pursuing a claim with respect to Jepscor, is that right?</li> <li>A That is correct.</li> <li>Q Now in the conversations between May of 2004 and November of 2004, did Madison-Kipp ever raise any</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>the instruction not to answer?</li> <li>MR. SEESE: Well, I object to the form of that, and I repeat the objection about not disclosing not answering the question to the extent it requires disclosure of attorney-client privileged information.</li> <li>MS. ROSS: It's a yes or a no. THE WITNESS: No. MS. ROSS: Thank you.</li> <li>BY MS. ROSS:</li> <li>Q Other than Continental and Columbia, who else did Madison-Kipp provide notice to of the Waubesa</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>right?</li> <li>A That is correct.</li> <li>Q And in August of 2004 Madison-Kipp told Continental not to take any further action with respect to the Jepscor site, right?</li> <li>A That is correct.</li> <li>Q And in November of 2004 Madison-Kipp told Continental that the EPA was not pursuing a claim with respect to Jepscor, is that right?</li> <li>A That is correct.</li> <li>Q Now in the conversations between May of 2004 and November of 2004, did Madison-Kipp ever raise any question about Continental Casualty Company's</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>the instruction not to answer?</li> <li>MR. SEESE: Well, I object to the form of that, and I repeat the objection about not disclosing not answering the question to the extent it requires disclosure of attorney-client privileged information.</li> <li>MS. ROSS: It's a yes or a no. THE WITNESS: No. MS. ROSS: Thank you.</li> <li>BY MS. ROSS:</li> <li>Q Other than Continental and Columbia, who else did Madison-Kipp provide notice to of the Waubesa Street contamination?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>right?</li> <li>A That is correct.</li> <li>Q And in August of 2004 Madison-Kipp told Continental not to take any further action with respect to the Jepscor site, right?</li> <li>A That is correct.</li> <li>Q And in November of 2004 Madison-Kipp told Continental that the EPA was not pursuing a claim with respect to Jepscor, is that right?</li> <li>A That is correct.</li> <li>Q Now in the conversations between May of 2004 and November of 2004, did Madison-Kipp ever raise any question about Continental Casualty Company's defense or indemnity with respect to the Waubesa</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>the instruction not to answer?</li> <li>MR. SEESE: Well, I object to the form of that, and I repeat the objection about not disclosing not answering the question to the extent it requires disclosure of attorney-client privileged information.</li> <li>MS. ROSS: It's a yes or a no.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: No.</li> <li>MS. ROSS: Thank you.</li> <li>BY MS. ROSS:</li> <li>Q Other than Continental and Columbia, who else did Madison-Kipp provide notice to of the Waubesa Street contamination?</li> <li>A United States Fire Insurance Company and Kemper</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>right?</li> <li>A That is correct.</li> <li>Q And in August of 2004 Madison-Kipp told Continental not to take any further action with respect to the Jepscor site, right?</li> <li>A That is correct.</li> <li>Q And in November of 2004 Madison-Kipp told Continental that the EPA was not pursuing a claim with respect to Jepscor, is that right?</li> <li>A That is correct.</li> <li>Q Now in the conversations between May of 2004 and November of 2004, did Madison-Kipp ever raise any question about Continental Casualty Company's defense or indemnity with respect to the Waubesa site?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>the instruction not to answer?</li> <li>MR. SEESE: Well, I object to the form of that, and I repeat the objection about not disclosing not answering the question to the extent it requires disclosure of attorney-client privileged information.</li> <li>MS. ROSS: It's a yes or a no.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: No.</li> <li>MS. ROSS: Thank you.</li> <li>BY MS. ROSS:</li> <li>Q Other than Continental and Columbia, who else did Madison-Kipp provide notice to of the Waubesa Street contamination?</li> <li>A United States Fire Insurance Company and Kemper Insurance Companies.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>right?</li> <li>A That is correct.</li> <li>Q And in August of 2004 Madison-Kipp told Continental not to take any further action with respect to the Jepscor site, right?</li> <li>A That is correct.</li> <li>Q And in November of 2004 Madison-Kipp told Continental that the EPA was not pursuing a claim with respect to Jepscor, is that right?</li> <li>A That is correct.</li> <li>Q Now in the conversations between May of 2004 and November of 2004, did Madison-Kipp ever raise any question about Continental Casualty Company's defense or indemnity with respect to the Waubesa site?</li> <li>A Not to my knowledge.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>the instruction not to answer?</li> <li>MR. SEESE: Well, I object to the form of that, and I repeat the objection about not disclosing not answering the question to the extent it requires disclosure of attorney-client privileged information.</li> <li>MS. ROSS: It's a yes or a no. THE WITNESS: No. MS. ROSS: Thank you.</li> <li>BY MS. ROSS:</li> <li>Q Other than Continental and Columbia, who else did Madison-Kipp provide notice to of the Waubesa Street contamination?</li> <li>A United States Fire Insurance Company and Kemper Insurance Companies.</li> <li>Q Can you look at your Tab 22. Do you see about 20</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>right?</li> <li>A That is correct.</li> <li>Q And in August of 2004 Madison-Kipp told Continental not to take any further action with respect to the Jepscor site, right?</li> <li>A That is correct.</li> <li>Q And in November of 2004 Madison-Kipp told Continental that the EPA was not pursuing a claim with respect to Jepscor, is that right?</li> <li>A That is correct.</li> <li>Q Now in the conversations between May of 2004 and November of 2004, did Madison-Kipp ever raise any question about Continental Casualty Company's defense or indemnity with respect to the Waubesa site?</li> <li>A Not to my knowledge.</li> <li>Q Why not?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>the instruction not to answer? MR. SEESE: Well, I object to the form of that, and I repeat the objection about not disclosing not answering the question to the extent it requires disclosure of attorney-client privileged information. MS. ROSS: It's a yes or a no. THE WITNESS: No. MS. ROSS: Thank you.</li> <li>BY MS. ROSS:</li> <li>Q Other than Continental and Columbia, who else did Madison-Kipp provide notice to of the Waubesa Street contamination?</li> <li>A United States Fire Insurance Company and Kemper Insurance Companies.</li> <li>Q Can you look at your Tab 22. Do you see about 20 pages in there's the August 1, 2003 letter from</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>right?</li> <li>A That is correct.</li> <li>Q And in August of 2004 Madison-Kipp told Continental not to take any further action with respect to the Jepscor site, right?</li> <li>A That is correct.</li> <li>Q And in November of 2004 Madison-Kipp told Continental that the EPA was not pursuing a claim with respect to Jepscor, is that right?</li> <li>A That is correct.</li> <li>Q Now in the conversations between May of 2004 and November of 2004, did Madison-Kipp ever raise any question about Continental Casualty Company's defense or indemnity with respect to the Waubesa site?</li> <li>A Not to my knowledge.</li> <li>Q Why not? MR. SEESE: Object to that to the extent</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>the instruction not to answer? MR. SEESE: Well, I object to the form of that, and I repeat the objection about not disclosing not answering the question to the extent it requires disclosure of attorney-client privileged information. MS. ROSS: It's a yes or a no. THE WITNESS: No. MS. ROSS: Thank you.</li> <li>BY MS. ROSS:</li> <li>Q Other than Continental and Columbia, who else did Madison-Kipp provide notice to of the Waubesa Street contamination?</li> <li>A United States Fire Insurance Company and Kemper Insurance Companies.</li> <li>Q Can you look at your Tab 22. Do you see about 20 pages in there's the August 1, 2003 letter from Michael Best to Kemper relating to the Waubesa</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>right?</li> <li>A That is correct.</li> <li>Q And in August of 2004 Madison-Kipp told Continental not to take any further action with respect to the Jepscor site, right?</li> <li>A That is correct.</li> <li>Q And in November of 2004 Madison-Kipp told Continental that the EPA was not pursuing a claim with respect to Jepscor, is that right?</li> <li>A That is correct.</li> <li>Q Now in the conversations between May of 2004 and November of 2004, did Madison-Kipp ever raise any question about Continental Casualty Company's defense or indemnity with respect to the Waubesa site?</li> <li>A Not to my knowledge.</li> <li>Q Why not? MR. SEESE: Object to that to the extent it calls for a legal conclusion and instruct the</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>the instruction not to answer? MR. SEESE: Well, I object to the form of that, and I repeat the objection about not disclosing not answering the question to the extent it requires disclosure of attorney-client privileged information. MS. ROSS: It's a yes or a no. THE WITNESS: No. MS. ROSS: Thank you.</li> <li>BY MS. ROSS:</li> <li>Q Other than Continental and Columbia, who else did Madison-Kipp provide notice to of the Waubesa Street contamination?</li> <li>A United States Fire Insurance Company and Kemper Insurance Companies.</li> <li>Q Can you look at your Tab 22. Do you see about 20 pages in there's the August 1, 2003 letter from Michael Best to Kemper relating to the Waubesa Street Facility?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>right?</li> <li>A That is correct.</li> <li>Q And in August of 2004 Madison-Kipp told Continental not to take any further action with respect to the Jepscor site, right?</li> <li>A That is correct.</li> <li>Q And in November of 2004 Madison-Kipp told Continental that the EPA was not pursuing a claim with respect to Jepscor, is that right?</li> <li>A That is correct.</li> <li>Q Now in the conversations between May of 2004 and November of 2004, did Madison-Kipp ever raise any question about Continental Casualty Company's defense or indemnity with respect to the Waubesa site?</li> <li>A Not to my knowledge.</li> <li>Q Why not? MR. SEESE: Object to that to the extent it calls for a legal conclusion and instruct the witness not to answer to the extent it requires</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>the instruction not to answer? MR. SEESE: Well, I object to the form of that, and I repeat the objection about not disclosing not answering the question to the extent it requires disclosure of attorney-client privileged information. MS. ROSS: It's a yes or a no. THE WITNESS: No. MS. ROSS: Thank you.</li> <li>BY MS. ROSS:</li> <li>Q Other than Continental and Columbia, who else did Madison-Kipp provide notice to of the Waubesa Street contamination?</li> <li>A United States Fire Insurance Company and Kemper Insurance Companies.</li> <li>Q Can you look at your Tab 22. Do you see about 20 pages in there's the August 1, 2003 letter from Michael Best to Kemper relating to the Waubesa Street Facility?</li> <li>A What was the date of that, please?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>right?</li> <li>A That is correct.</li> <li>Q And in August of 2004 Madison-Kipp told Continental not to take any further action with respect to the Jepscor site, right?</li> <li>A That is correct.</li> <li>Q And in November of 2004 Madison-Kipp told Continental that the EPA was not pursuing a claim with respect to Jepscor, is that right?</li> <li>A That is correct.</li> <li>Q Now in the conversations between May of 2004 and November of 2004, did Madison-Kipp ever raise any question about Continental Casualty Company's defense or indemnity with respect to the Waubesa site?</li> <li>A Not to my knowledge.</li> <li>Q Why not? MR. SEESE: Object to that to the extent it calls for a legal conclusion and instruct the witness not to answer to the extent it requires disclosure of attorney-client privileged</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>the instruction not to answer? MR. SEESE: Well, I object to the form of that, and I repeat the objection about not disclosing not answering the question to the extent it requires disclosure of attorney-client privileged information. MS. ROSS: It's a yes or a no. THE WITNESS: No. MS. ROSS: Thank you.</li> <li>BY MS. ROSS:</li> <li>Q Other than Continental and Columbia, who else did Madison-Kipp provide notice to of the Waubesa Street contamination?</li> <li>A United States Fire Insurance Company and Kemper Insurance Companies.</li> <li>Q Can you look at your Tab 22. Do you see about 20 pages in there's the August 1, 2003 letter from Michael Best to Kemper relating to the Waubesa Street Facility?</li> <li>A What was the date of that, please?</li> <li>Q August 1, 2003.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>right?</li> <li>A That is correct.</li> <li>Q And in August of 2004 Madison-Kipp told Continental not to take any further action with respect to the Jepscor site, right?</li> <li>A That is correct.</li> <li>Q And in November of 2004 Madison-Kipp told Continental that the EPA was not pursuing a claim with respect to Jepscor, is that right?</li> <li>A That is correct.</li> <li>Q Now in the conversations between May of 2004 and November of 2004, did Madison-Kipp ever raise any question about Continental Casualty Company's defense or indemnity with respect to the Waubesa site?</li> <li>A Not to my knowledge.</li> <li>Q Why not? MR. SEESE: Object to that to the extent it calls for a legal conclusion and instruct the witness not to answer to the extent it requires disclosure of attorney-client privileged communication.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>the instruction not to answer? MR. SEESE: Well, I object to the form of that, and I repeat the objection about not disclosing not answering the question to the extent it requires disclosure of attorney-client privileged information. MS. ROSS: It's a yes or a no. THE WITNESS: No. MS. ROSS: Thank you.</li> <li>BY MS. ROSS:</li> <li>Q Other than Continental and Columbia, who else did Madison-Kipp provide notice to of the Waubesa Street contamination?</li> <li>A United States Fire Insurance Company and Kemper Insurance Companies.</li> <li>Q Can you look at your Tab 22. Do you see about 20 pages in there's the August 1, 2003 letter from Michael Best to Kemper relating to the Waubesa Street Facility?</li> <li>A What was the date of that, please?</li> <li>Q August 1, 2003.</li> <li>A Yes.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>right?</li> <li>A That is correct.</li> <li>Q And in August of 2004 Madison-Kipp told Continental not to take any further action with respect to the Jepscor site, right?</li> <li>A That is correct.</li> <li>Q And in November of 2004 Madison-Kipp told Continental that the EPA was not pursuing a claim with respect to Jepscor, is that right?</li> <li>A That is correct.</li> <li>Q Now in the conversations between May of 2004 and November of 2004, did Madison-Kipp ever raise any question about Continental Casualty Company's defense or indemnity with respect to the Waubesa site?</li> <li>A Not to my knowledge.</li> <li>Q Why not? MR. SEESE: Object to that to the extent it calls for a legal conclusion and instruct the witness not to answer to the extent it requires disclosure of attorney-client privileged communication. THE WITNESS: I have no knowledge.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>the instruction not to answer? MR. SEESE: Well, I object to the form of that, and I repeat the objection about not disclosing not answering the question to the extent it requires disclosure of attorney-client privileged information. MS. ROSS: It's a yes or a no. THE WITNESS: No. MS. ROSS: Thank you.</li> <li>BY MS. ROSS:</li> <li>Q Other than Continental and Columbia, who else did Madison-Kipp provide notice to of the Waubesa Street contamination?</li> <li>A United States Fire Insurance Company and Kemper Insurance Companies.</li> <li>Q Can you look at your Tab 22. Do you see about 20 pages in there's the August 1, 2003 letter from Michael Best to Kemper relating to the Waubesa Street Facility?</li> <li>A What was the date of that, please?</li> <li>Q August 1, 2003.</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q In this letter, this August 1, 2003 letter to</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>right?</li> <li>A That is correct.</li> <li>Q And in August of 2004 Madison-Kipp told Continental not to take any further action with respect to the Jepscor site, right?</li> <li>A That is correct.</li> <li>Q And in November of 2004 Madison-Kipp told Continental that the EPA was not pursuing a claim with respect to Jepscor, is that right?</li> <li>A That is correct.</li> <li>Q Now in the conversations between May of 2004 and November of 2004, did Madison-Kipp ever raise any question about Continental Casualty Company's defense or indemnity with respect to the Waubesa site?</li> <li>A Not to my knowledge.</li> <li>Q Why not? MR. SEESE: Object to that to the extent it calls for a legal conclusion and instruct the witness not to answer to the extent it requires disclosure of attorney-client privileged communication.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>the instruction not to answer? MR. SEESE: Well, I object to the form of that, and I repeat the objection about not disclosing not answering the question to the extent it requires disclosure of attorney-client privileged information. MS. ROSS: It's a yes or a no. THE WITNESS: No. MS. ROSS: Thank you.</li> <li>BY MS. ROSS:</li> <li>Q Other than Continental and Columbia, who else did Madison-Kipp provide notice to of the Waubesa Street contamination?</li> <li>A United States Fire Insurance Company and Kemper Insurance Companies.</li> <li>Q Can you look at your Tab 22. Do you see about 20 pages in there's the August 1, 2003 letter from Michael Best to Kemper relating to the Waubesa Street Facility?</li> <li>A What was the date of that, please?</li> <li>Q August 1, 2003.</li> <li>A Yes.</li> </ul>

18 (Pages 66 to 69)

# Case: 3:11-cv-00724-bbc Document #: 237 Filed: 04/10/13 Page 19 of 53

Kathleen McHugh and Deanna Schneider vs. Madison-Kipp4/8/13

Deposition of Mark D. Daniel

	Page 70		Page 71
-	_	1	
1	A Yes. $(1 + 1)$	1	foundation of that. Also beyond the scope of the
2	Q And that's F1M008598, is that right?	2	deposition notice and beyond the scope on which
3	A That's correct.	3	he's been designated. Subject to that, you can
4	Q Do you know what kind of a policy that is,	4	answer.
5	whether it's a primary umbrella or an excess	5	THE WITNESS: The breadth of my
6	policy?	6	knowledge is outlined here in these documents
7	MR. SEESE: Objection, beyond the scope.	7	with the letter dated August 1, 2003.
8	Subject to that, you can answer.	8	BY MS. ROSS:
9	THE WITNESS: I don't know.	9	Q Can you look at your Tab 20 and 21.
10	BY MS. ROSS:	10	A Yes.
11	Q Do you know whether it was a general liability	11	Q What does the information in Tab 20 and 21 What were sure attracting to contrar habit at Tab 20
12	policy?	12 13	What were you attempting to capture behind Tab 20
13	MR. SEESE: Same objection. THE WITNESS: I don't know.	13	and 21?
14			A All communications between Madison-Kipp and
15 16	BY MS. ROSS:	15 16	American Motorists Insurance Company concerning the environmental contamination at the
16 17	Q Now Madison-Kipp knew that Kemper had issued	10 17	
17 10	other policies in August of 2003, did they not?	17 18	Madison-Kipp facility and the Lumbermens Mutual Casualty Company concerning the environmental
18 19	MR. SEESE: Object to the form and foundation of that. Also beyond the scope.	18 19	contamination at the Madison-Kipp facility.
20	Subject to that, you can answer.	20	Q Anything else?
20	THE WITNESS: Not to my knowledge.	20	A That's it.
22	BY MS. ROSS:	22	Q The first letter that you have there is on
23		23	March 27, 1992, a letter from Kemper National
23	Q What policies do you understand that Kemper issued to Madison-Kipp?	23 24	Insurance Company to Mr. Riesen at Madison-Kipp
24	MR. SEESE: Object to the form and	25	Corporation, is that correct?
2.5	-	2.5	-
	Page 72		Page 73
1	A Yes, that's correct.	1	MR. SEESE: Object to form and
2	Q And in that letter Kemper indicates to you that	2	foundation. Also beyond the scope. Subject to
3	it after an exhaustive search, they have	3	that, you can answer.
4	failed to locate any policies, is that right?	4	THE WITNESS: Not to my knowledge.
5	A Yes.	5	BY MS. ROSS:
6	Q And this relates to multiple sites in the Madison	б	Q Not to my knowledge what?
7	area, is that right, claims relating to multiple	7	A I haven't contacted him or talked to him.
8	sites in the Madison area?	8	Q So do you know whether any of the statements made
9	A That's what the definition of this site in this	9	in the statement of Mr. Maloney are correct or
10	letter indicates, yes.	10	incorrect?
11	Q Do you know where those multiple sites are?	11	MR. SEESE: Object to form and
12	A I have no knowledge of that.	12	foundation. Also beyond the scope. Subject to
13	Q Okay. Then immediately behind that is a	13	that, you can answer.
14	statement of Jack J. Maloney. Do you see that?	14	THE WITNESS: I can't answer that.
15	A Yes.	15	BY MS. ROSS:
16	Q And who was Mr. Maloney?	16	Q With respect to Paragraph 6 of Mr. Maloney's
17	A By reading the second paragraph here, he was	17	statement, he indicates that beginning in 1960
18	employed as an agent in the Kemper Insurance	18	Madison-Kipp had a comprehensive general
19	Agency in Milwaukee, it's successor, from 1960	19	liability policy with American
20	through 1990.	20	Motorists/Lumbermens Mutual in at least the
21	Q And have you ever spoken to Mr. Maloney?	21	following amounts: 100,000 per occurrence,
22	A I have not, no.	22	300,000 annual aggregate and 100,000 property
23	Q Do you have any knowledge concerning whether the	23	damage. Do you see that?
24 25	statements made by Mr. Maloney are correct or incorrect?	24 25	A Yes. O Do you know whether Is that Madison Kinn's
25	incorrect?	25	Q Do you know whether Is that Madison-Kipp's

19 (Pages 70 to 73)

# Case: 3:11-cv-00724-bbc Document #: 237 Filed: 04/10/13 Page 20 of 53

Kathleen McHugh and Deanna Schneider vs. Madison-Kipp4/8/13

Deposition of Mark D. Daniel

	Page 74		Page 75
1	position, that Madison-Kipp had a	1	Subject to that, you can answer.
2	comprehensive had comprehensive general	2	THE WITNESS: Not to my knowledge.
3	liability policies with Kemper beginning in 1960?	3	BY MS. ROSS:
4	MR. SEESE: Object to that as beyond the	4	Q The next letter is a May 5, 1992 letter from Mr.
5	scope. It also calls for a legal conclusion.	5	Riesen to Mr. Plank, the environmental claim
6	Subject to that, you can answer.	6	administrator, asking, among other things, that
7	MR. WEISS: Object to form and	7	Kemper attempt to find policies for Madison-Kipp,
8	foundation.	8	is that correct?
9	THE WITNESS: I have no knowledge of	9	A That's correct.
10	C	9 10	
	that. BY MS. ROSS:	11	
11			MR. WEISS: Object to foundation, form.
12	Q The next letter in this is an April 13, 1992	12	THE WITNESS: I have no knowledge.
13	letter from John Conway, general counsel of	13	BY MS. ROSS:
14	Kemper National Insurance Companies, to Reid	14	Q Can you go to the January 1993 letter. Do you
15	Coleman. Do you see that?	15	see that it attaches a non-waiver agreement
16	A Yes.	16	relating to the Refuse Hideaway Landfill site?
17	Q This letter describes an agreement between Kemper	17	A Yes.
18	and Mr. Coleman concerning the manner in which	18	Q Do you know whether that non-waiver agreement was
19	claims will be handled. Do you see that?	19	ever signed?
20	A Yes.	20	A I have no knowledge.
21	Q Do you know whether there are any other	21	Q Can you look at the document that's immediately
22	agreements between Madison-Kipp and Kemper	22	behind that, January 12, 1993. There's a
23	concerning the manner in which claims will be	23	non-waiver agreement that is signed by
24	handled?	24	Lumbermens. Do you see that?
25	MR. SEESE: Objection, beyond the scope.	25	A Yes.
	Page 76		Page 77
1	Q And then immediately following that there's some	1	owned by Lumbermens, then yes.
2	red lining, marking, on a document similar to	2	Q You see an agreement between Madison-Kipp,
3	that?	3	Lumbermens Mutual Casualty Company and American
4	A Um-hum, yes.	4	Motorists Insurance Company?
5	Q And then immediately behind that is a March 1,	5	A Yes.
6	1993 letter from Kemper saying, "We haven't	6	Q And under that agreement Madison-Kipp and
7	received a signed copy of the non-waiver	7	Lumbermens and Amico agreed to cooperate in
8	agreement." Do you see that?	8	information and exchange information relating to
9	A Yes.	9	the Refuse Hideaway Landfill site, is that right?
10	Q I'm sorry. Did you answer the last question?	10	A Yes.
11	A Yes. I'm sorry.	11	Q Was any similar kind of agreement ever reached
12	Q I apologize. Then there's an April 5, 1993	12	with Kemper with respect to the Waubesa site?
13	letter from Kemper to Mr. Hanson at Michael Best	13	A In a letter dated August 1, 2003, Kemper Company
14	inquiring as to whether Madison-Kipp was going to	14	with Waubesa Street from Mr. Crass to Kemper,
15	execute the non-waiver agreement. Do you see	15	followed on with further correspondence July of
16	that?	16	2011 referring back to the August 1, 2003.
17	A Yes.	17	MR. SEESE: Do you understand the
		18	question she's asked you?
18 19	Q And then there is a letter or a message dated	18	
19	April 12, 1993 enclosing the signed original		THE WITNESS: Yes, I think so.
20	non-waiver agreement. Do you see that?	20	MR. SEESE: Okay.
21	A Yes.	21	THE WITNESS: I do not believe so.
22	Q So Madison-Kipp ultimately entered into a	22	BY MS. ROSS:
23	non-waiver agreement with Kemper concerning the	23	Q Can you look back at the November 5, 1993 letter
24	Refuse Hideaway Landfill site. Do you see that?	24	from Michael Best to Kemper?
25	A I see a Lumbermens agreement, and if Kemper is	25	A What was the date? I'm sorry.

### 20 (Pages 74 to 77)

# Case: 3:11-cv-00724-bbc Document #: 237 Filed: 04/10/13 Page 21 of 53

Kathleen McHugh and Deanna Schneider vs. Madison-Kipp4/8/13

Deposition of Mark D. Daniel

	I MC	Hugh and Deanna Schneider vs. Madison-Kipp4/8		Deposition of Mark D. Danie.
		Page 78		Page 79
1	O	November 5, 1993.	1	MR. WEISS: Object to form and
2		I'm having difficulty finding my And we're	2	foundation.
3		referencing the Madison facility, is that	3	THE WITNESS: December 9, 1993 response
4		correct?	4	from Maria McGrath to Mr. Sweeney.
5	Q	No, it references the Refuse Hideaway claim.	5	BY MS. ROSS:
6	-	Thank you.	6	Q And that letter requests a meeting, is that
7	Q	On Page 2 of that letter in the first full	7	right?
8		paragraph it says, "Madison-Kipp requests that	8	A That is correct. Subsequent letters of
9		Kemper immediately accept its duty to defend and	9	December 9 and January 10, 1994. Meeting minutes
10		indemnify Madison-Kipp for the costs associated	10	from a January 20th meeting, and then
11		with the Refuse Hideaway. As you are probably	11	Mr. Sweeney's follow-up letter January 24, 1994
12		aware, Wisconsin law supports the insured's right	12	to Lawrence Zelle, and a response from Mr. Zelle
13		to defense and indemnity for environmental	13	on January 28, 1994.
14		claims. Until Kemper accepts these duties,	14	Q Well, let's stop there for a minute. In the
15		Madison-Kipp reserves the right to act in its	15	January 10, 1994 letter, Lisa Keyes from Michael
16		best business judgment in conducting	16	Best indicates that she and Mr. Sweeney were
17		investigative and cleanup activities, including	17	available for a meeting on January 20th to
18		negotiations with other PRPs and the EPA." Do	18	discuss insurance coverage issues. Do you see
19		you see that?	19	that?
20		Yes.	20	A Yes.
21	Q	Did Kemper accept its defense and indemnity	21	Q And then about two pages back there's an agenda
22		obligations as demanded by Madison-Kipp in the	22	for a January 20th meeting. Do you see that?
23		November 5, 1993 letter?	23	A Yes.
24		MR. SEESE: Object to form and scope.	24	Q And then there's the January 24, 1994 letter from
25		Subject to that, you can answer.	25	Mr. Sweeney to Mr. Zelle at Zelle & Larson, is
		Page 80		Page 81
1		that right?	1	files, is that correct?
2	Α	Yes.	2	A Yes.
3	Q	And Mr. Zelle represented the Kemper Insurance	3	Q It was kept in the ordinary course of business at
4		Companies, right?	4	Madison-Kipp?
5	A	Yes.	5	A That's beyond my knowledge.
6	Q	5	6	Q Indeed, all of the documents under 20 and 21 are
7		understanding that Kemper issued comprehensive	7	letters that were kept by Madison-Kipp in their
8		general liability policies and umbrella policies	8	files, is that right?
9		to Madison-Kipp during the years 1970 through	9	A That's beyond my knowledge.
10		1981." Do you see that?	10	Q Well, where did they come from? I thought you
11		Yes.	11	told me they came from Madison-Kipp's files.
12	Q		12	A Using legal counsel part of our search was to
13		is Kemper's position that there was a policy in	13	also look at the Michael, Best & Friedrich files
14		1981 through 1982 which was not renewed by	14	in these cases, so they may have come from the
15		Madison-Kipp." Do you see that?	15	Michael, Best & Friedrich files.
16		Yes.	16	Q With respect to the letter from Mr. Zelle to
17	Q		17	Mr. Sweeney, in the second paragraph it says, "It
18 10		provide all of the information regarding its	18	appears I was mistaken in my recollection of the
19 20		insurance policies in its possession. Do you see	19 20	earliest year for which we have any policy
20 21	٨	that? Yes.	20 21	information. The records reflect that the first policy was for the period effective $1/1/71$
21 22	A		21 22	policy was for the period effective $1/1/71$
22 23	Q	Then can you look at the letter from Mr. Zelle dated January 28, 1994.	22	through $1/1/72$ . There does not appear to have been a policy for the year 1970, and I want to
23 24	А		23 24	clarify that point because of the reference to a
24 25	Q	-	24	1970 policy in your January 24 letter." Do you
25	<u>ک</u>	Tow and is a four that was in Madison-Kipp's	2.5	1970 poncy in your saluary 24 ictici. Do you

21 (Pages 78 to 81)

## Case: 3:11-cv-00724-bbc Document #: 237 Filed: 04/10/13 Page 22 of 53

Kathleen McHugh and Deanna Schneider vs. Madison-Kipp4/8/13

	Page 82		Page 83
1	see that?	1	A I'm assuming they were attached with the Zelle
2	A Yes.	2	letter to Mr. Sweeney.
3	Q And then he goes on to say, "Furthermore, I	3	Q And those documents reflect Kemper's cards on
4	believe I stated during our meeting that the last	4	various policies issued to Madison-Kipp between
5	policy year for which we had any information was	5	1970 and 1981, isn't that right?
6	1/1/80 to $1/1/81$ , and the records for that policy	6	MR. WEISS: Object to form and
7	year indicate that it was not renewed." Do you	7	foundation.
8	see that?	8	THE WITNESS: The dates on the cards
9	A Yes.	9	appear to cover years '71 January 1 of
10	Q And then he indicates a little further down in	10	'71 through January 1, 1981.
11	this letter, "I believe the records that I am	11	BY MS. ROSS:
12	providing to you will illustrate what I was	12	Q And each of these cards contain policy numbers,
13	saying. I want to make it clear, however, that	13	isn't that correct?
14		$14^{13}$	MR. WEISS: Object to form.
15	it is not Kemper's position that there was a	$14 \\ 15$	BY MS. ROSS:
	policy n 1981 through '82 which was not renewed	15 16	
16 17	by Madison-Kipp." Do you see that? A Yes.	16 17	Q For example, on the first page, if you look at
17			the 1980 to '81, which is at the bottom of the
18	Q And attached to this letter are the documents	18	page, there is a there are Policy Nos.
19	that Kemper provided to Madison-Kipp, is that	19	0YM398803 and 9YM398803, is that right?
20	correct?	20	MR. WEISS: Object to form, foundation.
21	MR. WEISS: Object, foundation, form.	21	Go ahead.
22	THE WITNESS: I do not know.	22	THE WITNESS: Those are the numbers that
23	BY MS. ROSS:	23	are on the cards, yes.
24	Q Well, where did the documents that are attached	24	BY MS. ROSS:
25	to this letter come from, do you know?	25	Q And it also includes BI limits and PD limits,
	Page 84		Page 85
1	correct, at 500/500,000 for BI limits and	1	about. Do you see that?
2	100/100,000 for PD limits?	2	A Yes.
3	MR. WEISS: Same objections.	3	Q And then as you go back behind that, there is a
4	THE WITNESS: That's what the cards	4	February 7, 1997 letter from Mr. Porten at Kemper
5	indicate, yes.	5	to Mr. Sweeney. Do you see that document?
б	BY MS. ROSS:	6	A Yes.
7	Q Now if you go to the letter to Kemper on	7	Q Now in that letter in the second paragraph it
8	August 1, 2003, why didn't Madison-Kipp list all	8	indicates that Madison-Kipp and Kemper have been
9	the policy numbers and all of the dates that it	9	cooperating in the accumulation of information in
10	had in its possession in the August 1, 2003	10	connection with certain activities by the EPA and
11	letter to Kemper?	11	the DNR pursuant to a non-waiver agreement, and
12	MR. SEESE: Object to form, foundation,	12	it says and then this is a quote, "And with
13	scope. Subject to that, you can answer.	13	the understanding that Madison-Kipp Corporation
14	MR. WEISS: Object to form, foundation.	14	does not expect Amico or LMC to take a position
15	THE WITNESS: I do not know.	15	with respect to any issues of insurance coverage
16	MS. ROSS: Could the reporter please	16	or to take any other action apart from receiving
17	mark as Exhibit 8 a multipage document dated	17	the information provided from time to time by
18	January 24, 1994 with a variety of other	18	Madison-Kipp Corporation." Do you see that?
19	documents also attached behind it.	10	A I see that, yes.
20		20	
	(Exhibit 8 was marked.)		Q Was that the agreement between Madison-Kipp and Kampar?
21	BY MS. ROSS:	21 22	Kemper?
22	Q Mr. Daniel, I'm showing you what has been marked	22	MR. WEISS: Object to form, foundation.
23	as Exhibit 8, a multipage document the first page	23	MR. SEESE: Object, form and foundation.
24	of which reflect the January 24, 1994 letter and	24	THE WITNESS: I don't know.
25	January 28, 1998 letter that we were talking	25	

# Case: 3:11-cv-00724-bbc Document #: 237 Filed: 04/10/13 Page 23 of 53

Kathleen McHugh and Deanna Schneider vs. Madison-Kipp4/8/13

	Page 86		Page 87
1	BY MS. ROSS:	1	BY MS. ROSS:
2	Q Who would know?	2	Q Can you look at the August 6, 1980 letter that's
3	A The parties to the agreement, the waiver.	3	a few pages back in Exhibit 8?
4	Q Who at Madison-Kipp would know?	4	A Yes.
5	MR. SEESE: Objection, beyond the scope	5	Q Now this is a letter from Margaret Larson at
6	and object to foundation. Subject to that, you	6	Madison-Kipp to Kemper Group, right?
7	can answer.	7	A Yes.
8	THE WITNESS: Other than the two names I	8	Q And it indicates that she is putting Kemper on
	gave you earlier, Mr. Coleman and Mr. Meunier, I	9	notice that when the underlying policy limits
9	don't know.	10	have been reached, our Lumbermens Mutual Casualty
10		11	
11	BY MS. ROSS:		Company Umbrella Policy No. 7SX20121 effective
12	Q Did Madison-Kipp decide it didn't want insurance	12	April 2, 1977 to January 1, 1978 for \$5 million
13	coverage from Kemper?	13	will provide coverage." Do you see that?
14	MR. SEESE: Object to form and	14	A Yes.
15	foundation. Also beyond the scope. Subject to	15	Q Do you know why that policy number was not listed
16	that, you can answer.	16	on the August 3, 2003 letter to Kemper?
17	THE WITNESS: I have no knowledge as to	17	MR. SEESE: Object to form, foundation,
18	why it changed.	18	scope.
19	BY MS. ROSS:	19	MR. WEISS: Object to form, foundation.
20	Q With respect to the Waubesa Street facility, did	20	THE WITNESS: I have no knowledge.
21	Madison-Kipp decide it didn't want coverage from	21	BY MS. ROSS:
22	Kemper?	22	Q Did Madison-Kipp do a search for Kemper coverage
23	MR. SEESE: Same objections.	23	when they sent the letter, the notice letter, in
24	THE WITNESS: I have no knowledge of any	24	2003?
25	of that reasoning.	25	MR. SEESE: Object to the scope of that.
	Page 88		Page 89
1	Subject to that, you can answer.	1	in August of 2003?
2	THE WITNESS: I don't know.	2	THE WITNESS: There's an August 1, 2003
3	BY MS. ROSS:	3	letter dated to a Christine Beyrent at Riverside
4	Q Do you know whether Madison-Kipp ever asked	4	or Riverstone Claims on the Waubesa Street
5	Michael Best for any information it had on the	5	facility.
6	insurance coverage issued by Kemper prior to the	6	BY MS. ROSS:
7	time that it sent the notice letter in August of	7	Q And on Page 4 of that letter you also demanded a
8	2003?	8	defense and indemnity from U. S. Fire
9	MR. SEESE: I object to the scope of	9	Madison-Kipp also demanded a defense and
10	I object to that as beyond the scope of the	10	indemnity from U. S. Fire, is that right?
11	deposition. I also object and instruct the	11	A That is correct.
12	witness not to answer to the extent it calls for	12	Q What discussions were there at Madison-Kipp
13	the disclosure of attorney-client privileged	13	concerning whether to provide notice to any of
14	communications. If you can answer without	$13 \\ 14$	the insurers about the contamination at the
$14 \\ 15$	disclosing privileged information, you can	$14 \\ 15$	Madison-Kipp facility?
		15	MR. SEESE: Objection, vague as to time
16 17	answer.	10	and instruct the witness not to answer to the
17	THE WITNESS: I'm not aware of any work		
18	that was done on that matter.	18	extent it calls for privileged communications.
19	BY MS. ROSS:	19	THE WITNESS: I have no knowledge of any
20	Q Did you also provide notice to U. S. Fire in	20	communication.
21	August of 2003?	21	BY MS. ROSS:
22	MR. SEESE: It's Topic 9, I believe.	22	Q Are you refusing to answer any portion of that
		• • • • •	annext on leased on the instruction lever to the
23	THE WITNESS: Topic 9. On which point?	23	question based on the instruction by your
	MS. ROSS: On the Waubesa Street facility. Did you provide notice to U. S. Fire	23 24 25	counsel? A No.

## Case: 3:11-cv-00724-bbc Document #: 237 Filed: 04/10/13 Page 24 of 53

Kathleen McHugh and Deanna Schneider vs. Madison-Kipp4/8/13

Deposition of Mark D. Daniel

	Page 90		Page 91
1	Q Who made Who at Madison-Kipp made the decision	1	and instruct the witness not to answer to the
2	concerning when to give notice of the	2	extent it calls for disclosure of privileged
3	environmental contamination at the Madison-Kipp	3	information.
4	facility?	4	BY MS. ROSS:
5	MR. SEESE: Objection, vague as to time.	5	Q Do you know who decided who at Madison-Kipp
6	It's also calling for attorney-client privileged	6	decided that Madison-Kipp would not submit any
7	communications. To the extent you can answer	7	bills to Continental Casualty Company or Columbia
8	without disclosing privileged information, you	8	Casualty Company between August of 2003 and July
9	may do so.	9	of 2011?
10	THE WITNESS: I do not know.	10	MR. SEESE: Object to form, foundation,
11	BY MS. ROSS:	11	calls for privilege. Also, what topic are we on
12	Q Is there any information you are not providing	12	now?
13	because of the instruction by your counsel?	13	MS. ROSS: It's in the group of stuff.
14	A No.	14	I don't know.
15	Q Do you know when the person at Madison-Kipp who	15	BY MS. ROSS:
16	made the decision to give notice of the	16	Q Can you answer?
17	environmental contamination at the Waubesa Street	17	A I have no knowledge of that.
18	site decided Let me start again.	18	Q Do you know who decided that Madison-Kipp would
19	Do you know when the person at	19	not consult with insurers before spending money
20	Madison-Kipp who decided to give notice of	20	between August 1 of 2003 and July of 2011?
21	contamination at the Waubesa Street site made	21	MR. SEESE: Objection to form,
22	that decision?	22	foundation and scope. Subject to that, you can
23	A I have no knowledge about that.	23	answer.
24	MR. SEESE: Hold on. I object to that	24	THE WITNESS: I have no knowledge of
25	as beyond the scope. I also object to the form	25	that.
		23	
	Page 92		Page 93
1	BY MS. ROSS:	1	Subject to that, you can answer.
2	Q Are you aware of anyone at Madison-Kipp who was	2	THE WITNESS: The claim was tendered in
3	involved in the decision concerning whether to	3	2003 and invoices were not tendered There were
4	give notice of the contamination at Madison-Kipp	4	no invoices tendered prior to 2011.
5	at any point between 1994 and 2011?	5	BY MS. ROSS:
6	MR. SEESE: Object to that. To the	6	Q When after 2011 were those costs first tendered
7	extent it calls for privilege, you are instructed	7	to Continental Cosualty Company or Columbia
8	$\mathbf{L}$		to Continental Casualty Company or Columbia
	not to answer. If you can answer without	8	Casualty Company?
9	disclosing privilege, you may do so.	9	Casualty Company? MR. SEESE: Object to form and scope.
9 10	disclosing privilege, you may do so. THE WITNESS: I have no knowledge of	9 10	Casualty Company? MR. SEESE: Object to form and scope. Subject to that, you can answer.
9 10 11	disclosing privilege, you may do so. THE WITNESS: I have no knowledge of that.	9 10 11	Casualty Company? MR. SEESE: Object to form and scope. Subject to that, you can answer. THE WITNESS: I'm not sure.
9 10 11 12	disclosing privilege, you may do so. THE WITNESS: I have no knowledge of that. MR. SEESE: And what topic are we on	9 10 11 12	Casualty Company? MR. SEESE: Object to form and scope. Subject to that, you can answer. THE WITNESS: I'm not sure. BY MS. ROSS:
9 10 11 12 13	disclosing privilege, you may do so. THE WITNESS: I have no knowledge of that. MR. SEESE: And what topic are we on now, because if there's a topic, let's make sure	9 10 11 12 13	Casualty Company? MR. SEESE: Object to form and scope. Subject to that, you can answer. THE WITNESS: I'm not sure. BY MS. ROSS: Q How were they tendered to Continental Casualty
9 10 11 12	disclosing privilege, you may do so. THE WITNESS: I have no knowledge of that. MR. SEESE: And what topic are we on now, because if there's a topic, let's make sure we are addressing it.	9 10 11 12 13 14	Casualty Company? MR. SEESE: Object to form and scope. Subject to that, you can answer. THE WITNESS: I'm not sure. BY MS. ROSS: Q How were they tendered to Continental Casualty Company or Columbia Casualty Company?
9 10 11 12 13	disclosing privilege, you may do so. THE WITNESS: I have no knowledge of that. MR. SEESE: And what topic are we on now, because if there's a topic, let's make sure we are addressing it. MS. ROSS: Well, I think there's a	9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Casualty Company? MR. SEESE: Object to form and scope. Subject to that, you can answer. THE WITNESS: I'm not sure. BY MS. ROSS: Q How were they tendered to Continental Casualty Company or Columbia Casualty Company? MR. SEESE: Same objections.
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	disclosing privilege, you may do so. THE WITNESS: I have no knowledge of that. MR. SEESE: And what topic are we on now, because if there's a topic, let's make sure we are addressing it. MS. ROSS: Well, I think there's a question on the who a little later.	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Casualty Company? MR. SEESE: Object to form and scope. Subject to that, you can answer. THE WITNESS: I'm not sure. BY MS. ROSS: Q How were they tendered to Continental Casualty Company or Columbia Casualty Company?
9 10 11 12 13 14 15	disclosing privilege, you may do so. THE WITNESS: I have no knowledge of that. MR. SEESE: And what topic are we on now, because if there's a topic, let's make sure we are addressing it. MS. ROSS: Well, I think there's a	9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Casualty Company? MR. SEESE: Object to form and scope. Subject to that, you can answer. THE WITNESS: I'm not sure. BY MS. ROSS: Q How were they tendered to Continental Casualty Company or Columbia Casualty Company? MR. SEESE: Same objections. THE WITNESS: That was outlined in our 12 tab. Yes.
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	disclosing privilege, you may do so. THE WITNESS: I have no knowledge of that. MR. SEESE: And what topic are we on now, because if there's a topic, let's make sure we are addressing it. MS. ROSS: Well, I think there's a question on the who a little later.	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Casualty Company? MR. SEESE: Object to form and scope. Subject to that, you can answer. THE WITNESS: I'm not sure. BY MS. ROSS: Q How were they tendered to Continental Casualty Company or Columbia Casualty Company? MR. SEESE: Same objections. THE WITNESS: That was outlined in our
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	disclosing privilege, you may do so. THE WITNESS: I have no knowledge of that. MR. SEESE: And what topic are we on now, because if there's a topic, let's make sure we are addressing it. MS. ROSS: Well, I think there's a question on the who a little later. MR. SEESE: That's fine. Fair.	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Casualty Company? MR. SEESE: Object to form and scope. Subject to that, you can answer. THE WITNESS: I'm not sure. BY MS. ROSS: Q How were they tendered to Continental Casualty Company or Columbia Casualty Company? MR. SEESE: Same objections. THE WITNESS: That was outlined in our 12 tab. Yes.
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	disclosing privilege, you may do so. THE WITNESS: I have no knowledge of that. MR. SEESE: And what topic are we on now, because if there's a topic, let's make sure we are addressing it. MS. ROSS: Well, I think there's a question on the who a little later. MR. SEESE: That's fine. Fair. Fourteen and 15. Sorry.	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Casualty Company? MR. SEESE: Object to form and scope. Subject to that, you can answer. THE WITNESS: I'm not sure. BY MS. ROSS: Q How were they tendered to Continental Casualty Company or Columbia Casualty Company? MR. SEESE: Same objections. THE WITNESS: That was outlined in our 12 tab. Yes. BY MS. ROSS:
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	disclosing privilege, you may do so. THE WITNESS: I have no knowledge of that. MR. SEESE: And what topic are we on now, because if there's a topic, let's make sure we are addressing it. MS. ROSS: Well, I think there's a question on the who a little later. MR. SEESE: That's fine. Fair. Fourteen and 15. Sorry. BY MS. ROSS:	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Casualty Company? MR. SEESE: Object to form and scope. Subject to that, you can answer. THE WITNESS: I'm not sure. BY MS. ROSS: Q How were they tendered to Continental Casualty Company or Columbia Casualty Company? MR. SEESE: Same objections. THE WITNESS: That was outlined in our 12 tab. Yes. BY MS. ROSS: Q In your which tab?
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	disclosing privilege, you may do so. THE WITNESS: I have no knowledge of that. MR. SEESE: And what topic are we on now, because if there's a topic, let's make sure we are addressing it. MS. ROSS: Well, I think there's a question on the who a little later. MR. SEESE: That's fine. Fair. Fourteen and 15. Sorry. BY MS. ROSS: Q With respect to the costs incurred related to	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Casualty Company? MR. SEESE: Object to form and scope. Subject to that, you can answer. THE WITNESS: I'm not sure. BY MS. ROSS: Q How were they tendered to Continental Casualty Company or Columbia Casualty Company? MR. SEESE: Same objections. THE WITNESS: That was outlined in our 12 tab. Yes. BY MS. ROSS: Q In your which tab? A Tab 12.
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>disclosing privilege, you may do so. THE WITNESS: I have no knowledge of that.</li> <li>MR. SEESE: And what topic are we on now, because if there's a topic, let's make sure we are addressing it.</li> <li>MS. ROSS: Well, I think there's a question on the who a little later.</li> <li>MR. SEESE: That's fine. Fair.</li> <li>Fourteen and 15. Sorry.</li> <li>BY MS. ROSS:</li> <li>Q With respect to the costs incurred related to environmental contamination at the Waubesa Street</li> </ul>	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Casualty Company? MR. SEESE: Object to form and scope. Subject to that, you can answer. THE WITNESS: I'm not sure. BY MS. ROSS: Q How were they tendered to Continental Casualty Company or Columbia Casualty Company? MR. SEESE: Same objections. THE WITNESS: That was outlined in our 12 tab. Yes. BY MS. ROSS: Q In your which tab? A Tab 12. Q So Tab 12?
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>disclosing privilege, you may do so. THE WITNESS: I have no knowledge of that.</li> <li>MR. SEESE: And what topic are we on now, because if there's a topic, let's make sure we are addressing it.</li> <li>MS. ROSS: Well, I think there's a question on the who a little later.</li> <li>MR. SEESE: That's fine. Fair.</li> <li>Fourteen and 15. Sorry.</li> <li>BY MS. ROSS:</li> <li>Q With respect to the costs incurred related to environmental contamination at the Waubesa Street facility prior to July 25, 2011, do you know when</li> </ul>	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Casualty Company? MR. SEESE: Object to form and scope. Subject to that, you can answer. THE WITNESS: I'm not sure. BY MS. ROSS: Q How were they tendered to Continental Casualty Company or Columbia Casualty Company? MR. SEESE: Same objections. THE WITNESS: That was outlined in our 12 tab. Yes. BY MS. ROSS: Q In your which tab? A Tab 12. Q So Tab 12? A Yes, 5 and 12. All prior to 2011. I'm not aware

24 (Pages 90 to 93)

# Case: 3:11-cv-00724-bbc Document #: 237 Filed: 04/10/13 Page 25 of 53

Kathleen McHugh and Deanna Schneider vs. Madison-Kipp4/8/13

	Page 94		Page 95
1	Does Madison-Kipp contend that	1	faith because you didn't defend us in response to
2	Continental Casualty Company acted in bad faith	2	the August 1, 2003 letter?"
3	with respect to any of the claims relating to	3	MR. SEESE: Objection, beyond the scope.
4	environmental contamination at the Waubesa Street	4	Also assumes facts not in evidence. Assumes a
5	facility?	5	duty not in evidence. Subject to that, you can
6	MR. SEESE: Object to form and scope.	6	answer.
7	Subject to that, you can answer. I caution the	7	THE WITNESS: I'm not aware.
8	witness not to disclose attorney-client	8	BY MS. ROSS:
9	privileged communications. Subject to that, you	9	Q Are you aware of whether Madison-Kipp ever told
10	can answer.	10	Continental or Columbia that it thought that
11	THE WITNESS: It's included in	11	their failure to respond to the August 1, 2003
12	Exhibit 7, Topic 13. Continental and Columbia	12	letter evidenced bad faith?
13	exhibited bad faith in their failure and/or	13	MR. SEESE: Same objections. Object to
14	refusal to respond to Madison-Kipp's tender of	14	the form of all these questions. Subject to
15	its defense and indemnity to them on August 1,	15	that, you can answer.
16	2003. Continental and Columbia also acted in bad	16	THE WITNESS: I'm not aware.
17	faith by failing and/or not refusing to actually	17	BY MS. ROSS:
18	defend Madison-Kipp against the claims of the DNR	18	Q So you are not aware of any time when
19	until December 12, 2011.	19	Madison-Kipp ever did that, correct?
20	BY MS. ROSS:	20	MR. SEESE: Same objections.
21	Q Now you were reading from Exhibit 7, is that	21	THE WITNESS: That's correct.
22	correct?	22	BY MS. ROSS:
23	A That is correct.	23	Q And you indicate that Continental and Columbia
24	Q When did Madison-Kipp first say to Continental or	24	acted in bad faith by failing to defend
25	Columbia, "Gosh, I think you are acting in bad	25	Madison-Kipp against the claims of the DNR until
	Page 96		Page 97
1	December 12, 2011, is that right?	1	Casualty Company or Columbia Casualty Company?
2	MR. SEESE: I object. It misstates what	2	A Outside of this read statement Well, I would
3	the response actually says and what the witness	3	stay with that statement.
4	read. Subject to that, you can answer.	4	Q So there's nothing else?
5	THE WITNESS: I stand with the written	5	A Correct.
6	statement a few moments ago.	6	Q In the cross-claim that Madison-Kipp filed
7	BY MS. ROSS:	7	against Continental Casualty Company and Columbia
8	Q What bills did Continental or Columbia fail to	8	Casualty Company, are you aware whether they ever
9	pay that were submitted to them prior to	9	claimed that Continental or Columbia acted in bad
10	December 12, 2011?	10	faith?
11	MR. SEESE: Object to form. Subject to	11	MR. SEESE: Object to form and scope.
12	that, you can answer.	12	Does that include the affirmative defenses or are
13	THE WITNESS: I do not have knowledge as	13	you talking about just the text of the
14	to what was or if there were submitted.	14	cross-claim?
15	BY MS. ROSS:	15	MS. ROSS: I'm talking about the
16	Q In fact, to the best of your knowledge, none were	16	cross-claim.
17	ever submitted prior to December 12, 2011, isn't	17	MR. SEESE: My objection is noted on the
18	that correct?	18	record. Subject to that, you can answer.
19	MR. SEESE: Object to the form. Subject	19	THE WITNESS: I'm not aware of the
20	to that, you can answer.	20	details of that motion.
21	THE WITNESS: I have no knowledge of any	21	BY MS. ROSS:
22	submissions.	22	Q Who at Madison-Kipp has knowledge of
23	BY MS. ROSS:	23	Madison-Kipp's claim that Continental Casualty
24	Q Is there any other action that Madison-Kipp	24	Company or Columbia Casualty Company acted in bad
25	claims evidenced bad faith by Continental	25	faith?
	statute establication our futur of continental		

Deposition of Mark D. Daniel

	Page 98		Page 99
1		1	
1	MR. SEESE: Object to the form of that,	1	2011.
2	it calls for a legal conclusion, it calls for	2	MR. SEESE: Object to form and scope.
3	attorney-client work product, it calls for	3	Subject to that, you can answer.
4	attorney-client privilege. It's beyond the	4	THE WITNESS: There were none tendered
5	scope. Subject to that, you can answer.	5	prior to 2011.
6	THE WITNESS: Other than the two names I	6	BY MS. ROSS:
7	referenced earlier, I don't know. I wouldn't	7	Q And none tendered prior to December 12, 2011?
8	know.	8	A That's correct.
9	BY MS. ROSS:	9	MS. ROSS: Let's take a short break.
10	Q You are talking about Mr. Coleman and Mr.	10	(A recess was taken.)
11	Meunier?	11	BY MS. ROSS:
12	A Correct.	12	Q Mr. Daniel, between August of 2003 and July of
13	Q And in terms of any tenders of any invoices prior	13	2011, did Madison-Kipp have any communications
14	to December of 2011, who would have knowledge of	14	with Kemper concerning contamination at the
15	that within Madison-Kipp?	15	Waubesa Street facility?
16	MR. SEESE: Object to the scope.	16	MR. WEISS: I'm sorry. Could you read
17	Subject to that, you can answer.	17	that back?
18	THE WITNESS: Please clarify your	18	COURT REPORTER: "Mr. Daniel, between
19	question.	19	August of 2003 and July of 2011, did Madison-Kipp
20	BY MS. ROSS:	20	have any communications with Kemper concerning
21	Q I'm trying to find out who would have knowledge	21	contamination at the Waubesa Street facility?"
22	concerning any who at Madison-Kipp would have	22	MR. WEISS: Thank you.
23	knowledge concerning any tender of any invoices	23	THE WITNESS: The initial letter which
24	of any type relating to contamination at the	24	was dated March 27, 1992 talked about multiple
25	Waubesa Street facility prior to December of	25	Madison area sites in Section 20.
	Page 100		Page 101
1		-	
1	BY MS. ROSS:	1	July 25, 2011?
2	Q Let me interrupt you there, if I could. Is it	2	A That is correct.
3	your understanding that that letter relates in	3	Q And in the August 1, 2003 letter to Kemper,
4	any manner to the Waubesa Street site?	4	Madison-Kipp also promised that it would provide
5	A I don't know.	5	Kemper with further information as it is
6	MR. WEISS: Objection.	6	developed in this matter. Do you see that on
7	THE WITNESS: That's also subject to the	7	Page 4?
8	waiver agreement which we went through earlier.	8	MR. SEESE: Object to the form of that.
9	MR. SEESE: She asked between August of	9	Go ahead. You can answer.
10	'03 and July 2011.	10	THE WITNESS: Yes.
11	THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. Thank you for	11	BY MS. ROSS:
12	the clarification. On July 25, 2011 from	12	Q And Madison-Kipp did not ever provide further
13	Michael, Best & Friedrich, David Crass, to Kemper	13	information as it was developed in this matter
14	Insurance it talks to the Waubesa Street	14	prior to July of 2011, did it?
15	situation. There's an August 1, 2003. So that	15	MR. SEESE: Object to the form of that,
16	would be the first.	16	assumes facts and a legal duty not in evidence.
17	BY MS. ROSS:	17	Subject to that, you can answer.
18	Q Between August 1, 2003 and July 25, 2011, were	18	THE WITNESS: They did not respond and
19	there any communications with Kemper concerning	19	we did not follow up.
20	the contamination at the Waubesa Street site?	20	BY MS. ROSS:
21	A As the document had been filed with no response,	21	Q Okay. What general liability policies did Kemper
22	Madison-Kipp did not follow up on that and was	22	issue to Madison-Kipp?
23	waiting for further actions.	23	MR. WEISS: Object to form, foundation.
			-
25		25	<b>v i</b>
24		24	MR. SEESE: Also object to the scope of that. Subject to the objection, you can answer.

#### 26 (Pages 98 to 101)

## Case: 3:11-cv-00724-bbc Document #: 237 Filed: 04/10/13 Page 27 of 53

Kathleen McHugh and Deanna Schneider vs. Madison-Kipp4/8/13

Deposition of Mark D. Daniel

	Page 102		Page 103
1	Scope being beyond the scope of the notice.	1	MR. SEESE: Same objections.
2	THE WITNESS: Not to my knowledge.	2	THE WITNESS: I have no knowledge of it.
3	MR. SEESE: I'm sorry.	3	BY MS. ROSS:
4	BY MS. ROSS:	4	Q Are you aware that Madison-Kipp located all or
5	Q You don't know? Is that	5	portions of the 1978/79 Kemper policy?
6	A I have no knowledge.	6	MR. WEISS: Object to form.
7	Q Okay. Do you have any knowledge concerning the	7	MR. SEESE: Also beyond the scope.
8	limits of any policies issued by Kemper to	8	Subject to that, you can answer.
9	Madison-Kipp?	9	THE WITNESS: I'm not aware of that.
10	MR. WEISS: Same objections.	10	BY MS. ROSS:
11	MR. SEESE: Also object beyond the	11	Q Are you aware that Madison-Kipp located all or
12	scope. Subject to that, you can answer.	12	part of a policy issued by Kemper for 1979 to
13	THE WITNESS: I have no knowledge.	13	1980?
14	BY MS. ROSS:	14	MR. WEISS: Object to form.
15	Q Do you have any knowledge concerning the period	15	THE WITNESS: As outlined in the
16	of time any policies were issued by Kemper to	16	November 4 letter from Ms. Holly Sewall to
17	Madison-Kipp?	17	Kemper.
18	MR. WEISS: Same objections.	18	BY MS. ROSS:
19	MR. SEESE: Same objections here, too.	19	Q November 4 of what year?
20	THE WITNESS: It's beyond my knowledge.	20	A 1992. I'm sorry. Repeat the question, please.
21	BY MS. ROSS:	21	COURT REPORTER: "Are you aware that
22	Q And do you have any knowledge concerning whether	22	Madison-Kipp located all or part of a policy
23	any policies issued by Kemper were primary or	23	issued by Kemper for 1979 to 1980?"
24	umbrella policies?	24	MS. ROSS: Let me withdraw that question
25	MR. WEISS: Same objections.	25	and ask a different question.
	Page 104		Page 105
1	BY MS. ROSS:	1	declarations page with a Policy No. 8ZM398803 a
2	Q Can you look at the back of your Tab 20 and 21.	2	copy of the 1978 to '79 Kemper policy
3	There's a November 15, 2012 letter from Lee Seese	3	Madison-Kipp located?
4	to Kemper, and then two documents, two fairly	4	MR. WEISS: Object to form, foundation.
5	large documents.	5	THE WITNESS: Yes.
6	A I have it.	6	BY MS. ROSS:
7	Q Now that letter from Mr. Seese indicates that	7	Q Then immediately behind that, I hope I'm
8	he's updating the November 9, 2012 and October 1,	8	trying to find the beginning of it. Do you see
9	2012 letters regarding the claims at the Waubesa	9	where it begins, the beginning of '79 to '80?
10	Street facility. Do you see that?	10	Okay. And then a little further back there's
11	A Yes.	11	another copy of the November 15, 2012 letter, and
12	Q And he further notes that Madison-Kipp has	12	immediately following that is a certificate of
13	recently discovered the attached policies, one	13	insurance and following that is a declarations
14	for 1978 to '79 and one for 1979 to '80. Do you	14	page for a policy Wait a minute. That's not
15	see that?	15	it. Sorry. I'm getting close.
16	A Yes.	16	After the first policy there is a blank
17	Q So does that refresh your recollection that	17	page, and then there's another copy of a policy
18	Madison-Kipp has copies of the 1978 to '79 Kemper	18	that has a number 9YM398803 for the policy period
19	policy and the 1979 to '80 Kemper policy?	19	January 1, 1979 to January 1, 1980. Do you see
20	MR. WEISS: Object to form, foundation?	20	that?
21	THE WITNESS: Yes.	21	A Yes.
22	BY MS. ROSS:	22	Q Is that the copy of the 1979 to 1980 Kemper
23	Q Is the document that is that immediately	23	policy that Madison-Kipp located?
24	follows the November 15, 2012 letter, which is a	24	MR. WEISS: Object to form, foundation.
25	certificate of insurance followed by a	25	THE WITNESS: Yes.
20		-	

27 (Pages 102 to 105)

## Case: 3:11-cv-00724-bbc Document #: 237 Filed: 04/10/13 Page 28 of 53

Kathleen McHugh and Deanna Schneider vs. Madison-Kipp4/8/13

Deposition of Mark D. Daniel

Kathle	en McHugh and Deanna Schneider vs. Madison-Kipp4/8	5/13	Deposition of Mark D. Daniel
	Page 106		Page 107
1	BY MS. ROSS:	1	concerning whether Kemper issued coverage other
2	Q Other than the 1978 to '79 and the 1970 to 1980	2	than the 1978 to '79 and 1979 to 1980 Kemper
3	Kemper policies, are there any other full	3	primary policies?
4	policies issued by a Kemper policy that	4	1 01
4 5	Madison-Kipp has presently located?	5	MR. SEESE: Object to form and foundation. Also beyond the scope, calls for a
	MR. WEISS: Object to form, foundation.		•
6	•	6 7	legal conclusion and calls for attorney-client
7	Also beyond the scope. Subject to that, you can		work product. Subject to that, you can answer.
8	answer.	8	MR. WEISS: Object to form, foundation,
9 10	THE WITNESS: There appears to be one from 1980 to 1981, 0YM398803.	9 10	calls for a legal conclusion.
11	BY MS. ROSS:		THE WITNESS: Not to my knowledge. I
		11	have no knowledge.
12	Q And what document are you looking at?	12	BY MS. ROSS:
13	A The December 4, 2012 letter to Mr. Condon and Mr.	13	Q Can you look at the February 11, 2013 letter
14	Seese.	14	right before Tab 22.
15	MR. WEISS: What's the date?	15	A Yes.
16	THE WITNESS: December 4, 2012.	16	Q That's a letter from Mr. Seese to Mr. Condon, is
17	BY MS. ROSS:	17	that correct?
18	Q Is that in Tab 21?	18	A Yes.
19	A Yes.	19	Q And in that letter Mr. Seese requested a number
20	Q Do you know whether Madison-Kipp has a copy of	20	of documents from Mr. Condon, is that correct?
21	that policy?	21	A Yes.
22	MR. SEESE: Objection, beyond the scope.	22	Q And at the end of the document there's a document
23	THE WITNESS: I'm not aware.	23	entitled, "Exhibit A, Kemper Policies." Do you
24	BY MS. ROSS:	24	see that?
25	Q Okay. Does Madison-Kipp have a position	25	A Yes.
	Page 108		Page 109
1	Q Does Exhibit A reflect the policies Madison-Kipp	1	correct?
2	presently believes that Kemper issued to	2	MR. SEESE: Object to form.
3	Madison-Kipp?	3	THE WITNESS: To my knowledge.
4	MR. SEESE: Objection, beyond the scope.	4	BY MS. ROSS:
5	Subject to that, you can answer.	5	Q Are you reading from a document on which you made
6	THE WITNESS: To my knowledge, that's	6	notes?
7	yes.	7	A Yes.
8	BY MS. ROSS:	8	Q Can I look at it, please?
9	Q I'm not positive, I may have asked you this, but	9	A Um-hum.
10	I didn't write down the answer. Do you know the	10	MS. ROSS: Would the court reporter
11	identity of every person at Madison-Kipp who	11	please mark as Exhibit 9 a copy of the Amended
12	provided notice of any environmental	12	Notice of Rule 30(b)(6) Deposition of
13	contamination at the Waubesa Street facility to	13	Madison-Kipp with the witness' handwritten notes
14	any insurer?	14	on it.
15	MR. SEESE: Object to the form. Subject	15	(Exhibit 9 was marked.)
16	to that, you can answer.	16	BY MS. ROSS:
17	THE WITNESS: To my knowledge, it would	17	Q Mr. Daniel, are all of the notes that are
18	be a Thomas Caldwell and a Joe Wojcik with	18	contained on Exhibit 9 your handwriting?
19	consultation from Mark Meunier.	19	A Yes.
20	BY MS. ROSS:	20	Q Okay. Is this effectively a cheat sheet for the
21	Q Thomas Caldwell?	21	deposition?
22	A I'm sorry. Provided notice was Ms. Sewall, Dave	22	A Yes.
23	Crass, Ms. Cynthia Smith and Mr. Lee Seese.	23	MR. SEESE: Object to form. Go ahead.
24	Q And all of those people provided notice with	24	You can answer.
25	respect to the Waubesa Street facility, is that	25	THE WITNESS: Yes.

28 (Pages 106 to 109)

## Case: 3:11-cv-00724-bbc Document #: 237 Filed: 04/10/13 Page 29 of 53

Kathleen McHugh and Deanna Schneider vs. Madison-Kipp4/8/13

Deposition of Mark D. Daniel

<b></b>			
	Page 110		Page 11
1	MS. ROSS: I'm sorry, we have only got	1	Q Okay. Then immediately below that it says,
2	one copy, so I will put it like this and we can	2	"8/20/03." Do you know what that means? Or
3	look at it together.	3	maybe it says "8/2003."
4	BY MS. ROSS:	4	A It's August of 2003.
5	Q With respect to No. 5, there's a note on the side	5	Q Okay. Then it says "Follow up to letter?"
6	that says, "Total approximately \$565,000." Do	6	A Um-hum.
7	you see that?	7	O What does that mean?
8	A Yes.	8	A It means that there was no follow up from the
9	Q Is that the notice Is that an indication to	9	8/23 or 8/2003 letter.
10	you that with respect to the defense and	10	Q That there was no follow up to that letter?
11	indemnity that was incurred prior to July of	11	A Correct.
12	2011, the amount was \$565,000?	12	Q Okay. And then it says, quote, "Not to my
13	A Yes.	13	knowledge," end quote. What does that mean?
14	Q And then with respect to No. 7, I think it says	14	A If there was any further communication beyond
15	"Similar to response in No. 3?"	15	what had been submitted.
16	A Yes.	16	Q And then "They did not respond; we did not follow
17	Q What does that mean?	17	up."
18	A That the No. 7 paragraph is a similar response as	18	A Um-hum.
19	all the notices in the Continental, because I	19	Q That's immediately below that?
20	believe that is a different organization or 3	20	A Right.
21	and 3 was included in 3 was the same as the	21	Q What does that mean?
22	7 questions.	22	A That was referring to the question in No. 8 with
23	Q In terms of the information that you needed to	23	the filing of the letter, and then there was no
24	provide?	24	response from the insurers and Madison-Kipp did
25	A Yes.	25	not follow up.
	Doco 110		
	Page 112		Page 11:
1	Q Now were these notes on Page 5 of Exhibit 9	1	A Yes.
2	written at different times?	2	Q Okay. And then immediately underneath that it
3	A Yes.	3	says "They were not tendered until," and then
4	Q And when were the purple When was the purple	4	what does it say under that?
5	handwriting written?	5	A "The invoices were not tendered prior to 2011."
6	A At our second meeting to review the documents.	б	Q And then there's a no?
7	Q And when was the dark blue written?	7	A Correct.
8	A When I was reviewing the documents themselves, so	8	MR. SEESE: Let me see that one to be
9	within that next couple days.	9	sure.
10	Q And was the quote "not to my knowledge" something	10	BY MS. ROSS:
11	that your counsel suggested to you that you say	11	Q What does that mean?
12	in response to Topic 8?	12	A It just means that we did not tender invoices
13	MR. SEESE: Object to form and	13	prior to 2011.
14	foundation, calls for attorney-client privilege.	14	Q And then it says "Tendered claim 2003," right?
15	Subject to that, you can answer.	15	A Correct.
16	THE WITNESS: No.	16	Q And then under 16 there are a list of names, is
17	BY MS. ROSS:	17	that correct?
18	Q Looking at Page 6 next to No. 12 you have	18	A Yes.
19	"Summarized in No. 5," is that right?	19	Q And where did you get those names?
20	A Yes.	20	A They were extracted off of the letters of
21	Q And what does that mean?	21	correspondence.
22	A That No. 12 question is summarized in No. 5.	22	Q And under 17 there are also names, is that right
23	Five and 12 go together. That's the	23	A Yes.
24 25	disbursements.	24	Q And where did you get those names?
1 /h	Q In your binder?	25	A Recollection of who was in the organization at

#### 29 (Pages 110 to 113)

# Case: 3:11-cv-00724-bbc Document #: 237 Filed: 04/10/13 Page 30 of 53

Kathleen McHugh and Deanna Schneider vs. Madison-Kipp4/8/13

Deposition of Mark D. Daniel

katniee	n Mo	cHugh and Deanna Schneider vs. Madison-Kipp4/8	/13	Deposition of Mark D. Danie
		Page 114		Page 115
1	,	that point in time.	1	A I do not know.
2	0	Now there are dates. You have 1994 Thomas	2	Q Then on Page 7 you have under 20, 21 and 22, you
3	· ·	Caldwell, 2003 Joe Wojcik with consultation from	3	have "Book" to the side?
4		Mark Meunier, is that correct?	4	A Yes.
5	А	Yes.	5	Q And are you referring to Exhibit 3 in front of
6	0		6	you?
7	×	identity of the people responsible for the	7	A Yes.
8		decision to provide notice of environmental	8	Q And then in 24 you have I think the word "words,"
9		contamination at the Madison-Kipp facility to any	9	is that correct, "and below?"
10		insurer?	10	A Yes.
11	Δ	Yes.	11	Q What does that mean?
12	Q		12	A As we looked at the question, there's none at
13	-	That's when Mr. Caldwell was the president of the	13	this time answering that question.
14	A	organization.	14	
	0	•	15	Q Is none at this time the answer to the question
15	Q	Did he provide notice of the environmental		of whether there are policies issued to
16		contamination at Madison-Kipp to any insurer in	16	Madison-Kipp after January 1, 1987 that provide
17		1994?	17	coverage for any costs or expense incurred by
18	A	Answering the question that was asked, the	18	Madison-Kipp relating to the environmental
19		identity of each person responsible for the	19	contamination at the Madison-Kipp facility?
20		decision, it would have been Mr. Caldwell at that	20	MR. SEESE: Objection to form. You can
21	~	point.	21	answer.
22	Q	Okay. Do you know whether Mr. Caldwell provided	22	THE WITNESS: Yes.
23		any notice to any insurer prior to 2003?	23	BY MS. ROSS:
24		No.	24	Q Then under 25 and 26, can you read to me what you
25	Q	No, you don't know?	25	wrote under 25?
		Page 116		Page 117
1	А	No. 25 says, "Summarized in 3, 7 and 8," and then	1	What involvement did Mr. Wojcik have?
2		"Jepscor, Amber Oil and Waubesa Street."	2	A He was the president during the 2002, 2003 time
3	Q	And what does it say under 26?	3	period.
4	Α	It regurgitates summarized in No. 9 and 11, and	4	Q Was Mr. Caldwell president from '94 to 2002?
5		the same three sites, Amber Oil, Jepscor and	5	A Yes, yes.
б		Waubesa Street.	6	Q On Paragraph 21 of the deposition notice it seeks
7	0	So going back to the identity of people who	7	information concerning communications between
8		provided notice of the environmental	8	Madison-Kipp and Lumbermens concerning
9		contamination at the Madison-Kipp facility to any	9	environmental contamination at the Madison-Kipp
10		insurer, you listed Sewall, Crass, Smith and	10	facility. Do you see that?
11		Seese, is that correct?	11	A Yes.
12	А	That is correct.	12	Q Is all such communication reflected in Exhibit 3?
13	Q		13	A To my knowledge it is, yes.
14	×	providing of notice solely the documents that are	14	Q In Paragraph 22 it asks for information
15		contained in Exhibit 3?	15	concerning all claims for general liability
16	Δ	Yes.	16	coverage Madison-Kipp has ever made under any
17	Q		17	policy issued by American Motorists Insurance
18	Q	the decision to provide notice of environmental	18	Company or Lumbermens Mutual Casualty Company.
19		contamination at the Madison-Kipp facility to any	19	Do you see that?
20		insurer, you listed Mr. Caldwell and Mr. Meunier,	20	A Yes.
20 21		is that right?	21	Q All of the information that you have that
	٨	0	21	documents that are contained under Tab 22 in
22	A	5	23	
23	Q		23 24	Exhibit 3?
24 25	A		24 25	<ul><li>A To the best of my knowledge, yes.</li><li>Q Were there documents that related to insurance</li></ul>
25	Q	Okay. I never get his name pronounced properly.	20	Q Were there documents that related to insurance

### 30 (Pages 114 to 117)

### Case: 3:11-cv-00724-bbc Document #: 237 Filed: 04/10/13 Page 31 of 53

Kathleen McHugh and Deanna Schneider vs. Madison-Kipp4/8/13

Deposition of Mark D. Daniel

	Page 118		Page 119
1	coverage for Madison-Kipp that were lost in a	1	MR. SEESE: Objection. That's beyond
2	flood? Do you know?	2	the scope of the notice. It calls for a legal
3	MR. SEESE: Object to form and beyond	3	conclusion. It calls for attorney-client work
4	the scope. Subject to that, you can answer.	4	product. It calls for work product. Subject to
5	THE WITNESS: I'm aware that some of the	5	that, you can answer.
6	archived forms or boxes were destroyed in a	6	THE WITNESS: I'm not prepared to answer
7	flood, yes.	7	that at this time.
8	BY MS. ROSS:	8	BY MS. ROSS:
9	Q When did that flood occur?	9	Q Have you been asked to determine that?
10	MR. SEESE: Same objections. Actually,	10	MR. SEESE: Same objections. You can
11	no, just objection to scope there.	11	answer.
12	THE WITNESS: I'm not sure.	12	THE WITNESS: No, I have not.
13	BY MS. ROSS:	13	BY MS. ROSS:
14	Q Do you know what decade it occurred?	14	Q What policies issued to Madison-Kipp after
	A No.	15	
15 16		16	January 1, 1987 does Madison-Kipp claim provide
16 17	Q Did it occur after you came to Madison-Kipp?	17	coverage for any cost or expense incurred with
	A No, it was prior.		respect to the contamination at the Waubesa
18	MR. SEESE: Beyond the scope. You can	18	Street facility?
19	answer.	19	A Please repeat that.
20	THE WITNESS: It was prior to 2002.	20	COURT REPORTER: "What policies issued
21	BY MS. ROSS:	21	to Madison-Kipp after January 1, 1987 does
22	Q What policies does Madison-Kipp claim provide	22	Madison-Kipp claim provide coverage for any cost
23	coverage for any cost or expense incurred by	23	or expense incurred with respect to the
24	Madison-Kipp relating to the environmental	24	contamination at the Waubesa Street facility?"
25	contamination at the Waubesa facility?	25	MR. SEESE: Object to the form of that.
	Page 120		Page 121
1	I also incorporate Exhibit 2. Subject to that,	1	MR. SEESE: Object to the form, scope,
2	you can answer.	2	calls for a legal conclusion, implies a duty he
3	THE WITNESS: As outlined in Object 3	3	doesn't have. Subject to that, you can answer.
4	here, it would be defined here.	4	THE WITNESS: No, I have not.
5	BY MS. ROSS:	5	BY MS. ROSS:
6	Q What would be defined there?	6	Q Did you review the terms and conditions of any of
7	A The policies that Madison-Kipp feels are covered	7	the policies issued prior to January 1, 1987 to
8	in that time period.	8	determine whether they provide coverage for costs
9	Q I'm looking for the policies that were issued to	9	or expenses incurred by Madison-Kipp relating to
10	Madison-Kipp after January 1, 1987 that	10	the environmental contamination at the Waubesa
11	Madison-Kipp claims provide coverage for any	11	site?
12	costs or expenses incurred by Madison-Kipp with	12	MR. SEESE: Same objections. You can
13	respect to the contamination at the Waubesa	13	-
13	Street facility.	14	answer. THE WITNESS: No, I have not.
	-	14	
15	MR. SEESE: Same objections. Subject to that, you can answer.	15	MS. ROSS: I don't have anything else at
16		υL	this point in time. Obviously, there are a
16 17	•	1 🗆	number of places where the with a loss in the second
17	THE WITNESS: As defined in No. 9,	17	number of places where the witness has been
17 18	THE WITNESS: As defined in No. 9, Answer 24, none at this time.	18	instructed not to answer which we will take up
17 18 19	THE WITNESS: As defined in No. 9, Answer 24, none at this time. BY MS. ROSS:	18 19	instructed not to answer which we will take up with the court. I believe Mr. Cohen has
17 18 19 20	THE WITNESS: As defined in No. 9, Answer 24, none at this time. BY MS. ROSS: Q Not at this time?	18 19 20	instructed not to answer which we will take up with the court. I believe Mr. Cohen has questions.
17 18 19 20 21	THE WITNESS: As defined in No. 9, Answer 24, none at this time. BY MS. ROSS: Q Not at this time? A None at this time.	18 19 20 21	instructed not to answer which we will take up with the court. I believe Mr. Cohen has questions. EXAMINATION
17 18 19 20 21 22	THE WITNESS: As defined in No. 9, Answer 24, none at this time. BY MS. ROSS: Q Not at this time? A None at this time. Q Did you review the terms and conditions of any of	18 19 20 21 22	instructed not to answer which we will take up with the court. I believe Mr. Cohen has questions. EXAMINATION BY MR. COHEN:
17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>THE WITNESS: As defined in No. 9, Answer 24, none at this time.</li> <li>BY MS. ROSS:</li> <li>Q Not at this time?</li> <li>A None at this time.</li> <li>Q Did you review the terms and conditions of any of the policies issued to Madison-Kipp after</li> </ul>	18 19 20 21 22 23	instructed not to answer which we will take up with the court. I believe Mr. Cohen has questions. EXAMINATION BY MR. COHEN: Q Mr. Daniel, how much time total did you spend
17 18 19 20 21 22	THE WITNESS: As defined in No. 9, Answer 24, none at this time. BY MS. ROSS: Q Not at this time? A None at this time. Q Did you review the terms and conditions of any of	18 19 20 21 22	instructed not to answer which we will take up with the court. I believe Mr. Cohen has questions. EXAMINATION BY MR. COHEN:

### 31 (Pages 118 to 121)

## Case: 3:11-cv-00724-bbc Document #: 237 Filed: 04/10/13 Page 32 of 53

Kathleen McHugh and Deanna Schneider vs. Madison-Kipp4/8/13

	-				-
		Page 122			Page 123
1	A Approximately six hour	rs.	1		talked to to assist you in preparing as a
2	Q Did I understand in you		2		corporate designee were Madison-Kipp's lawyers
3	as a corporate designee f	for Madison-Kipp, you did	3		that you had referred to earlier?
4	not have any discussions		4	Α	Correct.
5	Madison-Kipp employee	2	5	Q	If you could turn, please, to the August 1, 2003
6	A That is correct.		6	-	notice letter to U.S. Fire, and I think you can
7	Q And, similarly, in your	preparation for	7		find it at Tab 14 and 15.
8	testifying as a corporate		8	А	August 1? Was that the date?
9	Madison-Kipp today, yo	<u> </u>	9		Yes, correct. Is it your understanding that that
10	discussions with any for		10		was the first notice letter regarding the
11	employees?		11		environmental contamination at the Waubesa Street
12	A That is correct.		12		site that was sent to U.S. Fire?
13	Q And when I asked you	the question with respect to	13	А	To my knowledge, yes.
14	current or former employ		14		All right. And Ms. Ross asked you a question
15		vant to exclude him. Did	15		about why notice had not been provided earlier to
16	you have any discussion		16		her clients, Continental and Columbia. Would
17	prepare you for your test		17		your answer be the same with respect to U. S.
18	A No, I did not.	5	18		Fire?
19	Q Would it be fair to say	that other than the	19	А	Yes.
20	documents that appear in		20	Q	
21	before you, you didn't lo		21	À	-
22	documents to assist you		22	0	
23	as a corporate designee,		23	×	materials regarding environmental claims and
24	A That is correct.		24		coverage for environmental claims that predated
25		sons in the world that you	25		2003 for other sites. Do you know why those
		Page 124			Page 125
		-	_		
1	notices and communication		1		MR. COHEN:
2	would have been given	if there was no coverage	2	Q	Sir, do you know what that date refers to,
3	for such claims?		3		June 16, 1994?
4		ect to the form of that,	4		No, I do not.
5	assumes facts not in evi		5	Q	If you could turn to Page 4 of that letter, the
6		swer to the extent you are	6		second to the last paragraph identical to the
7	able.		7		letter that you looked at earlier for Continental
8		I'm not aware of why, no.	8		and Columbia states, "We request that your
9	BY MR. COHEN:		9		company analyze this matter and accept duties of
10	Q Okay. All right. In thi		10		defense and indemnity owed under the CGL and/or
11	Ms. Beyrent did you un		11		umbrella policies."
12	you understand her to be		12		My first question is do you know whether
13	representative for U.S.	Fire at Riverstone	13		the U. S. Fire policies were primary policies or
14	Claims Management?		14		umbrella policies?
15	A Yes.		15		MR. SEESE: Object to the form and
16		andwritten notes on Page 3	16		scope. You can answer.
17	next to the reference to		17	DI	THE WITNESS: I'm not aware.
18	decision on the bottom.		18		MR. COHEN:
19	Does that appear on you	r version?	19	Q	Okay. All right. Do you know whether at the
20	A No.		20		time of this letter the U. S. Fire policies had
21	Q Okay. Maybe I got the	<u> </u>	21		any duty to defend?
22	MR. SEESE: No,		22		MR. SEESE: Same objections.
23	MR. COHEN: Th	-	23	<b>B1</b> -1	THE WITNESS: I'm not aware.
24		t's my handwritten note	24		MR. COHEN:
25	on it.		25	()	Okay. The next sentence says, "We will provide

# Case: 3:11-cv-00724-bbc Document #: 237 Filed: 04/10/13 Page 33 of 53

Kathleen McHugh and Deanna Schneider vs. Madison-Kipp4/8/13

	Page 126		Page 127
1	you with further information as it is developed	1	occurrence involving the Madison Waubesa Street
2	in this matter." Do you see that?	2	facility before August 1, 2003?
3	A Yes.	3	MR. SEESE: Object to form and
4	Q And Ms. Ross asked you with respect to her	4	foundation, calls for a legal conclusion, beyond
5	clients, and I will ask you the same, are you	5	the scope of what this witness has been
6	aware of any information that was provided by	6	designated to testify about. Subject to that,
7	Madison-Kipp or through its lawyers to U.S. Fire	7	you can answer.
8	at any time between August 1, 2003 and July 25,	8	THE WITNESS: I'm not aware of any other
9	2011?	9	notices.
10	MR. SEESE: Object to the form of that.	10	BY MR. COHEN:
11	Go ahead. You can answer.	11	Q Are you aware, sir, of whether Madison-Kipp ever
12	THE WITNESS: Not to my knowledge.	12	forwarded any invoices for any remediation costs
13	BY MR. COHEN:	13	or defense costs to U.S. Fire at any time for
14	Q And, similarly, you are unaware of any	14	payment?
15	communications that occurred that were not in	15	A Would you reread the question, please.
16	writing between Madison-Kipp or its lawyers and	16	COURT REPORTER: "Are you aware, sir, of
17	U. S. Fire or its claims representative between	17	whether Madison-Kipp ever forwarded any invoices
18	that time frame?	18	for any remediation costs or defense costs to U.
19	MR. SEESE: Regarding this Waubesa	19	S. Fire at any time for payment?"
20	Street claim?	20	MR. SEESE: Object to that as beyond the
21	MR. COHEN: Correct.	21	scope. Subject to that, you can answer.
22	THE WITNESS: I'm not aware of that.	22	THE WITNESS: Not to my knowledge.
23	BY MR. COHEN:	23	BY MR. COHEN:
24	Q And would it be fair to state that you're also	24	Q Are you aware of any communications between
25	unaware of any notice to U.S. Fire of an	25	Madison-Kipp and U. S. Fire or its
	Page 128		Page 129
1	representatives seeking approval of any the	1	MR. SEESE: Same objections.
2	incurrence of any expenses or any strategies	2	THE WITNESS: Correct.
3	regarding the site between August 1, 2003 and	3	BY MR. COHEN:
4	July 25, 2011?	4	Q Could you turn to the prepared response. What
5	MR. SEESE: Object to form. Subject to	5	exhibit is that, 7?
6	that, you can answer.	6	A Seven.
7	THE WITNESS: Not to my knowledge.	7	Q Exhibit 7. If you look at Topic 10, the topic is
8	BY MR. COHEN:	8	the factual basis for Madison-Kipp's claim, if
9	Q Are you aware, sir, of why Madison-Kipp did not	9	any, that United States Fire Insurance Company
10	provide any further information after the	10	did not suffer any prejudice due to
11	August 1, 2003 letter to U. S. Fire until	11	Madison-Kipp's alleged failure to give timely
12	July 25, 2011?	12	notice of a claim relating to environmental
13	MR. SEESE: Object to form and	13	contamination at or arising out of the
	THE STATE STRATES AND A TOTAL TOTAL CONTRACT STRATES AND A		-
14		14	Madison-Kinn facility Did I read that
14 15	foundation, object to the extent it calls for	14 15	Madison-Kipp facility. Did I read that
15	foundation, object to the extent it calls for attorney-client privileged information. To the	15	correctly?
15 16	foundation, object to the extent it calls for attorney-client privileged information. To the extent you can answer without disclosing	15 16	correctly? A Yes.
15 16 17	foundation, object to the extent it calls for attorney-client privileged information. To the extent you can answer without disclosing privileged information, you can do so.	15 16 17	correctly? A Yes. Q Okay. And it states that at this time the
15 16 17 18	foundation, object to the extent it calls for attorney-client privileged information. To the extent you can answer without disclosing privileged information, you can do so. THE WITNESS: My understanding is the	15 16 17 18	<ul><li>correctly?</li><li>A Yes.</li><li>Q Okay. And it states that at this time the factual basis for Madison-Kipp's claim that U. S.</li></ul>
15 16 17 18 19	foundation, object to the extent it calls for attorney-client privileged information. To the extent you can answer without disclosing privileged information, you can do so. THE WITNESS: My understanding is the insurance company did not respond and, therefore,	15 16 17 18 19	<ul><li>correctly?</li><li>A Yes.</li><li>Q Okay. And it states that at this time the factual basis for Madison-Kipp's claim that U. S. Fire did not suffer prejudice is that</li></ul>
15 16 17 18 19 20	foundation, object to the extent it calls for attorney-client privileged information. To the extent you can answer without disclosing privileged information, you can do so. THE WITNESS: My understanding is the insurance company did not respond and, therefore, we did not forward.	15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>correctly?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Okay. And it states that at this time the factual basis for Madison-Kipp's claim that U. S. Fire did not suffer prejudice is that Madison-Kipp provided notice to U. S. Fire on</li> </ul>
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	foundation, object to the extent it calls for attorney-client privileged information. To the extent you can answer without disclosing privileged information, you can do so. THE WITNESS: My understanding is the insurance company did not respond and, therefore, we did not forward. BY MR. COHEN:	15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>correctly?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Okay. And it states that at this time the factual basis for Madison-Kipp's claim that U. S. Fire did not suffer prejudice is that Madison-Kipp provided notice to U. S. Fire on August 1, 2003 and U. S. Fire never responded to</li> </ul>
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>foundation, object to the extent it calls for attorney-client privileged information. To the extent you can answer without disclosing privileged information, you can do so. THE WITNESS: My understanding is the insurance company did not respond and, therefore, we did not forward.</li> <li>BY MR. COHEN:</li> <li>Q And there was no effort, to the best of your</li> </ul>	15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>correctly?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Okay. And it states that at this time the factual basis for Madison-Kipp's claim that U. S. Fire did not suffer prejudice is that Madison-Kipp provided notice to U. S. Fire on August 1, 2003 and U. S. Fire never responded to that notice. You have told me everything that</li> </ul>
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>foundation, object to the extent it calls for attorney-client privileged information. To the extent you can answer without disclosing privileged information, you can do so. THE WITNESS: My understanding is the insurance company did not respond and, therefore, we did not forward.</li> <li>BY MR. COHEN:</li> <li>Q And there was no effort, to the best of your knowledge, on the part of Madison-Kipp to follow</li> </ul>	15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>correctly?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Okay. And it states that at this time the factual basis for Madison-Kipp's claim that U. S. Fire did not suffer prejudice is that Madison-Kipp provided notice to U. S. Fire on August 1, 2003 and U. S. Fire never responded to that notice. You have told me everything that you know about that so far?</li> </ul>
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>foundation, object to the extent it calls for attorney-client privileged information. To the extent you can answer without disclosing privileged information, you can do so. THE WITNESS: My understanding is the insurance company did not respond and, therefore, we did not forward.</li> <li>BY MR. COHEN:</li> <li>Q And there was no effort, to the best of your</li> </ul>	15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>correctly?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Okay. And it states that at this time the factual basis for Madison-Kipp's claim that U. S. Fire did not suffer prejudice is that Madison-Kipp provided notice to U. S. Fire on August 1, 2003 and U. S. Fire never responded to that notice. You have told me everything that</li> </ul>

## Case: 3:11-cv-00724-bbc Document #: 237 Filed: 04/10/13 Page 34 of 53

Kathleen McHugh and Deanna Schneider vs. Madison-Kipp4/8/13

Deposition of Mark D. Daniel

	Page 130		Page 131
1	cannot claim prejudice as a result of what	1	MR. COHEN: Go ahead.
2	happened at the site from August 1, 2003 through	2	THE WITNESS: Yes.
3	July 25, 2011." You told me everything you know	3	BY MR. COHEN:
4	about that, correct?	4	Q What does that mean?
5	MR. SEESE: Object to the form. Go	5	A It means the 500,000 had not been used in claims
6	ahead and answer.	6	under that policy year.
7	THE WITNESS: Yes.	7	Q Okay. And as of August 1, 2003, was there any
8	BY MR. COHEN:	8	indication that Strike that.
9	Q Then it says, "In addition, when Madison-Kipp	9	Had the \$500,000 primary policies
10	first received notice of the DNR's claim in 1994,	10	underlying the U. S. Fire policies been exhausted
11	there was no insurance coverage for such claims."	11	at that time?
12	I'm just going to stop there. I realize the	12	MR. SEESE: Objection, beyond the scope.
13		13	You can answer.
14	sentence continues. You have told me everything	14	
	that you know about that, correct?	15	THE WITNESS: Not to my knowledge. BY MR. COHEN:
15	MR. SEESE: Object to form. You can		
16	answer.	16	Q As we sit here today, do you know whether the
17	THE WITNESS: Yes.	17	\$500,000 primary policies underlying the U. S.
18	BY MR. COHEN:	18	Fire policies were exhausted?
19	Q And then it goes on to say, "And the \$500,000	19	MR. SEESE: Objection, beyond the scope.
20	primary policies underlying the U. S. Fire	20	You can answer.
21	policies were not exhausted." Do you see that?	21	THE WITNESS: I don't know.
22	A Yes.	22	BY MR. COHEN:
23	Q Do you know what that means?	23	Q All right. Then it says, "Thus, there's no
24	A I don't	24	indication that U. S. Fire would have done
25	MR. SEESE: Same objection.	25	anything other than deny Madison-Kipp's claim had
	Page 132		Page 133
1	Madison-Kipp given notice to U.S. Fire in 1994."	1	Q And did you notice in the binder that there were
2	Did I read that right?	2	some acknowledgments and responses by U.S. Fire
3	A Yes.	3	to the notices later in time after the July 25th,
4	Q And have you told me everything you know about	4	2011 letter?
5	that?	5	MR. SEESE: Object to form. You can
6	MR. SEESE: Object to form. You can	6	answer.
7	answer.	7	THE WITNESS: If we refer to Tab No. 9
8	THE WITNESS: Yes.	8	
9	BY MR. COHEN:	9	MR. SEESE: He's talking about responses
10	Q And I think you answered this, but just so I'm	10	to Exhibit 11.
11	clear, as you sit here today as the corporate	11	THE WITNESS: I will check No. 11.
12	designee for Madison-Kipp, you are unaware of	12	MR. COHEN: You mean Tab 11?
13	whether the U. S. Fire policies are primary or	13	MR. SEESE: Yes.
14	excess policies, is that fair?	14	MR. COHEN: I think you were right the
	MR. SEESE: Objection, beyond the scope.	15	first time. It should be 9.
15			
15 16	• • •	16	MR. SEESE: Blame that one on counsel
16	You can answer.	16 17	MR. SEESE: Blame that one on counsel. THE WITNESS: I believe the first
16 17	You can answer. THE WITNESS: That is correct, I'm not	17	THE WITNESS: I believe the first
16 17 18	You can answer. THE WITNESS: That is correct, I'm not aware of what they are.	17 18	THE WITNESS: I believe the first response is July 19, 2011 in response to our
16 17 18 19	You can answer. THE WITNESS: That is correct, I'm not aware of what they are. BY MR. COHEN:	17 18 19	THE WITNESS: I believe the first response is July 19, 2011 in response to our August 1, 2003.
16 17 18 19 20	You can answer. THE WITNESS: That is correct, I'm not aware of what they are. BY MR. COHEN: Q And is it your understanding that any	17 18 19 20	THE WITNESS: I believe the first response is July 19, 2011 in response to our August 1, 2003. BY MR. COHEN:
16 17 18 19 20 21	You can answer. THE WITNESS: That is correct, I'm not aware of what they are. BY MR. COHEN: Q And is it your understanding that any communications involving notice or tender or	17 18 19 20 21	THE WITNESS: I believe the first response is July 19, 2011 in response to our August 1, 2003. BY MR. COHEN: Q And is there anything about U.S. Fire's position
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	You can answer. THE WITNESS: That is correct, I'm not aware of what they are. BY MR. COHEN: Q And is it your understanding that any communications involving notice or tender or updates regarding the site from Madison-Kipp to	17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul><li>THE WITNESS: I believe the first response is July 19, 2011 in response to our August 1, 2003.</li><li>BY MR. COHEN:</li><li>Q And is there anything about U.S. Fire's position in response to the tender that you can tell me</li></ul>
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>You can answer. THE WITNESS: That is correct, I'm not aware of what they are.</li> <li>BY MR. COHEN:</li> <li>Q And is it your understanding that any communications involving notice or tender or updates regarding the site from Madison-Kipp to U. S. Fire, and the site is the Waubesa site,</li> </ul>	17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul><li>THE WITNESS: I believe the first response is July 19, 2011 in response to our August 1, 2003.</li><li>BY MR. COHEN:</li><li>Q And is there anything about U.S. Fire's position in response to the tender that you can tell me that I can't read in the letter itself?</li></ul>
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	You can answer. THE WITNESS: That is correct, I'm not aware of what they are. BY MR. COHEN: Q And is it your understanding that any communications involving notice or tender or updates regarding the site from Madison-Kipp to	17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul><li>THE WITNESS: I believe the first response is July 19, 2011 in response to our August 1, 2003.</li><li>BY MR. COHEN:</li><li>Q And is there anything about U.S. Fire's position in response to the tender that you can tell me</li></ul>

#### 34 (Pages 130 to 133)

## Case: 3:11-cv-00724-bbc Document #: 237 Filed: 04/10/13 Page 35 of 53

Kathleen McHugh and Deanna Schneider vs. Madison-Kipp4/8/13

Deposition of Mark D. Daniel

			-
	Page 134		Page 135
1	information about U.S. Fire's response to the	1	contamination at or arising out of the
2	notice letter or updates other than what the	2	Madison-Kipp facility. Everything you know about
3	documents tell me?	3	that topic is contained in Exhibit 7 that we went
4	A That's correct.	4	through, correct?
5	Q All right. If you could, just to make sure I'm	5	MR. SEESE: Object to the form. You can
6	clear, if you look at Exhibit 1, please, the	6	answer.
7	notice or Exhibit 2, if that's more helpful to	7	THE WITNESS: Exhibit 7, Topic 10.
8	you. 2 has your notes, so it may be more helpful	8	BY MR. COHEN:
9	to you.	9	Q Topic 11. All communications Madison-Kipp had
10	MR. SEESE: I think 7 has his notes.	10	with United States Fire Insurance Company
11	MR. COHEN: I'm sorry. You can refer to	11	relating to environmental contamination at or
12	that.	12	arising out of the Madison-Kipp facility prior to
13	BY MR. COHEN:	13	July 25, 2011. Everything you know about that is
14	Q Starting with Topic 9, all notices or tenders to	14	contained within the binder, correct?
15	United States Fire Insurance Company of any	15	MR. SEESE: Object to form. You can
16	environmental contamination claims relating to or	16	answer.
17	arising out of the Madison-Kipp facility, all	17	THE WITNESS: Tab No. 11.
18	information that you are aware of is contained	18	BY MR. COHEN:
19	within the binder within tabs what?	19	Q And then Topic No. 26, all claims for general
20	A Tab No. 9.	20	liability coverage Madison-Kipp made or had
21	Q Topic 10. The factual basis for Madison-Kipp's	21	pending against United States Fire Insurance
22	claim, if any, that United States Fire Insurance	22	Company between 2002 and 2004. Everything you
23	Company did not suffer any prejudice due to	23	know about that topic is contained within the
24	Madison-Kipp's alleged failure to give timely	24	binder?
25	notice of a claim relating to environmental	25	MR. SEESE: Object to form. You can
	Page 136		Page 137
1	answer.	1	STATE OF WISCONSIN )
2	THE WITNESS: Tabs 9 and 11.	2	MILWAUKEE COUNTY )
3	MR. COHEN: That's all I have. Thank	3	I, KATHY A. HALMA, Registered
4	you.	4 5	Professional Reporter and Notary Public in and for the
5	MR. WEISS: No questions at this time.	6	State of Wisconsin, do hereby certify that the deposition of MARK D. DANIEL, was taken before me at
6	MR. HAYES: No questions here, either.	7	the law offices of Michael, Best & Friedrich, LLP, 100
7	(A discussion was had off the record.)	8	East Wisconsin Avenue, Milwaukee, Wisconsin, on the 8th
8	MR. SEESE: No questions from us,	9	day of April, 2013, commencing at 9:10 in the forenoon.
9	either.	10	That it was taken at the instance of the
10	(At 12:53 p.m. the deposition	11	Cross-Claim Defendants upon verbal interrogatories.
11	concluded.)	12	That said statement was taken to be used
12		13	in an action now pending in the UNITED STATES DISTRICT
13		14	COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN, in which
14		15	KATHLEEN MC HUGH, et al., are the Plaintiffs and
15		16 17	MADISON-KIPP, et al., are the Defendants and MADISON KIPP COPPOR ATION is the Cross Claimant and
16		17 18	MADISON-KIPP CORPORATION is the Cross-Claimant and CONTINENTAL CASUALTY COMPANY et al. are the
10 $17$		18	CONTINENTAL CASUALTY COMPANY, et al., are the Cross-Complainants and LUMBERMENS MUTUAL CASUALTY
18		20	COMPANY are the Third-Party Defendants.
		21	A P P E A R A N C E S
19		22	VARGA, BERGER, LEDSKY, HAYES & CASEY,
20			125 South Wacker Drive, Suite 1250, Chicago, Illinois,
21 22		23	60606-4473, by MR. MICHAEL HAYES, appeared on behalf of the Plaintiffe
23		24	the Plaintiffs.
		24	
			MICHAEL BEST & FRIEDRICH LLP Two
24 25		25	MICHAEL, BEST & FRIEDRICH, LLP, Two Riverwood Place, Suite 200, N19 W24133 Riverwood Drive,

### 35 (Pages 134 to 137)

Page 138           Watesha Watonis, 5318-174, by MR, EE M, SEESE           MARK, NATTANI, MORNX, appared to held of           Watesha Watonis, 5318-174, by MR, EE M, SEESE           MS, REDCOL, LROSS, appeared to held of the           Defendant Content Classify Company           MERSPRE, THENNY, PISHER & NCHOLS,           SC, SC, UL Las Kiboun Avenue, Dith Hoor, Milwakee,           Wecosin, 5320-6622, by MR, MCHAEL J, COHEN,           appeared to held of Classific States File Imanaes           Company, The State Sta			
and MR. NATHAN I. MORNCE, appared on balaf of Madidon Kopy Copynitor. TROUTMAN SAMPERS, 112, 55 West Monroe Street, Site 3000, Chicago, Hinos, 6060-573, by Defendant Continental Casualty Company. MEISINFR, TFRNPY, IFSHFR, & NCHOLS, S. K.C. 111 East Killsom Arenes. Din Hoor, Milwaukee, Wiscoms, 3520, 6622, by MR. MCHOLS, S. K.C. 111 East Killsom Arenes. Din Hoor, Milwaukee, University of the State File Insurance Company. The State State Street Insurance University of the University of the State Street Insurance University of the State Street Insurance University of the University of the State Street Insurance University of the State Street Insurance University of the University of the State Street Insurance University of the State Street Insurance University of the University of State Street Insurance University of Stat		Page 138	
and ME, NATHAN I, MORNCK, appared on heladi of Madidoo Kopy Copendia Street, Stati Statio, Chicago, Binola, 6062-573, hy Defendant Continental Casualty Company. MEISINER, TERNEY, FISHER & NICHOLS, S. K., C. HI East Killsom Arenes, Dirk Flord Milwarkee, Wisconsin, 3520-6622, by ME, MICHALL J, COHEN, appravato lo shalf of Unital Statis Fine Incommence Communication of Statistic Technication Statistics (Statistics) MONTEL & VEISS, appeared on behalf of the Defendants Lambermess and Amarican Monriss. That the Statistic of Statistics (Statistics) vas server to residy for enable, bis wolfs (Statistics) and the Statistics of Statistics (Statistics) and the Statistics of Statistics (Statistics) and the Statistics (Statistics) and Statistics (Statistics) and Statistics (Statistics) and Statistics (Statistics) and Statistics) and Statistics) and Statistics and Amarican Statistics (Statistics) and Statistics) and Statistics (Statistics) and Statistics) and Statistics) an	1	Waukesha Wisconsin 53188_1174 by MD LEE M SEESE	
<ul> <li>Malison-Kip Corporation.</li> <li>Street Suite 3000, Chicago, Illinois, 60002-5738, hy MS, RESCOL, L. NGS, Bipmerko of behalf of due Defandant Continuonal Causalty Company.</li> <li>MEISSNEE, TREENX, FISHER &amp; NCIOLS,</li> <li>S.C., 111 Fast Kihoum Avenue, 10h Floor, Milwankae, Wisconsin, 53020, 6622, MR, MICHAEL, I.C. OHEN, appeared on behalf of the Defarohubus Lambermens and American Motorists.</li> <li>That said doponent, before examination, was sworn to issuity for enrich, the value furth, and on the said doponent, before examination, was sworn to issuity for enrich, the value furth, and the motor of said by fore rink, the value furth, and the motor of said by fore rink, the value furth, and the motor of said by fore rink, the value furth, and the motor of said by fore rink, the value furth, and the motor of said by fore rink, the value furth, and the motor of said by fore rink, the value furth, and the motor of said by fore rink, the value furth, and the motor of said by fore rink, the value furth, and the motor of all disponent hosts then at said fire and place.</li> <li>Noray Philic in and for the State of Wisconsin</li> <li>Milowalkee, Wisconsin, 3</li> </ul>	1		
Street, Suite SR00, Chicago, Illinois, 60605-578b, by MS, REBEXCA L, KOSS, appeared on behalf of the Defendant Continential Casualty Company.  MESSINE, TURNEY, FISTER & NICHOLS,  S. C., 111 Fast fibrouri Avenue, 19th Floor, Milevankee, Wieconsin, 5320-6622, VJR, MICHARL, L. OCHER, appeared on behalf of United States File Insurance Company.  MESSIS LAW OFFICE, S.C., 1017 West Glein Ouls Law, Suite 207, Megana, Wiscomia, 120802, by MR, Hambermens and American Moorisis.  That said deponent, before examination, wesseron to testify the truth, and nothing but the truth christo is all canse. That the forging in a full, row and the full of the defendants Induced State State State State State State State To or the state of Wiscomsin  Notary Public in and place.  Dated this 9th day of April, 2012, Milwankee, Wiscomsin.  Dated this 9th day of April, 2013, Milwankee, Wiscomsin.		Madison-Kipp Corporation.	
<ul> <li>MS.REBECCA.L.ROSS.appeared on behalf of the Detendants</li> <li>MELSYNAR, TERRET, VISHER, &amp; NICHOLS,</li> <li>MELSYNAR, TERRET, VISHER, &amp; NICHOLS,</li> <li>MELSYNAR, TERRET, VISHER, &amp; NICHOLS,</li> <li>The second on behalf of Uniced States Fire Insurance Company.</li> <li>WEISS LAW OFFICE. S.C., 10/7 Vest Clen Codes Lame States Fire Insurance Lambermase and American Motorits.</li> <li>The said deposition. Is bring the Defendants</li> <li>Lambermase and American Motorits.</li> <li>The said deposition, as reflected by my origination the state of the behalf of the and phase.</li> <li>The said deposition is states fire and the and the Defendants.</li> <li>Correct erect of all the proceedings had in the matter or of the taking of state state state state state.</li> <li>The the foregoing is a full, three and phase.</li> <li>Orang Public in and for the State of Wiscomin.</li> <li>Dated this 9th day of April, 2013, Milwankee, Wiscomin.</li> </ul>	3		
Definition       MEISSNER, TIENNEY, FISHER & NUCIOLS,         SQ, 111       Lisa Kilboar, Avena, 1948 (Poor, Nilovalkee, Wisconsin, 5520):4022, by MR,         Wisconsin, 5520, 4622, by MR, MICHAEL, COHEN, or company,       Wisconsin, 5520, 4622, by MR, MICHAEL, COHEN, or company,         Wisconsin, 5520, 4622, by MR, MICHAEL, COHEN, or company,       Wisconsin, 5520, 4622, by MR, MICHAEL, COHEN, or company,         Wisconsin, 5520, 462, by MR, MICHAEL, COHEN, or company,       Wisconsin, 5520, 462, by MR, MICHAEL, COHEN, or company,         Wisconsin, Science, Microward, Stoppen, Wisconsin, Stoppen, and Cohen, Microward, Wisconsin, Stoppen, Microward, Microward, and Original machine shorthand notes taken at said time and place.       Microward, and the place of the Microward, and the matter of original machine shorthand notes taken at said time and place.         Nonary Public in and       for the State of Wisconsin       State of Wisconsin         Dated first Shorthand notes taken at said time and place.       Milwankee, Wisconsin       Milwankee, Wisconsin         Dated first Shorthand notes taken at said time and place.       Milwankee, Wisconsin       Milwankee, Wisconsin	1	Street, Suite 3000, Chicago, Illinois, 60603-5758, by	
MEISSNER, TIERNEY, EISHER & NICHOLS,         SC, 111 East Kilbourn Avenue, 100 Floor, Milwaukee,         migraemity, S202 - 622, WAR, MICHAEL C, COTEX,         migraemity, S202 - 622, WAR, MICHAEL C, COTEX,         migraemity, S202 - 622, WAR, MICHAEL C, COTEX,         MCMTER, TE, WEISS, Laporteria on babliar of thruses Gien         Date Lane, Suite 207, Megnon, Wisconsin, 53092, by WR.         MCMTER, WEISS, Laporteria on babliar of the Derendants         Lumbernons and American Motorists.         Then stid deposition, as reflected by my         ording but the mith rehorist basis.         That the foregoing is a full, tue and         ording but the mith rehorist basis.         That the foregoing is a full, tue and         phace.         Neary Publis in and         for the State of Wisconsin.         Dated this 9th day of April. 2013,         Milwaukee, Wisconsin.	4	Defendant Continental Casualty Company.	
<ul> <li>S.C., 111 East Kilbourn Avenue, 19th Floor, Milvaukee, Wisconsin, 5302-652, by MK. MCLLEL J. COIEN.</li> <li>WESKE AJY OFFICE, S.C., 1017 West Clen Company.</li> <li>WESKE AJY OFFICE, S.C., 1017 West Clen Monthle State AJY Northele Monthle Monthle Monthle State AJY Northele Monthle Monthle State AJY Northele Monthle Monthle State AJY Northele Monthle Monthle Monthle Million Monthle State AJY Northele Monthle Million Monthle Monthle Million Monthle Monthle Million Monthle Million</li></ul>	5		
Wiscosin, 53202-6622, by MR. MICHAEL, J. COHEN, Company. WEISS LAW OFFICE, S.C., 1017 West Glen Odds, Lanc, Suite 207, Mequon, Niscosin, 33022, by MR. MONTE: B. WEISS, separad on belaid of the Defendauss Lambermean and American Monoists. Inst said deponent, before examination, we sworm to usify the truth, the whole truth, and in onbing bar the turth relative to said cause. That the foregoing is a full, true and correct crooff of all the proceedings had in the matter of the taking of said deposition, as reflected by my original machine shorthand notes taken at said time and place. Notary Public in and for the Saue of Wacomain. Nature, Wisconsin. Nature, Wisconsin.			
appeared on belaif of United States Fire Insurance Company.         WH3SS LAW OFFICE, S.C., 1017 West Glen Oaks Law, Suite 207, Negato, Wisconsis, 3992, by MR.         MONTH F. WH3S, appeared on belaif of the Defendants Lunberness and American Motorisis.         That said deponent, boftwa examination, was sorem benity for earling is a full, rune and correct record of all the proceedings is fail, rune and or of the tubing of aid deponent, boftwa examination.         That the Groups is a full, rune and or offen tubing of aid the proceedings is fail in the matter original machine shorthand notes taken at said time and place.         Notary Public in and for the State of Wisconsin.         Dated this 9th day of April, 2013,         Milwoukkee, Wisconsin.	6	S.C., 111 East Kilbourn Avenue, 19th Floor, Milwaukee, Wisconsin, 53202, 6622, by MP, MICHAEL L COHEN	
Company.       WEISS LAW OFFICE, S.C., 1017 West Clen Ooks Law, Skiite 207, Mequon, Wisconsin, 5392, by MR.         MONTE: R. WEISS, quered on health of the Defendants Laubermees and American Motorists.         Image: Data staid doposition, and staid the outper data state in the state of whole truth, and motority in the truth development. Brow examination.         Weiss Law Colly the truth, the whole runth, and motority in the truth development. Brow examination.         That staid doposition, as reflected by my original machine shorthand notes taken at staid time and place.         Image: Data of Wisconsin.         Date of this Shorthand notes taken at staid time and place.         Image: Data of Wisconsin.         Date of this Short of Wisconsin.         Image: Data of Wisconsin.	7		
Oaks Lane, Suite 207, Mequon, Wiscousin, 35092, by MR.         9       MONTE E. WEISS, appreed on behalf of the Defendants Lumberness and American Motorists.         11       That said deponent, before examination, was soom to testify the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth relative to said cause.         12       Washing but the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth relative to said cause.         13       That the foregoing is a full, two and errors trecord of all the proceedings had in the matter of the taking of said deponent.         19       Dec.         10       That the foregoing is a full, two and proce.         10       That the foregoing is a full, two and the state of Wisconsin         12       Default share of Wisconsin         13       Default share of Wisconsin         14       That best of Wisconsin         15       for the State of Wisconsin         16       the State of Wisconsin.         22       Dated this 9th day of April. 2013,         23       Dated this 9th day of April. 2013,         24       Mitwaukee, Wisconsin.         25       State of Wisconsin.		Company.	
9       MONTE E. WEISS, appeared on behalf of the Defendants         10       That said deponent, before examination,         12       was sourm to estify the truth, the whole truth, and         13       nothing but the truth relative to said cause.         14       That the foregoing is a full, true and         15       correct record of all the proceedings had in the matter         16       or digital machine shorthand notes taken at said time and         17       place.         18       For the State of Wisconsin         19       Dated this 9th day of April, 2013,         10       Milwankee, Wisconsin.	8		
Lumberness and American Motoriss.  In That said deponent, before examination, was sown to testify the truth, he whole truth, and contrast record of all the proceedings is a full, true and correct record of all the proceedings had in the matter of the taking of said deponent, before and proceedings is a full, true and proce. Notary Public in and to free State of Wisconsin Contrast of the state of said contrast.  Notary Public in and to free State of Wisconsin Contrast of the state of said contrast.  Milwaukee, Wisconsin	٩	Oaks Lane, Suite 207, Mequon, Wisconsin, 53092, by MR. MONTE E WEISS, appeared on behalf of the Defendants	
10       That said deponent, before examination,         12       nothing but the truth, the whole truth, and         13       nothing but the truth relative to said cause.         14       That the foregoing is a full, true and         15       orrest record of all the proceedings had in the matter         16       orrest record of all the proceedings had in the matter         16       orrest record of all the proceedings had in the matter         17       organit machine shorts taken at said time and         18       place.         19       Notary Public in and         16       for the State of Wisconsin         20       Application of April, 2013,         21       Milwaukee, Wisconsin.		Lumbermens and American Motorists.	
12       was swom to testify the truth, the whole truth, and         13       nothing but the truth relative to said cause.         14       That the foregoing is a full, true and         15       correct record of all the proceedings had in the matter         16       official machine shorthand notes taken at said time and         18       place.         19       Notary Public in and         20       The State of Wisconsin         223       Dated this 9th day of April. 2013.         24       Milvaukee, Wisconsin.         25       State of Wisconsin.			
13       nothing but the truth relative to said cause.         14       That the foregoing is a full, three and         15       correct record of all the proceedings had in the matter         16       of the taking of said deposition, as reflected by my         17       original machine shorthand notes taken at said time and         18       place.         19       for the State of Wisconsin         21       Okary Public in and         22       Dated this 9th day of April, 2013.         23       Dated this 9th day of April, 2013.         24       Milwaukee, Wisconsin.		That said deponent, before examination,	
14       That the foregoing is a full, true and         15       correct record of all the proceedings had in the matter         16       original machine shorthand notes taken at said time and         18       place.         19       Notary Public in and         20       Notary Public in and         21       for the State of Wisconsin         223       Dated this 9th day of April. 2013.         24       Milwaukee, Wisconsin.		was sworn to testify the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth relative to said cause	
15       correct record of all the proceedings had in the matter         16       of the taking of said deposition, as reliced by my         17       original machine shorthand notes taken at said time and         18       place.         29       Notary Public in and         21       for the State of Wisconsin         22       Dated this 9th day of April, 2013,         23       Dated this 9th day of April, 2013,         24       Milwaukee, Wisconsin.         25       State of Wisconsin		That the foregoing is a full, true and	
17       original machine shorthand notes taken at said time and place.         19       place.         10       Notary Public in and torthe State of Wisconsin         22       Dated this 9th day of April, 2013,         23       Dated this 9th visconsin.         25       State of Wisconsin.		correct record of all the proceedings had in the matter	
13       plače.         20       Notavy Public in and         21       for the State of Wisconsin         223       Dated this 9th day of April, 2013,         24       Milwaukce, Wisconsin.         25			
190       Notary Public in and         211       for the State of Wisconsin         222       Dated this 9th day of April, 2013,         23       Milwaukee, Wisconsin.			
21 for the State of Wisconsin 22 Dated this 9th day of April, 2013, 23 Milwaukee, Wisconsin. 25	19		
23       Dated this 9th day of April, 2013,         24       Milwaukee, Wisconsin.			
23 Dated this 9th day of April, 2013, 34 Milwaukee, Wisconsin. 25		for the State of Wisconsin	
24       Milwaukee, Wisconsin.'         25		Dated this 9th day of April, 2013,	
	25		
			36 (Page 138)

Deposition of Mark D. Daniel

Page 139

				Page 155
A	agreement 30:22	28:15,25 29:17,25	114:18 115:13	assist 122:22 123:1
abc 1:9	31:6 41:2,6,8,11	30:8,20 31:8,11	answers 5:4 10:15	assisted 16:13
able 10:15 17:15	41:11 74:17 75:15	31:20 32:21 33:13	61:22	associated 78:10
19:19 20:9,16	75:18,23 76:8,15	33:25 34:8,13	apart 85:16	<b>assume</b> 5:23 26:3
,	76:20,23,25 77:2	35:11 36:8,14	apologize 76:12	43:12 63:24
21:15,16 23:7,8	77:6,11 85:11,20	37:4,17,23 38:6	appear 81:22 83:9	assumes 95:4,4
34:14 62:17 124:7	86:3 100:8	38:14 39:10,19	122:20 124:19	101:16 124:5
accept 47:9,15 78:9	agreements 66:4	40:7 41:4,14,16	appeared 2:12,15	assuming 83:1
78:21 125:9	74:22	42:7,18 43:17	2:17,20,22 137:23	<b>attached</b> 3:23,24
accepts 78:14	ahead 52:20 83:21	44:4 46:18 48:2	138:1,4,7,9	82:18,24 83:1
accounting 12:14	101:9 109:23	49:2,19 50:16,22	<b>appearing</b> 6:1	84:19 104:13
accounts 7:25	126:11 130:6	51:6,24 52:20	appears 81:18	attaches 75:15
accumulation 85:9	120.11 150.0	53:7,13 54:1,10	106:9	attempt 10:19
acknowledgments	<b>al</b> 137:15,16,18	54:20 55:12 56:5	appropriate 31:8	11:17,24 12:17
133:2	alleged 15:13			13:7,23 14:3,23
act 78:15	0	56:14 58:1 59:4,9	<b>approval</b> 48:19,23 128:1	, , ,
acted 94:2,16 95:24	129:11 134:24	59:14,15,18,19,24		15:19 43:2 75:7
97:9,24	<b>allegedly</b> 13:17	60:9,11,13,15,19	approve 7:19	attempting 11:9
acting 94:25	28:4,12,21 29:6	62:4,17 65:7,18	approximately	71:12
action 68:4 85:16	29:14 30:14 31:17	66:2 67:16 68:20	8:19,21 41:21	<b>attorney</b> 3:21,24
96:24 137:13	38:10 43:13 54:7	69:1 70:8,20 71:4	50:5 110:6 122:1	8:5
actions 40:23 49:5	allow 21:25	73:3,13,14 74:6	april 1:23 74:12	attorneyclient
49:10 65:25	amber 63:8,9,13,25	75:1 76:10 78:25	76:12,19 87:12	14:19 25:20 26:11
100:23	64:20 65:16 66:5	84:13 86:7,16	137:9 138:23	37:3 39:18 50:15
active 63:9	66:10 67:7 116:2	88:1,12,14,16	archive 16:2 18:23	50:17 51:7 58:25
activities 78:17	116:5	89:17,22 90:7	archived 118:6	59:1,3,13 60:8
85:10	<b>amended</b> 3:6,8,18	91:1,16,23 92:8,8	<b>area</b> 13:16 15:12	61:20,23,25 65:3
activity 63:11	6:5 109:11	93:1,10 94:7,10	18:23 72:7,8	68:21 69:5 88:13
actual 11:1	<b>american</b> 2:6,23	95:6,15 96:4,12	99:25	90:6 94:8 98:3,4
addition 57:12	15:1,10,23 17:8	96:20 97:18 98:5	areas 18:17 19:20	107:6 112:14
130:9	71:15 73:19 77:3	98:17 99:3 100:24	26:9 30:22	119:3 128:15
additional 133:25	117:17 138:9	101:9,17,25	argumentative	<b>august</b> 38:25 39:2,6
address 23:8	<b>amico</b> 77:7 85:14	102:12 103:8	67:16	39:16,22 40:2,20
addressing 32:23	<b>amount</b> 43:7	106:8 107:7 108:5	arising 10:24 12:4	42:6 43:20 44:14
38:21 58:11 92:14	110:12	108:10,16 109:24	12:21 13:11,17	45:8,12,17,24
administrative	amounts 12:8	112:15 115:14,21	14:1 129:13	46:1,3,8,21 48:6
65:23	73:21	118:4,19 119:5,6	134:17 135:1,12	49:15 50:12 51:3
administrator 75:6	analyze 47:9 125:9	119:11 120:2,16	<b>art</b> 65:6	51:11 52:21 54:24
affirmative 97:12	<b>annual</b> 73:22	120:18 121:3,13	<b>asked</b> 6:16 9:3 64:6	55:9,17,22 56:2
agency 72:19	<b>answer</b> 5:8,14,23	121:18 123:17	67:6 77:18 88:4	57:7,11 62:12,22
<b>agenda</b> 79:21	11:6 13:4,20	124:6 125:16	100:9 108:9	65:22 66:20 67:9
agent 72:18	14:18 15:16 18:21	126:11 127:7,21	114:18 119:9	68:3 69:17,21,23
aggregate 29:4	19:2,19,21 20:9	128:6,16 130:6,16	122:13 123:14	70:17 71:7 77:13
73:22	20:16 21:15,16	131:13,20 132:7	126:4	77:16 84:8,10
<b>ago</b> 8:19,23 96:6	22:20 23:6,19	132:16 133:6	asking 6:18 31:9	87:2,16 88:7,21
agree 26:12	24:1,7,25 25:7,19	135:6,16 136:1	32:24 43:25 44:9	89:1,2 91:8,20
agreed 77:7 80:17	25:25 26:10,20	answered 132:10	45:3 75:6	94:15 95:2,11
agreeu //:/ 80:1/	27:1,5,12,25 28:7	answering 69:4	asks 21:19 117:14	99:12,19 100:9,15
	2,,0,12,20 20.7			,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,

Deposition of Mark D. Daniel

Page 140

<b></b>				Idge In
100:18,25 101:3	61:15 64:18 129:8	106:7,22 107:5	60:8 62:14 65:3,6	caution 37:2 94:7
111:4 123:5,8	129:18 134:21	108:4 111:14	68:19 74:5 88:12	ccc00771 3:17
126:8 127:2 128:3	beginning 73:17	118:3,18 119:1	89:18 91:2,11	certain 9:19 51:21
128:11 129:21	74:3 105:8,9	127:4,20 131:12	92:7 98:2,2,3	85:10
130:2 131:7	<b>begins</b> 105:9	131:19 132:15	107:5,6,9 112:14	certificate 104:25
133:19	<b>behalf</b> 1:4 2:12,15	beyrent 40:3 89:3	119:2,3,4 121:2	105:12
author 10:10	2:17,20,22 6:1	124:11	124:5 127:4	certify 137:5
available 79:17	137:23 138:1,4,7	<b>bi</b> 83:25 84:1	128:14	cgl 47:10 51:14
avenue 2:19 137:8	138:9	<b>big</b> 9:13	<b>cant</b> 73:14 133:23	52:10 125:10
138:6	<b>believe</b> 6:17 18:3	<b>bill</b> 62:11,12	caption 1:20	chance 48:12
aware 23:20 24:10	26:2 32:15 39:1	<b>bills</b> 46:15 55:21	capture 71:12	<b>change</b> 40:7,10
24:17 25:1,22	41:23 44:25 46:1	91:7 96:8	cards 83:3,8,12,23	changed 39:23
26:17 27:2,8,20	59:20 63:7 77:21	<b>binder</b> 3:9 9:5,7,18	84:4	86:18 123:20
28:3,16,19 29:3	82:4,11 88:22	19:14 20:6,19	case 1:7	<b>cheat</b> 109:20
30:1,11,13 31:23	110:20 121:19	21:4,6 43:24 44:2	<b>cases</b> 81:14	<b>check</b> 133:11
32:3 35:21 36:2	133:17	51:13 63:15	casey 2:11 137:22	checked 63:23
36:10,15,17,21	<b>believes</b> 34:3 108:2	112:25 122:20	casualty 1:8,16,16	chicago 2:11,17
37:5,25 38:7,9,15	berger 2:11 137:22	124:21 132:24	2:2,2,5,18 3:11,12	137:22 138:3
42:14,19 43:11,18	best 2:13 11:22	133:1 134:19	3:15 10:21,22	<b>choice</b> 59:18,18
48:3,8,11,22 49:9	14:20 16:21 42:15	135:14,24	11:13,14,19,19	<b>choose</b> 59:5,15
49:14,15 50:25	60:24 69:18 76:13	<b>blame</b> 133:16	12:20 15:5,24	60:12
52:22 55:13,23	77:24 78:16 79:16	<b>blank</b> 105:16	21:11,21 22:8	choosing 59:7
64:8 66:12 78:12	81:13,15 88:5	<b>blue</b> 112:7	23:12,23 24:5,12	<b>christine</b> 40:3 89:3
88:17 92:2 93:22	96:16 100:13	<b>book</b> 7:24 18:6,10	24:20 25:13 26:5	<b>claim</b> 18:19 21:21
95:7,9,16,18 97:8	117:24 128:22	32:12 115:3	26:23 27:10,21	26:22 30:4 34:10
97:19 103:4,9,11	132:25 137:7,24	<b>bottom</b> 83:17	28:5,13,22 29:6	38:1,3 47:2 56:8
103:21 106:23	beyond 18:21,23	124:18	29:15,22 30:4,15	56:10 57:4,10,13
118:5 124:8	19:10,17 20:15	<b>boxes</b> 118:6	31:17,25 34:20	57:16 61:11 63:17
125:17,23 126:6	21:13 23:4,5,16	breadth 66:3 71:5	35:4,16 36:11	67:4,6,7,25 68:8
126:22 127:8,11	23:17 24:22,23	break 5:12,14,15	37:12,21 38:10,18	75:5 78:5 93:2
127:16,24 128:9	25:14,15 27:11,24	48:13 49:22 99:9	39:16 40:12,15	97:23 113:14
132:18 134:18	28:6,14 29:24	briefly 6:11 32:9	43:22 44:13 45:7	118:22 119:15,22
	30:7,17 31:19	brought 16:7	46:5,16 47:14,15	126:20 129:8,12
<u> </u>	32:2 33:12,21	building 18:18	47:24 48:6,10,20	129:18 130:1,10
<b>back</b> 24:16 39:2	34:4,12 35:9 36:5	<b>busch</b> 8:14 20:1	48:25 49:7,12,16	131:25 134:22,25
42:8,25 53:19	36:13 37:14 38:5	<b>business</b> 4:21 78:16	50:11,12 51:1,2	claimed 49:17 97:9
63:7 77:16,23	38:13 39:9 41:1	81:3	51:14,18,22 52:10	claims 10:23 13:11
79:21 85:3 87:3	43:16 49:1,18		54:23 56:1,2,10	14:15 15:21 21:11
99:17 104:2	52:18,21 53:6	<u> </u>	62:22 64:1,5 66:8	23:11 31:16,24
105:10 116:7	54:9 55:11 56:13	caldwell 108:18,21	67:11,20 68:13	35:15 38:19 40:3
<b>bad</b> 94:2,13,16,25	62:15 64:10 65:17	114:3,13,20,22	71:18 77:3 87:10	57:14 63:5 65:1
95:12,24 96:25	66:1 70:7,19 71:1	116:20 117:4	91:7,8 92:24,24	66:15 72:7 74:19
97:9,24	71:2 73:2,12 74:4	<b>call</b> 9:1	93:7,8,13,14 94:2	74:23 78:14 89:4
<b>based</b> 61:16 68:25	74:25 81:5,9 86:5	called 4:2	97:1,1,7,8,23,24	94:3,18 95:25
89:23	86:15 88:10 90:25	calling 90:6	117:18 137:18,19	96:25 104:9
basement 18:17	95:3 98:4 102:1	calls 39:18 50:14	138:4	117:15 120:11
<b>basis</b> 57:4 59:17	102:11,20 103:7	51:5 58:24 59:12	cause 138:13	123:23,24 124:3
	l			l

Deposition of Mark D. Daniel

Page 141

				Page 141
124:12,14 126:17	63:3 66:19 67:19	30:5,16 31:17,25	98:2 107:6,9	119:17,24 120:13
130:11 131:5	69:11 91:7 92:24	34:20 35:4,16	119:3 121:2 124:6	121:10 123:11
134:16 135:19	93:7,14 94:12,16	36:11 37:13,21	127:4	129:13 134:16
clarification	94:25 95:10,23	38:10,18 39:16	conditions 3:10,14	135:1,11
100:12	96:8 97:1,7,9,24	40:12,15 43:22	21:20 22:8 24:11	contend 94:1
clarify 81:24 98:18	123:16 125:8	44:13 45:7 46:5	24:19 30:13 33:10	continental 1:8,16
cleaned 65:19	<b>come</b> 81:10,14	46:16 47:1,8,14	33:23 34:6,20	2:2,18 3:12 10:8
cleaning 39:6	82:25	47:15 48:6,10,20	36:3,7 37:16	10:21 11:13,18
cleanup 78:17	<b>comes</b> 38:22	48:25 49:7,12,16	53:17,21 54:18	12:20 21:11,21
<b>clear</b> 5:19 82:13	<b>coming</b> 15:13	50:12 51:2,2,14	120:22 121:6	22:8 23:1,12,23
132:11 134:6	commencing 137:9	51:18,22 54:23	<b>condon</b> 106:13	24:5,12,20 25:13
clerical 16:17	communicate 46:4	56:1,2,10 62:22	107:16,20	26:5,23 27:9,21
clients 123:16	communication	64:1,5 66:8 67:11	<b>conduct</b> 16:11	28:5,13,22 29:6
126:5	14:8 46:9,10 51:8	67:20 69:14 71:15	conducted 16:14	29:14,22 30:4,15
<b>close</b> 105:15	61:25 68:22 89:20	71:18,24 77:3,4	conducting 78:16	31:17,25 32:13
<b>closed</b> 67:4,6	111:14 117:12	77:13 87:11 91:7	connection 85:10	38:18 39:15 40:12
<b>closely</b> 61:20	communications	91:8 92:24,24	<b>consent</b> 26:5 65:23	43:22 44:3,13
<b>cna</b> 39:3 45:12,15	12:19,24 13:24	93:7,8,14,14 94:2	consult 91:19	45:7 46:4,16
46:22	14:5,14,25 15:4,8	97:1,1,7,8,24,24	consultation	47:14,24 48:20
<b>cohen</b> 2:20 3:4	15:9 25:21 26:12	117:18,18 125:9	108:19 114:3	49:7 50:11 51:1
121:19,22 124:9	37:3 39:19,20	128:19,24 129:9	116:24	51:14,17,22 52:10
124:23 125:1,18	43:21 44:6,12	134:15,23 135:10	contacted 73:7	55:21 56:1,10
125:24 126:13,21	45:6,24 48:5	135:22 137:18,20	contain 18:19	57:5,6,7,9,15,17
126:23 127:10,23	50:15,18 51:1	138:4,7	33:18 83:12	61:10,12 62:11,22
128:8,21 129:3	59:2 60:9,10	companys 26:5	contained 9:4	63:3 64:1,5 66:8
130:8,18 131:1,3	61:23 65:4 71:14	68:13	12:13 16:1 17:15	66:10,16,17,19
131:15,22 132:9	88:14 89:18 90:7	compilation 3:17	20:6 29:5 36:24	67:11 68:4,8,13
132:19 133:12,14	94:9 99:13,20	comprehensive	37:20 109:18	69:11 91:7 92:23
133:20 134:11,13	100:19,25 117:7	73:18 74:2,2 80:7	116:15 117:22	93:7,13 94:2,12
135:8,18 136:3	124:1 126:15	concerning 3:10,14	132:24 134:18	94:16,24 95:10,23
138:6	127:24 132:21	14:5,8,14 15:1,5	135:3,14,23	96:8,25 97:7,9,23
<b>coleman</b> 64:24	135:9	22:7 25:3,11	contamination	110:19 123:16
74:15,18 86:9	<b>companies</b> 1:10 2:7	28:20 29:21 31:15	10:23 11:1,3,21	125:7 137:18
98:10 122:15,16	46:22 69:15 74:14	34:19 36:2,23	12:3,21 13:11,15	138:4
collected 21:4	80:4	43:22 44:14 45:9	13:17 14:1,6,10	continued 1:20
<b>columbia</b> 1:16 2:2	<b>company</b> 1:8,9,16	46:5 53:16,21	15:2,6,11,13	continues 130:13
3:11,15 10:22	1:16,17 2:2,2,6,6	55:15,20,24 71:15	38:19 42:12 43:9	controller 50:3,4
11:13,19 34:11,20	2:18,21 3:11,12	71:18 72:23 74:18	43:23 44:15,18,19	controls 124:17
35:4,16 36:11	3:15 10:22,22	74:23 76:23 89:13	44:20 45:9 46:6	controversial 7:5
37:12,21 38:3,9	11:13,14,19,19	90:2 92:3 98:22	52:16 63:4 69:13	conversation 8:13
40:6,15 47:15	12:20 13:10,25	98:23 99:14,20	71:16,19 89:14	conversations 20:2
48:6,10,25 49:12	15:1,5,23,24 17:9	100:19 102:7,15	90:3,17,21 92:4	68:11
49:16 50:11 51:2	21:11,21 22:8	102:22 107:1	92:21 94:4 98:24	<b>conway</b> 74:13
54:23 55:5,8,17	23:12,24 24:5,12	116:13 117:7,8,15	99:14,21 100:20	cooperate 77:7
55:22 56:2 57:5,7	24:20 25:13 26:23	concluded 136:11	108:13 114:9,16	cooperating 85:9
57:8,9,15,17	27:10,21 28:5,13	<b>conclusion</b> 62:15	115:19 116:9,19	<b>copies</b> 3:24,24 7:3
61:10,13 62:11	28:22 29:6,15,22	65:6 68:19 74:5	117:9 118:25	8:1 9:10,12 21:4
L				

Deposition of Mark D. Daniel

Page	142
LUGC	ㅗㅗ스

				Page 142
23:22 64:2,6	7:25 44:2 66:19	100:13 108:23	90:20 91:5,6,18	6:19,20 21:24
104:18	77:15 113:21	116:10	<b>decides</b> 30:24	23:6,18 24:24
copy 7:4 9:24 32:5	<b>cost</b> 118:23 119:16	crossclaim 1:18 2:3	<b>deciding</b> 64:19,22	25:17 26:9 30:22
34:24 53:10 54:13	119:22	4:3 97:6,14,16	<b>decision</b> 90:1,16,22	35:10 71:3 127:6
		· · ·		
58:4,8,12 76:7	<b>costs</b> 12:1,7,8,9	137:11	92:3 114:8,20	<b>designed</b> 14:12,13
105:2,11,17,22	41:18,25 42:11,16	crossclaimant 1:14	116:18 124:18	15:9
106:20 109:11	62:21 78:10 92:20	137:17	declarations 33:19	<b>designee</b> 121:24
110:2	92:23 93:6 115:17	crosscomplainants	105:1,13	122:3,8,23 123:2
<b>corporate</b> 31:1	120:12 121:8	137:19	<b>deem</b> 31:8	132:12
121:24 122:3,8,23	127:12,13,18,18	current 122:4,14	<b>defend</b> 78:9 94:18	destroyed 118:6
123:2 132:11	counsel 17:19 18:5	<b>cynthia</b> 66:23	95:1,24 125:21	detail 42:8
corporation 1:8,13	18:6,9,10,12	108:23	defendant 2:18	details 97:20
2:15 4:15 6:6 8:6	26:12 37:9 41:3	D	138:4	determine 11:17
12:15 16:3 71:25	57:25 58:1,19		defendants 1:11,18	119:9 120:24
85:13,18 137:17	59:8 64:12 74:13	damage 73:23	2:3,8,22 4:3	121:8
138:2	81:12 89:24 90:13	<b>daniel</b> 1:22 3:2 4:2	137:11,16,20	<b>developed</b> 47:21,25
<b>correct</b> 5:5 6:2,3,21	112:11 133:16	4:8,10 7:9 9:18	138:9	101:6,13 126:1
9:19 11:4 13:2,5	counsels 59:21	21:2 22:12,17	<b>defense</b> 12:1 41:18	<b>didnt</b> 39:14,14
13:21 15:17 17:24	<b>county</b> 137:2	32:9 34:24 49:25	41:20,22 42:1,5	50:10 62:7 84:8
21:8,9 22:2 28:10	<b>couple</b> 48:14 63:22	58:17 84:22 99:12	43:7,13 46:13	86:12,21 95:1
31:11,12 39:8	112:9	99:18 109:17	47:2,9,15 68:14	108:10 122:15,21
40:4,8,9 43:14	<b>course</b> 81:3	121:23 137:6	78:13,21 89:8,9	different 8:14,15
44:3 46:7,11	<b>court</b> 1:1 3:23 5:2	dark 112:7	94:15 110:10	40:5 103:25
47:17 48:10 50:9	22:5 24:17 30:24	date 10:8 41:24	125:10 127:13,18	110:20 112:2
51:15,16 55:7	30:24 32:4 34:17	45:21 69:20 77:25	defenses 97:12	difficulty 78:2
58:3,21 61:14	45:3 53:20 58:13	106:15 114:12	defined 44:6 120:4	disagreement 41:8
63:15,16,19 67:4	58:13 99:18	123:8 125:2	120:6,17	41:10
67:5,8 68:2,6,10	103:21 109:10	dated 39:22 45:12	definition 72:9	disbursements
70:3 71:25 72:1	119:20 121:19	71:7 76:18 77:13	demand 47:13	112:24
72:24 73:9 75:8,9	127:16 137:14	80:23 84:17 89:3	demanded 78:22	disclose 14:19
78:4 79:8 81:1	cover 61:16 83:9	99:24 138:23	89:7,9	25:20 37:2 50:17
82:20 83:13 84:1	coverage 15:21	dates 10:1,3,4 12:7	demands 47:5	51:7 59:1 61:22
89:11 94:22,23	32:18 43:12 57:14	55:2 83:8 84:9	deny 57:16 61:11	94:8
95:19,21 96:18	66:9 79:18 85:15	114:2	131:25	disclosing 39:20
97:5 98:12 99:8	86:13,21 87:13,22	dave 108:22	deponent 138:11	59:3 60:10 69:4
101:2 107:17,20	88:6 107:1 115:17	<b>david</b> 39:4 45:12	deposition 1:21 3:6	88:15 90:8 92:9
109:1 111:11	117:16 118:1,23	45:15,18 100:13	3:18 4:25 5:6 6:5	128:16
113:7,15,17 114:4	119:16,22 120:11	day 137:9 138:23	7:21 9:22 10:20	disclosure 26:11
115:9 116:11,12	120:25 121:8	days 112:9	21:5,14 23:4,16	59:12 68:21 69:5
122:6,12,23,24	123:24 124:2	deanna 1:4	24:22 25:15 26:8	88:13 91:2
123:4,9,21 126:21	130:11 135:20	decade 118:14	41:7 56:22 71:2	<b>discovered</b> 104:13
128:25 129:2	coverages 7:24	december 79:3,9	88:11 109:12,21	<b>discuss</b> 49:5,10
130:4,14 132:17	covered 120:7	94:19 96:1,10,17	117:6 136:10	55:25 79:18
130:4,14 132:17	covering 52:7	98:14,25 99:7	137:6 138:16	<b>discussion</b> 25:10
135:4,14 138:15	crass 8:14 20:1	106:13,16	describes 74:17	27:7 56:20 136:7
correctly 129:15	39:4 45:12,15,18	<b>decide</b> 86:12,21	describing 21:7	discussions 27:13
		······································	1 ucochionie 21.7	UISCUSSIVIIS 27.13
correspondence	66:20,21 77:14	decided 67:3 90:18	designated 6:4,13	27:16,20 28:17

Deposition of Mark D. Daniel

Page 143

drafting 7:16 drive 2:11,14 137:22,25	116:8,18 117:9 118:24 121:10 123:11,23,24	122:20 129:5,7 133:10 134:6,7	<b>fact</b> 96:16 <b>facts</b> 95:4 101:16
<b>drive</b> 2:11,14 137:22,25	118:24 121:10	133:10 134:6,7	
137:22,25		,	
		135:3,7	124:5
due 129:10 134:23	129:12 134:16,25	<b>exhibited</b> 94:13	<b>factual</b> 57:4 129:8
	,		129:18 134:21
v			fail 96:8
	-		failed 72:4
		-	failing 68:25 94:17
•		-	95:24
			<b>failure</b> 56:8 94:13
		,	95:11 129:11
E		-	134:24
earlier 44:6 86:9			fair 33:8 44:21
98:7 100:8 123:3			92:17 122:19
123:15 125:7			126:24 132:14
earliest 81:19			fairly 104:4
east 2:19 137:8	138:11		faith 94:2,13,17
138:6	examined 4:4	-	95:1,12,24 96:25
effective 81:21			97:10,25
87:11	-		fall 4:19,22
effectively 109:20			far 129:23
effort 128:22			february 85:4
either 136:6,9	,		107:13
electronically 3:25		, ,	feels 120:7
employed 4:11			filed 97:6 100:21
72:18	U	F	files 16:2,6 18:19
employee 20:2		f1m008598 70:2	64:14 81:1,8,11
30:10	28:20 36:24	facility 10:24 12:4	81:13,15
employees 122:5,11	execute 76:15	12:22,25 13:2,12	filing 39:24 111:23
122:14	exhausted 130:21	13:15,18 14:2,7	<b>finance</b> 4:14,17
enclosed 7:23	131:10,18	14:10 15:3,7,12	50:7,8
enclosing 76:19	exhaustive 72:3	15:14 17:3 38:20	financial 4:18
enter 21:5	exhibit 3:24 6:8,10	39:4,7 40:16	42:25
entered 76:22	6:23 7:7,9,17	42:13 43:10,23	find 17:4 19:14
entering 65:23	20:19,25 21:3	44:15,19 45:10	20:5 42:24 43:4
	22:6,10,16,17	46:6 52:17 63:4	62:6 75:7 98:21
	32:5,7,9 33:9 34:3	, ,	105:8 123:7
environmental	34:18,22,24 35:17		<b>finding</b> 78:2
,	35:23 36:4,12,25	89:5,15 90:4	fine 26:15 92:17
12:3,21 13:10	38:12,24,25 51:13	92:22 94:5 98:25	fire 1:9,17 2:20
	58:14,15 60:6	99:15,21 104:10	13:9,25 69:14
	84:17,20,23 87:3	, ·	88:20,25 89:8,10
63:5 65:9,12	94:12,21 107:23	115:19 116:9,19	123:6,12,18
		117:10 118:25	124:13 125:13,20
71:16,18 75:5	108:1 109:11,15		124.15 125.15,20
78:13 90:3,17	108:1 109:11,15 109:18 112:1	119:18,24 120:14	124.13 123.13,20
-	,		,
	earlier 44:6 86:9 98:7 100:8 123:3 123:15 125:7 earliest 81:19 east 2:19 137:8 138:6 effective 81:21 87:11 effectively 109:20 effort 128:22 either 136:6,9 electronically 3:25 employee 20:2 30:10 employees 122:5,11 122:14 enclosed 7:23 enclosing 76:19 enter 21:5 entered 76:22 entering 65:23 entitled 22:7 34:19 107:23 environmental 10:23 11:2,20 12:3,21 13:10 14:1,6,9 15:2,6 38:19 44:19 63:3	Intices 4:16 47:9 78:14 125:9epa 65:25 68:8 78:18 85:10Intry 78:9 95:5 101:16 121:2 125:21estimate 43:7 et 137:15,16,18 event 25:5Eevent 25:5 evidence 6:23 95:4 95:5 101:16 124:5Past 2:19 137:8 138:6examination 4:6 6:12,21,25 121:21 138:11 examined 4:4 example 10:5 17:7 19:6 83:16 excess 26:22 27:9 27:22 28:4,12 29:5,13,22 52:9 52:13 70:5 132:14 exchange 77:8 exclude 122:15 exclusions 25:12 28:20 36:24 execute 76:15 exhibit 3:24 6:8,10 6:23 7:7,9,17 20:19,25 21:3 21:3,21 13:10 14:1,6,9 15:2,6 38:19 44:19 63:3	Inties 4:16 47:9       epa 65:25 68:8       exists 41:12         78:14 125:9       78:18 85:10       expect 85:14         101:16 121:2       et 137:15,16,18       expense 26:4 48:21         125:21       evidence 6:23 95:4       98:7 100:8 123:3         98:7 100:8 123:3       95:5 101:16 124:5       evidenced 95:12         98:7 100:8 123:3       96:25       extensive 16:2         98:7 100:8 123:4       examined 4:4       61:19 65:2 68:18         estate 2:19 137:8       138:11       59:12 60:8.9         138:6       examined 4:4       example 10:5 17:7       68:20 69:5 88:12         97:12 228:4,12       27:2 28:4,12       28:16       extra 9:10,12         extensize 12:5       exclude 122:15       exclude 122:15       exclude 122:15         employee 20:2       20:3 7:7,9,17<

Deposition of Mark D. Daniel

Page 144

				rage 111
129:20,21,25	84:12,14 85:22,23	81:13,15 100:13	19:9 21:25 23:2	hugh 137:15
130:20 131:10,18	86:14 87:17,19	137:7,24	27:14 30:19 31:9	human 16:16
131:24 132:1,13	90:25 91:10,21	front 9:5 20:7,20	33:21 53:25 56:19	
132:23 133:2	92:25 93:9 94:6	115:5	67:12 76:14 116:7	<u> </u>
134:15,22 135:10	95:14 96:11,19	ftp 3:25	130:12	id 6:22 20:18 34:7
135:21 138:7	97:11 98:1 99:2	full 4:8 5:7,8 78:7	gosh 94:25	48:12
fires 133:21 134:1	101:8,15,23 103:6	106:3 138:14	grounds 14:17	identical 125:6
<b>firm</b> 16:22	103:14 104:20	<b>further</b> 47:20,24	25:18 27:1 28:24	identified 35:22
<b>first</b> 4:4 8:18 9:14	105:4,24 106:6	50:10 68:4 77:15	29:16	identifies 22:25
10:5 17:7 18:12	107:4,8 108:15	80:12 82:10	group 87:6 91:13	51:13,17
38:17 52:6 57:12	109:2,23 112:13	100:23,24 101:5	grouped 52:10	identify 7:9 21:2
71:22 78:7 81:20	115:20 118:3	101:12 104:12	guys 9:13,14	identity 108:11
83:16 84:23 92:23	119:25 121:1	105:10 111:14	8	114:7,19 116:7,17
93:6 94:24 100:16	124:4 125:15	126:1 128:10	H	<b>ill</b> 37:16
105:16 123:10	126:10 127:3	furthermore 31:6	halma 1:25 137:3	<b>illinois</b> 2:11,17
125:12 130:10	128:5,13 130:5,15	82:3	hand 22:13 58:12	137:22 138:3
133:15,17	132:6 133:5 135:5		handled 74:19,24	illustrate 82:12
<b>fisher</b> 2:19 138:5	135:15,25	G	handwriting 58:8	<b>im</b> 4:14 5:23 6:20
<b>five</b> 112:23	formal 3:16 38:25	gather 10:19 11:9	109:18 112:5	17:6 18:20 19:9
flood 118:2,7,9	57:23,24	11:24 12:17 13:7	handwritten 3:18	19:11 21:16 23:2
floor 2:19 138:6	former 122:10,14	14:23 15:19,25	109:13 124:16,24	23:8,20 24:14
focus 4:20	forms 118:6	general 15:21	hanson 76:13	25:1 26:17 27:2
folders 19:5	forth 38:11 60:6	70:11 73:18 74:2	happened 57:10	28:16 29:10 30:1
follow 100:22	forward 128:20	74:13 80:8 101:21	65:15 130:2	30:10,19 32:3,24
101:19 111:5,8,10	forwarded 46:16	117:15 135:19	havent 73:7 76:6	34:15 36:15,21
111:16,25 128:23	127:12,17	generally 33:19	hayes 2:11,12	37:5 38:7,15,25
followed 77:15	found 7:25 18:1,12	getting 34:5 105:15	136:6 137:22,23	40:5 42:7,14,19
104:25	foundation 43:16	gilminder 16:18	<b>help</b> 16:7	43:11,18,25 44:9
following 73:21	55:11 70:19 71:1	<b>gina</b> 45:18	helpful 134:7,8	45:3,23,23 48:3,8
76:1 105:12,13	73:2,12 74:8	<b>give</b> 9:14 90:2,16	hes 20:23 21:23	48:11,22 49:9,14
follows 4:5 104:24	75:11 79:2 82:21	90:20 92:4 129:11	23:5 26:9 30:21	50:19 52:22 53:25
followup 79:11	83:7,20 84:12,14	134:24	35:10 71:3 104:8	55:13,23 56:19
foregoing 138:14	85:22,23 86:6,15	<b>given</b> 18:4,6,9,10	133:9	59:17 62:6 63:12
forenoon 137:9	87:17,19 91:10,22	39:5 57:17 61:12	<b>hey</b> 67:11	64:8 66:12 76:10
form 11:5 13:3,19	101:23 104:20	64:20 124:2 132:1	hideaway 10:9 63:8	76:11 77:25 78:2
15:15 20:8 32:19	105:4,24 106:6	<b>giving</b> 31:5	63:10 75:16 76:24	83:1 84:22 88:17
33:4,11,20 34:2	107:5,8 112:14	glen 2:21 138:8	77:9 78:5,11	93:11,22 95:7,16
40:17 42:17 43:15	127:4 128:14	<b>go</b> 42:25 51:18	highlight 10:3,11	97:15,19 98:21
46:17 48:1 49:18	<b>four</b> 10:12 17:21,25	52:20 63:7 75:14	10:13	99:16 100:11
51:4,23 52:19	35:3 57:1	83:21 84:7 85:3	highlighting 10:1,2	102:3 103:9,20
53:6 55:10 56:4	<b>fourteen</b> 92:18	101:9 109:23	20:20	105:7,15 106:23
56:12 59:10,23	fourth 39:1 54:3	112:23 126:11	hold 6:15 90:24	108:9,22 110:1
62:3 64:10 67:15	<b>frame</b> 32:23 67:24	130:5 131:1	holly 10:10 103:16	118:5,12 119:6
69:2 70:18,25	126:18	goes 42:8 66:15	homes 12:25	120:9 124:8
73:1,11 74:7	framing 32:22	82:3 130:19	hope 105:7	125:17,23 126:22
75:11 78:24 79:1	friday 9:1	goforward 64:18	hour 8:21	127:8 130:12
82:21 83:6,14,20	friedrich 2:13	going 5:23 18:20	hours 122:1	132:10,17 134:5
	1	1	1	·

Deposition of Mark D. Daniel

Page 14	45	45
---------	----	----

				Page 14
134:11	61.0 110.0 121.9	19.25 10.5 22	26.22 27.0 28.4 4	56:3 57:11 77:15
immediately 13:1	61:9 110:9 131:8 131:24	18:25 19:5,23 32:17 33:1 37:20	26:23 27:9 28:4,4 28:12,12,21,21	91:8,20 92:22
72:13 75:21 76:1	<b>individual</b> 16:17	39:3,23 45:13,15	29:5,6,14,14,22	93:23 99:12,19
76:5 78:9 104:23	18:19	46:22 57:14 64:16	30:5,14,15 31:17	100:10,12,18
		69:14,15 71:15,24	31:18,25 34:11,16	101:1,14 110:11
105:7,12 111:1,19 113:2	individually 1:4 information 4:19		, ,	· ·
		72:18 74:14 77:4 79:18 80:3,19	35:4,16 36:18	126:8 128:4,12,24
implement 65:24	9:3,4 11:9,12 12:12 14:19 29:12	,	38:10,10 49:17	130:3 133:3,18 135:13
implies 121:2		85:15 86:12 88:6	51:21 70:16,24	
important 5:6	43:6 47:20,25	100:14 104:25	80:7 83:4 88:6	<b>june</b> 10:8 63:14,18
improving 4:21	50:11,21 53:16,21	105:13 117:17,25	102:8,16,23	64:5,16 66:20
include 52:2 97:12	58:25 59:8,8,13	128:19,24 129:9	103:12,23 106:4	124:18 125:3
<b>included</b> 13:14	59:20 69:6 71:11	130:11 134:15,22	107:1 108:2	jurisdiction 4:23
16:15 19:20 44:2	77:8,8 80:18	135:10,21 138:7	115:15 117:17	justification 50:25
45:16 94:11	81:20 82:5 85:9	<b>insured</b> 47:4	119:14,20 120:9	<u> </u>
110:21	85:17 88:5,15	insureds 78:12	120:23 121:7	kathleen 1:4
<b>includes</b> 12:6,23	90:8,12 91:3	<b>insurer</b> 14:7,11	<b>issues</b> 4:22 60:1	137:15
51:21 83:25	101:5,13 110:23	108:14 114:10,16	65:9,12 79:18	<b>kathy</b> 1:25 137:3
including 66:15	116:13 117:7,14	114:23 116:10,20	85:15	<b>keep</b> 31:9
78:17 122:14	117:21 126:1,6	insurers 89:14	item 10:18,19 12:16	keep 31.9 kemper 36:17
incorporate 120:1	128:10,15,17	91:19 111:24	43:24 63:7,23	69:14,18,24 70:16
incorrect 72:25	134:1,18	124:1	items 9:21 35:12	70:23 71:23 72:2
73:10	initial 99:23	<b>intended</b> 49:6,11	J	70:23 71:23 72:2
incur 26:4 41:18	input 58:22 60:4,15	interrogatories	<b>jack</b> 72:14	74:22 75:7,10
incurred 12:2,8,9	60:18,22,25 61:3	137:11	<b>january</b> 35:6,6	76:6,13,23,25
41:20 42:1,6,11	61:6	interrogatory 41:3	75:14,22 79:9,10	70:0,13,23,23
42:16 43:8,14	<b>inquiring</b> 76:14	41:7	79:11,13,15,17,22	78:9,14,21 80:3,7
46:14 47:4 92:20	<b>instance</b> 137:10	interrupt 45:23	79:24 80:23 81:25	80:17 82:19 84:7
110:11 115:17	<b>instruct</b> 14:17	100:2	83:9,10 84:18,24	84:11 85:4,8,21
118:23 119:16,23	25:18 26:10 30:19	introductory 17:2	84:25 87:12	86:13,22 87:6,8
120:12 121:9	31:7 33:24 34:7	investigative 78:17	105:19,19 115:16	87:16,22 88:6
incurrence 128:2	36:8 37:16,22	<b>invoice</b> 8:1	119:15,21 120:10	99:14,20 100:13
incurring 48:20,23	41:4 50:16 54:1	<b>invoices</b> 48:9 62:21	120:24 121:7	100:19 101:3,5,21
indemnify 78:10	54:19 61:21 68:19	93:3,4 98:13,23	<b>jepscor</b> 67:25 68:5	102:8,16,23 103:5
indemnity 12:2	88:11 89:17 91:1	113:5,12 127:12	68:9 116:2,5	102.8,10,23 103.3
41:20,22 42:1,5	<b>instructed</b> 31:10	127:17	<b>joe</b> 108:18 114:3	103.12,17,23
43:8,13 46:13	59:9 92:7 121:18	<b>involved</b> 16:19,21	<b>john</b> 2:6 39:2 45:13	104.4,18,19 105.2
47:2,10,16 68:14	instructing 41:13	16:24 17:22 92:3	45:16 74:13	107:1,2,23 108:2
78:13,21 89:8,10	instruction 25:25	involvement 7:16	<b>johnson</b> 124:17	kempers 80:13
94:15 110:11	26:20 27:5 37:8	117:1	joined 65:12	82:14 83:3
125:10	41:16 50:22 59:11	<b>involving</b> 127:1	0	<b>kept</b> 81:3,7
indicate 82:7 84:5	59:22 62:8 69:1	132:21	judgment 78:16 july 12:10,22 14:2	keyes 79:15
95:23	89:23 90:13	isnt 64:3 67:25 83:5	43:20 44:14,16	keyes 79:15 kilbourn 2:19
• • • • • • • •		I V2+12 U6+17	43.20 44.14,10	KIIDUULII 2.17
indicated 64:1	instructions 31:4	83:13 96:17	15.8 10 14 25	138.6
indicates 72:2,10	<b>insurance</b> 1:9,9,17	<b>issue</b> 101:22	45:8,10,14,25	138:6 kind 16:4 53:4 54:7
<b>indicates</b> 72:2,10 73:17 79:16 82:10	<b>insurance</b> 1:9,9,17 2:6,7,20 4:22 7:24	issue 101:22 issued 15:23 21:11	46:3 47:23 48:7	kind 16:4 53:4 54:7
indicates 72:2,10	<b>insurance</b> 1:9,9,17	<b>issue</b> 101:22		

Deposition of Mark D. Daniel

Page 146

r				Page 140
<b>know</b> 7:10,14 16:9	102:22 103:2	80:25 81:16,25	103:11,22 105:3	29:7,12,15,19,23
17:11,18,20 18:14	107:10,11 108:6	82:11,18,25 83:2	105:23 106:5	30:4,6,10,15
19:8 20:14,17	108:17 109:3	84:7,11,24,25	locations 16:5	31:16,18,24 32:1
23:11 24:4 25:7	111:13 112:10	85:4,7 87:2,5,16	18:15	32:16,25 34:2,10
27:7,13,16 34:2	117:13,24 123:13	87:23,23 88:7	long 8:20 20:5 50:4	34:11 35:5,15
35:13,15 42:21,23	126:12 127:22	89:3,7 95:2,12	look 6:11 9:7 19:22	36:11,19 38:1,2,2
52:8,12,14,15	128:7,23 131:14	99:23 100:3 101:3	44:10,11 45:4,5	38:4,11,17,20
53:4,10 54:7,17	132:25 133:24	103:16 104:3,7,24	46:22 51:11 60:18	39:6,7,14,15
56:24 63:10 64:4	152.25 155.24	105:11 106:13	60:21 69:16 71:9	40:10,14,16,24
64:4,9 65:15 66:3	L	107:13,16,19	75:21 77:23 80:22	41:18 42:2,12
66:7,7 70:4,9,11	landfill 10:9 63:10	111:5,9,10,23	81:13 83:16 87:2	43:8,10,12,21,23
70:14 72:11 73:8	75:16 76:24 77:9	123:6,10 124:10	104:2 107:13	44:12,15 45:7,9
73:25 74:21 75:18	lane 2:22 138:8	125:5,7,20 128:11	109:8 110:3	46:4,14 47:14,23
82:22,25 84:15	large 104:5	133:4,23 134:2	122:21 129:7	48:5,9,19,23 49:5
85:24 86:2,4,10	largely 4:18	letters 3:17 79:8	134:6	49:6,10,11,15,17
87:15 88:2,4	larson 79:25 87:5	81:7 104:9 113:20	looked 10:25	49:25 50:10,24
90:10,15,19 91:5	law 2:21 16:22	liabilities 47:3	115:12 125:7	52:15 53:18,22
91:14,18 92:22	39:23 40:8,10	liability 15:21	looking 38:24,25	55:8,16,21,25
93:24 98:7,8	61:16 78:12	37:12 70:11 73:19	45:23 106:12	56:8,11 60:24
100:5 102:5	123:20 137:7	74:3 80:8 101:21	112:18 120:9	61:3,6,12 63:2,17
106:20 108:10	138:8	117:15 135:20	lost 118:1	64:6,23 65:13,22
111:2 114:22,25	lawrence 79:12	limited 12:7	lumbermens 2:5,23	65:24 66:8 67:3
115:1 118:2,14	lawyers 123:2	limits 31:23 37:11	15:5,10,24 71:17	67:10,13 68:3,7
123:25 125:2,12	126:7,16	83:25,25 84:1,2	73:20 75:24 76:25	68:12 69:12 70:16
125:19 129:23	ledsky 2:11 137:22	87:9 102:8	77:1,3,7 87:10	70:24 71:14,17,19
130:3,14,23	lee 2:14 8:5 104:3	line 19:10,17 23:3	117:8,18 137:19	71:24 73:18 74:1
131:16,21 132:4	108:23 138:1	35:8 54:3 63:23	138:9	74:22 75:7 76:14
135:2,13,23	legal 57:25 60:1	lines 10:12,14	150.9	76:22 77:2,6 78:8
knowledge 11:22	62:15 64:12 65:4	lining 76:2	Μ	78:10,15,22 80:9
14:21 22:19 27:14	65:5,6 68:19 74:5	lisa 79:15	<b>machine</b> 138:17	80:15 81:4,7
29:18,21 31:14	81:12 98:2 101:16	list 69:24 84:8	madison 46:2 66:7	82:16,19 83:4
39:11 40:13,21	107:6,9 119:2	113:16	72:6,8 78:3 99:25	84:8 85:8,13,18
42:15 46:9,12,19	121:2 124:5 127:4	listed 10:18 35:12	127:1	85:20 86:4,12,21
49:3,20 51:9	letter 10:5 17:8	35:17 36:3,12,25	madisonkipp 1:8	87:6,22 88:4 89:9
53:14 56:6 60:1	39:2,22 40:2,6,25	52:6 87:15 116:10	1:13 2:15 4:13,15	89:12,15 90:1,3
60:25 61:2,5,8	45:11,15,17,17	116:20	4:17 6:2,6 8:6	90:15,20 91:5,6
62:9,18,25 67:17	46:2,8,21,23,25	listing 55:5	10:24 12:2,4,5,14	91:18 92:2,4 94:1
67:22 68:16,23	51:11,13 52:22,24	lists 35:3 52:25	12:19,22,25 13:1	94:18,24 95:9,19
70:21 71:6 72:12	54:24 55:18 61:17	54:24 55:2	13:12,15,18,24	95:25 96:24 97:6
72:23 73:4,6 74:9	63:14 66:22 67:2	little 24:14 33:5	14:2,7,10,25 15:3	97:22 98:15,22
75:2,12,20 81:5,9	69:17,23,23 71:7	82:10 92:16	15:4,6,11,14,22	99:13,19 100:22
86:17,24 87:20	71:22,23 72:2,10	105:10	16:3 18:13,18	101:4,12,22 102:9
89:19 90:23 91:17	74:12,13,17 75:4	<b>llp</b> 2:13,16 137:7,24	20:3 21:10,12,22	102:17 103:4,11
91:24 92:10 96:13	75:4,14 76:6,13	138:3	23:1,11,24 24:13	103:22 104:12,18
96:16,21 97:22	76:18 77:13,23	lmc 85:14	24:20 25:11 26:2	105:3,23 106:5,20
98:14,21,23 102:2	78:7,23 79:6,11	locate 72:4	26:22,24 27:10,21	106:25 108:1,3,11
102:6,7,13,15,20	79:15,24 80:6,22	located 49:16 103:4	28:5,13,19,22	109:13 111:24

Deposition of Mark D. Daniel

Page 147

				rage II/
114:9,16 115:16	20:25 22:10,12,16	<b>mike</b> 22:14	nathan 2:15 138:1	129:22 130:10
115:18,19 116:9	32:7 34:22 58:15	million 87:12	<b>national</b> 71:23	132:1,21 133:1
116:19 117:8,9,16	84:20,22 109:15	milwaukee 1:23	74:14	134:2,7,25
118:1,16,22,24	marking 76:2	2:19 72:19 137:2	need 5:15 43:25	<b>noticed</b> 19:11 62:16
119:14,15,21,22	markings 9:24	137:8 138:6,24	needed 110:23	notices 10:21,25
120:7,10,11,12,23	marsari 45:18	mind 24:15	negotiated 27:23	13:9,14 110:19
120:7,10,11,12,23	<b>material</b> 18:23	mine 124:13	negotiation 24:4	124:1 127:9 133:3
121.9 122.3,3,9	materials 18:25	<b>minute</b> 48:16 52:24	negotiations 27:8	124.1127.9155.5
122.10120.7,10	123:23		31:15 35:21 78:18	november 17:7
	- · -	79:14 105:14		
128:9,23 129:14	matter 47:9,21,25	<b>minutes</b> 9:2 79:9	<b>neither</b> 57:4,7,9	66:9,23 67:2,10
129:20 130:9	88:18 101:6,13	misstates 42:18	<b>never</b> 33:8 55:21	68:7,12 77:23
132:1,12,22	125:9 126:2	96:2	116:25 129:21	78:1,23 103:16,19
134:17 135:2,9,12	138:15	<b>mistaken</b> 81:18	nichols 2:19 138:5	104:3,8,24 105:11
135:20 137:16,17	<b>matters</b> 6:12	<b>mixture</b> 42:10	<b>nonwaiver</b> 75:15	<b>number</b> 39:7 69:24
138:2	mc 137:15	<b>mkc</b> 57:6,12,17	75:18,23 76:7,15	87:15 105:18
madisonkipps 3:7	mcgrath 79:4	mkcs 57:4,16	76:20,23 85:11	107:19 121:17
7:15 24:10,18	mchugh 1:4	moenck 2:15 3:24	nope 35:25	numbers 54:25
25:4,11 29:3	mean 42:21 110:17	138:1	<b>nos</b> 83:18	83:12,22 84:9
32:17 33:1 61:11	111:7,13,21	moments 96:6	<b>notary</b> 137:4	0
62:10,20 73:25	112:21 113:11	money 91:19	138:20	
80:25 81:11 94:14	114:12 115:11	<b>monroe</b> 2:16 138:3	note 110:5 124:24	oaks 2:22 138:8
97:23 123:2 129:8	131:4 133:12	monte 2:22 138:9	noted 97:17	<b>object</b> 11:5 13:3,19
129:11,18 131:25	meaning 33:10	<b>months</b> 63:22	notes 3:19 104:12	14:16 15:15 18:20
134:21,24	means 111:2,8	<b>motion</b> 22:3 31:3	109:6,13,17 112:1	19:9 20:8,15
magical 124:21	113:12 130:23	33:23 34:7 97:20	124:16 134:8,10	21:13,23 23:2,15
maintain 41:15	131:5	motorists 2:6,23	138:17	24:21 25:14,17
maintenance 37:19	meet 8:15,20 17:1	15:1,10,23 17:8	<b>notice</b> 3:6,8,18 6:5	26:25 27:11,24
maloney 72:14,16	meeting 79:6,9,10	71:15 73:20 77:4	6:24 9:22 14:6,9	28:6,14,23 29:16
72:21,24 73:9	79:17,22 82:4	117:17 138:9	14:11,14 19:18	30:7,17 31:19
maloneys 73:16	112:6	multipage 22:6	21:14,14 23:4,17	32:19,19 33:4,6
management 40:4	meissner 2:19	34:18 84:17,23	25:15 26:8 38:17	33:11,12,20 34:4
45:19 124:14	138:5	<b>multiple</b> 72:6,7,11	39:1,5,15 40:11	34:12 35:8 36:5
manner 11:1 74:18	mequon 2:22 138:8	99:24	40:14 47:1 52:15	37:1,14 38:5,6
74:23 100:4	message 76:18	mutual 2:5 15:5,24	53:18,23 55:16	39:9,17 40:17
march 50:1 71:23	met 19:25	71:17 73:20 77:3	56:9 57:6,8,13,17	41:1 42:17 43:15
76:5 99:24	meunier 16:16	87:10 117:18	61:12 63:2,17,20	46:17 48:1 49:18
margaret 87:5	64:24 65:8,11	137:19	63:21 64:19 65:1	50:14 51:4,5,23
maria 79:4	86:9 98:11 108:19		65:4,5 69:12 71:2	52:19 53:6 55:10
mark 1:22 3:2 4:2	114:4 116:20,24	<u> </u>	87:9,23 88:7,20	56:4,12,13 58:24
4:10 16:16 20:24	meuniers 64:25	<b>n19</b> 2:14 137:25	88:25 89:13 90:2	59:10,23 60:7
22:6 32:5 34:18	michael 2:12,13,20	name 4:8 8:9 16:9	90:16,20 92:4	61:18 62:3,14
58:14 84:17	16:21 69:18 76:13	116:25	102:1 108:12,22	64:10 65:2 67:15
108:19 109:11	77:24 79:15 81:13	names 10:1,2,3	108:24 109:12	68:18 69:2 70:18
114:4 137:6	81:15 88:5 100:13	86:8 98:6 113:16	110:9 114:8,15,23	70:25 73:1,11
marked 6:8,10 7:7	137:7,23,24 138:6	113:19,22,24	116:8,14,18 117:6	74:4,7 75:11
12:13 13:8 14:4	midsummer 20:11	nancy 16:17	119:2 123:6,10,15	78:24 79:1 82:21
15:20 19:5 20:18	20:14	narrower 31:4	126:25 129:12,20	83:6,14,20 84:12
10.20 19.0 20.10		l	120.20 129.12,20	

Deposition of Mark D. Daniel

Page 148

				Page 140
84:14 85:22,23	obligation 26:4	34:7 36:8 53:25	payments 36:10,17	25:13 26:6,22
86:6,14 87:17,19	obligations 47:2	54:21 65:23	pd 83:25 84:2	27:9,22,22 28:4
87:25 88:9,10,11	78:22	ordered 3:24	pending 22:3 33:23	28:12,21 29:5,13
90:24,25 91:10	<b>obsolete</b> 43:1	ordinary 81:3	34:6 63:5 135:21	29:23 30:2,5,14
92:6,25 93:9 94:6	<b>obtain</b> 9:3 16:11	organization 4:18	137:13	31:16 33:18 34:10
95:13 96:2,11,19	<b>obviously</b> 121:16	110:20 113:25	penned 39:4	34:15,21 35:3,16
97:11 98:1,16	occasions 8:14,15	110:20 113:25	people 17:22,25	35:22 36:3,12,25
99:2 101:8,15,23	occur 118:9,16	original 3:21,23,23	108:24 114:6,7	37:13,21 38:3,9
101:24 102:11	occurred 118:14	7:13 76:19 138:17	116:7	47:11 49:17 51:15
101:24 102:11	126:15	originally 60:4	period 19:25 23:13	51:17,21 52:11
105:4,24 106:6	occurrence 25:5	outlined 10:20	35:5 42:16 46:14	63:20,24 64:3,7
107:4,8 108:15	40:11,15 73:21	41:21 71:6 93:16	52:4,7 67:9,14	66:11 70:17,23
109:2,23 112:13	127:1	103:15 120:3	81:21 102:15	72:4 74:3 75:7
118:3 119:25	october 45:22	outside 97:2	105:18 117:3	80:8,8,19 83:4
120:3 121:1 124:4	104:8	owed 47:10 125:10	120:8	101:21 102:8,16
125:15 126:10	office 2:21 138:8	owned 77:1	<b>person</b> 12:6 18:1	101:21 102:8,10
127:3,20 128:5,13	offices 137:7		90:15,19 108:11	106:3,4 107:3,23
128:14 130:5,15	oil 63:8,9,13,25	Р	114:19 116:17	108:1 115:15
132:6 133:5 135:5	64:20 65:16 66:5	page 22:24 35:3,17	persons 1:5 122:25	118:22 119:14,20
132:0 133:5 135:5	66:10 67:7 116:2	35:22 36:4,12,25	persons 1.5 122.25 phone 9:1	120:7,9,23 121:7
<b>objection</b> 7:2 19:1	116:5	38:11 46:23 52:6	phone 5.1 phrase 65:5	125:11,13,13,14
19:16 20:21,22	okay 5:10,24 9:11	52:24,25 54:3	physically 18:7	125:20 130:20,21
23:19,25 24:6	10:11,13 12:23	78:7 83:16,18	19:22	131:9,10,17,18
25:6 26:8,13	21:10 24:21 31:14	84:23 89:7 101:7	place 2:14 47:1	132:13,14
27:18 29:8,24	45:11 48:13,17	105:1,14,17 112:1	137:25 138:18	<b>policy</b> 3:12 31:23
32:2 35:18,24	49:21 57:2 60:4	112:18 115:2	places 121:17	31:24 32:5,6 34:2
36:13,20 37:22	72:13 77:20 80:24	124:16 125:5	<b>plaintiffs</b> 1:6 2:12	36:18,18 52:2,5,6
38:13 49:1 53:12	93:24 101:21	pages 33:19 69:17	137:15.23	52:8,9,12,13,17
54:9,14 65:17	102:7 105:10	79:21 87:3	plank 75:5	52:25 53:4,11,17
66:1 67:21 69:3	106:25 109:20	paid 12:5 29:13	planning 4:20	53:22 54:3,7,13
70:7,13 74:25	111:1,5,12 113:2	62:13,23	please 4:8 5:21 9:7	54:18,24 55:5,9
86:5 89:16 90:5	114:22 116:25	paragraph 46:25	21:2 22:6 32:5	55:17 69:24 70:4
91:21 95:3 97:17	124:10,16,21	72:17 73:16 78:8	34:18 45:2 46:23	70:6,12 73:19
100:6 101:25	125:19,25 129:17	81:17 85:7 110:18	51:12 58:5,13,14	80:13 81:19,21,23
106:22 108:4	129:25 131:7	117:6,14 125:6	69:20 84:16 98:18	81:25 82:5,6,15
115:20 118:11	old 42:25	parentheses 53:1	103:20 109:8,11	83:12,18 84:9
119:1 130:25	older 41:25	54:4	119:19 123:5	87:9,11,15 103:5
131:12,19 132:15	once 12:23 13:13	part 81:12 103:12	127:15 134:6	103:12,22 104:19
objections 3:7 6:24	15:8 67:10	103:22 128:23	point 5:20 31:5	103:12,22 104:19
26:7 32:21 62:24	onehalf 8:23	particular 9:21	39:5 40:19 81:24	105:16,17,18,23
84:3 86:23 93:15	ones 64:13	10:13 18:1 124:10	88:23 92:5 114:1	106:4,21 117:17
95:13,20 102:10	ongoing 27:16	parties 86:3	114:21 121:16	131:6
102:18,19,25	opportunity 5:7	pause 33:5	polices 3:11	<b>pollution</b> 25:12
102:10,19,29	options 56:1	pay 67:12 96:9	<b>policies</b> 3:15 15:22	28:20 36:24
119:10 120:15	oral 46:10	payable 8:1	19:6,23 21:12,20	porten 85:4
121:12 125:22	order 22:4 30:19	payment 26:3	22:9,25 23:13,23	portion 21:7 89:22
129:1	30:21 31:4 33:24	127:14,19	24:5,12,19 25:5	portion 21:7 05:22 portions 103:5
				F 51 51 51 51 5 1 6 5 1 6

Halma-Jilek Reporting, Inc. Experience Quality Service!

Deposition of Mark D. Daniel

Page 149

				Page 149
<b>posed</b> 10:16 44:7	88:6 92:22 93:4	50:10 55:16 56:9	68:13 69:4 76:10	138:15
position 33:9,15	93:22 96:9,17	59:21 62:1 64:6	77:18 89:23 92:16	records 12:14
-	,			
50:2,6 62:10,20 74:1 80:13 82:14	98:13,25 99:5,7	68:25 69:12 80:18 87:12 88:20 25	98:19 103:20,24	81:20 82:6,11
	101:14 110:11	87:13 88:20,25	103:25 111:22	red 76:2
85:14 106:25	113:5,13 114:23	89:13 101:4,12	112:22 114:18	reduction 29:4
133:21	118:17,20 121:7	110:24 114:8,15	115:12,13,14	<b>refer</b> 43:25 44:7
<b>positive</b> 108:9	135:12	115:16 116:18	122:13 123:14	133:7 134:11
possess 133:25	privilege 14:17	118:22 119:15,22	125:12 127:15	<b>reference</b> 10:9 39:3
possession 80:19	25:18 26:14 27:1	120:11 121:8	questioning 19:10	45:13 46:2,21
84:10	28:24 29:9 51:6	125:25 128:10	19:17 23:3 35:9	66:4,24 81:24
possibly 5:19	59:3 61:20 91:11	provided 3:25	questions 5:3,18	124:17
potential 55:25	92:7,9 98:4	11:12 14:11 18:13	10:16 30:25 31:10	referenced 39:21
predated 123:24	112:14	19:15 53:18,22	48:15 95:14	63:14 98:7
prefer 5:13	privileged 14:19	57:6 63:17,20,21	110:22 121:20	references 78:5
<b>prejudice</b> 56:9 57:5	25:21 26:12 37:3	82:19 85:17	136:5,6,8	referencing 40:2
57:10 129:10,19	39:18,20 50:15,17	108:12,22,24	<b>quote</b> 85:12 111:12	78:3
130:1 134:23	51:7 58:25 59:1	114:22 116:8	111:13 112:10	referred 123:3
prejudiced 60:2	59:13,21 60:8,10	120:25 123:15		referring 36:6
premiums 29:13	61:21,23 65:3	126:6 129:20	<u> </u>	43:24 56:16 77:16
preparation 122:2	68:21 69:6 88:13	providing 25:24	<b>raise</b> 68:12	111:22 115:5
122:7	88:15 89:18 90:6	26:19 27:4 37:8	rdx022079387 3:13	<b>refers</b> 125:2
prepare 7:21	90:8 91:2 94:9	50:21 65:1 82:12	32:6	reflect 81:20 83:3
122:17	128:15,17	90:12 116:14	reached 77:11	84:24 108:1
prepared 21:19	probably 78:11	provision 29:4	87:10	reflected 117:12
42:7 119:6 129:4	proceedings 4:1	37:12,20	read 28:3 33:8	138:16
preparing 121:24	138:15	provisions 28:11	53:19 96:4 97:2	refresh 104:17
122:22 123:1	process 16:8	prps 78:18	99:16 115:24	refusal 94:14
present 8:12	produce 30:23,25	<b>public</b> 137:4	129:14 132:2	<b>refuse</b> 10:9 63:8,10
presently 27:16	product 98:3 107:7	138:20	133:23	75:16 76:24 77:9
32:25 106:5 108:2	119:4.4	<b>purple</b> 112:4,4	reading 24:15	78:5,11
president 4:14,17	professional 137:4	pursuant 85:11	57:20,22 72:17	refusing 89:22
16:16 114:13	program 33:2	pursuing 68:8	94:21 109:5	94:17
117:2,4	promised 101:4	<b>put</b> 6:23 10:19	<b>realize</b> 130:12	regarding 44:18,20
presumably 17:25	pronounce 8:8	11:15 12:17 13:23	really 5:15 7:1	80:18 104:9
pretty 44:9 45:4	pronounced 116:25	14:3 110:2	<b>reason</b> 11:14 20:13	123:10,23 126:19
primary 21:12 22:9	<b>properly</b> 116:25	putting 7:2 87:8	reasoning 86:25	128:3 132:22
22:25 23:12,23	property 46:2	putting 7.2 07.0	rebecca 2:17 138:4	registered 137:3
· · · · ·		0	rebuttal 7:13,14	0
24:11,19 25:4,13 26:6 36:18 51:21	73:22	<b>question</b> 5:7,14,20	received 57:12 76:7	regurgitates 116:4 reid 74:14
	propose 65:22	5:24 6:16 14:18	130:10	
52:2,5,8,12,17	protective 22:3	17:13 23:6 24:15	receiving 85:16	reimbursed 12:6
70:5 102:23 107:3	30:18,21 31:3	25:19 32:20,23	recess 49:23 99:10	relate 9:21 12:24
125:13 130:20	33:24 34:7 36:7	38:21 42:7 43:25	recognize 41:8	15:10
131:9,17 132:13	53:25 54:21		0	related 11:1 12:3
<b>prior</b> 12:9,22 14:2	<b>provide</b> 10:15 14:5	44:7,9,11,21,22	recollection 81:18	13:14 36:11 92:20
29:19 39:16 40:10	14:9,14 18:7	44:24 45:1,4,5	104:17 113:25	117:25
40:19 41:7,24,25	38:17 39:15 40:11	51:6 53:24 59:12	record 4:9 21:3	relates 72:6 100:3
42:2,6 43:1 47:23	40:14 47:20,24	60:4,13 62:2	97:18 136:7	relating 10:23
	1		1	1

Halma-Jilek Reporting, Inc. Experience Quality Service!

Deposition of Mark D. Daniel

Page 150

				Page 150
11:20 12:20 13:11	16:11,13,14	responsible 64:16	22:11,13,15,23	104:22 105:6
13:25 35:21 40:15	researcher 16:7,24	64:19,22 114:7,19	23:10,21 24:3,9	104.22 105.0
42:12 43:9 69:18	17:1	116:17	25:2,9,23 26:15	107:12 108:8,20
42.12 43.9 09.18 72:7 75:16 77:8	researchers 16:9	responsive 3:9	26:18 27:3,15,18	107.12 108.8,20
94:3 98:24 115:18	reserves 78:15	restate 6:25	27:19 28:2,9,18	110:4 112:17
94:3 98:24 113:18 118:24 121:9				
	resolute 45:18	result 47:5 57:9,10 129:25 130:1	29:2,11,20 30:3	113:10 115:23
129:12 134:16,25	resources 16:16		30:12 31:2,13,22	118:8,13,21 119:8
135:11	respect 5:18 10:17	resurrect 43:5	32:4,8,24 33:7,17	119:13 120:5,19
relationship 8:1	11:24 12:16 13:13	retained 3:23,24	34:1,9,17,23	121:5,15 123:14
<b>relative</b> 138:13	14:23 17:14 34:3	review 32:9 112:6	35:14,20 36:1,9	126:4 138:4
<b>release</b> 65:20	36:10 47:5,16,19	120:22 121:6	36:16,22 37:6,18	<b>rpr</b> 1:25
<b>remain</b> 50:4	49:6,11 54:23	reviewed 7:23	37:24 38:8,16	<b>rule</b> 6:5 109:12
remaining 17:14	63:13 65:1,15	23:22 33:14 34:25	39:13 40:1,19,22	<u> </u>
<b>remedial</b> 39:12	67:2 68:5,9,14	<b>reviewing</b> 16:5	41:5,13,17 42:20	sanders 2:16 138:3
55:25	73:16 77:12 81:16	112:8	43:19 44:8,22	sanders 2:10 138:5 saw 123:22
remediation 62:21	85:15 86:20 92:20	richard 17:8	45:20 46:20 48:4	
127:12,18	94:3 108:25 110:5	riesen 17:8 71:24	48:14,18 49:4,21	<b>saying</b> 76:6 82:13
removal 65:24	110:10,14 119:17	75:5	49:24 50:20 51:10	<b>says</b> 30:24 47:1,8
renewed 80:14 82:7	119:23 120:13	right 5:12 13:18	52:1,23 53:9,15	47:19 53:1 78:8
82:15	122:13 123:17	17:9,23 18:2	54:2,12,16,22	80:6,12 81:17
repeat 59:11 69:3	126:4	19:13 21:22 26:2	55:14 56:7,17,19	85:12 96:3 110:6
103:20 119:19	respond 6:4,13	44:22 48:15 51:22	56:23 57:1,19	110:14 111:1,3,5
<b>reporter</b> 3:23 5:2	21:24 41:3,6 59:2	56:23 58:2 61:13	58:4,7,12,16 59:6	111:12 113:3,14
22:5 24:17 32:4	59:5,7 75:10	63:18,22 64:3	59:16 60:3,14	116:1 124:18
34:17 45:3 53:20	94:14 95:11	67:7 68:1,5,9 70:2	61:24 62:5,19	125:25 129:25
58:13,14 84:16	101:18 111:16	72:4,7 77:9 78:12	63:1 64:15 65:10	130:9 131:23
99:18 103:21	128:19	78:15 79:7 80:1,4	65:21 66:6,14,17	schneider 1:4
109:10 119:20	responded 57:8	81:8 83:5,19 87:6	67:1,18,23 68:24	scope 18:21 19:11
127:16 137:4	129:21	89:10 96:1 107:14	69:7,9,10 70:10	19:18 20:16 21:14
representations	response 3:7 6:24	111:20 112:19	70:15,22 71:8	23:4,5,16,17
36:2	31:2 40:24 47:4	113:14,22 116:21	73:5,15 74:11	24:22,23 25:15,16
representative 65:9	57:23,23,24 58:22	123:14 124:10	75:3,13 77:22	26:8,13 27:1,12
65:11 124:13	60:5,21,22,25	125:19 131:23	79:5 82:23 83:11	27:25 28:7,14,24
126:17	61:4,7 62:1 63:25	132:2 133:14	83:15,24 84:6,16	29:8,17,25 30:7
representatives	79:3,12 95:1 96:3	134:5	84:21 86:1,11,19	30:18 31:20 32:2
64:13 128:1	100:21 110:15,18	riverside 89:3	87:1,21 88:3,19	32:20 33:5,12,21
represented 80:3	111:24 112:12	riverstone 40:3	88:24 89:6,21	34:5,13 35:10
request 10:20 47:8	114:6 129:4	89:4 124:13	90:11 91:4,13,15	36:6,13 37:1,15
75:10 125:8	133:18,18,22	<b>riverwood</b> 2:14,14	92:1,15,19 93:5	38:6,13 39:10
requested 107:19	134:1	137:25,25	93:12,18 94:20	41:2 43:16 49:1
requests 67:3 78:8	responses 3:16	ross 2:17 3:3,21 4:7	95:8,17,22 96:7	49:19 53:7 54:9
79:6	133:2,9	6:9 7:1,8 8:8,11	96:15,23 97:15,21	55:11 56:13 62:15
required 65:25	responsibilities	9:11,15,17 11:8	98:9,20 99:6,9,11	64:11 65:17 66:1
requires 25:20	4:16 25:4 37:25	13:6,22 14:22	100:1,17 101:11	70:7,19 71:1,2
26:11 68:20 69:5	38:2 64:25	15:18 18:24 19:4	101:20 102:4,14	73:2,12 74:5,25
reread 45:1 127:15	responsibility 4:20	19:13,24 20:18	102:21 103:3,10	78:24 84:13 86:5
research 16:2,4,8	32:17 33:1	21:1,18,25 22:5	103:18,24 104:1	86:15 87:18,25
, ,			•	l

Deposition of Mark D. Daniel

Page 151

r				Page 151
88:9,10 90:25	6:22 7:4 8:5,10,10	103:7 104:3,7	sir 125:2 127:11,16	start 49:25 90:18
91:22 92:25 93:9	8:12,16 9:9,12	105.7 104.5,7 106:14,22 107:4	128:9	started 20:10
94:6 95:3 97:11	11:5 13:3,19	107:16,19 108:4	sit 131:16 132:11	starting 20:13
98:5,16 99:2	14:16 15:15 18:20	107:10,19 108:4	site 3:25 11:2,3	134:14
101:24 102:1,1,12	19:1,9,16 20:1,8	108.13,23 109.2	39:3 45:14 47:6	state 4:8 126:24
101.24 102.1,1,12	20:12,15,22 21:13	113:8 115:20	47:16 49:7,12	137:1,5 138:21
103.7 100.7,22	21:23 22:2,20	116:11 118:3,10	57:11 63:8,9,9,11	stated 82:4
118:11,18 119:2	23:2,15,25 24:6	118:18 119:1,10	63:13,13,22,25	statement 61:15
121:1 125:16	24:14,21 25:6,14	119:25 120:15	64:20 65:16,19,20	72:14 73:9,17
127:5,21 131:12	26:7,16,25 27:11	121:1,12 124:4,22	66:5,10,24 67:7	96:6 97:2,3
131:19 132:15	27:24 28:6,14,23	121:1,12 124:4,22	67:12,25 68:5,15	137:12
search 17:22 20:10	29:8,16,24 30:7	124.24 125.13,22	72:9 75:16 76:24	<b>statements</b> 72:24
20:13 72:3 81:12	30:17 31:12,19	120.10,19 127.3	77:9,12 90:18,21	73:8
20:13 72:3 81:12 87:22	,	· · · · ·	, , ,	
	32:2,19 33:4,11	129:1 130:5,15,25	100:4,20 121:11	states 1:1,9,17 2:20
searched 18:16 64:14	33:20 34:4,12	131:12,19 132:6	123:12 128:3	13:9,25 69:14
	35:8,18,24 36:5	132:15 133:5,9,13	130:2 132:22,23	125:8 129:9,17
second 8:22 11:23	36:13,20 37:1,14	133:16 134:10	132:23	134:15,22 135:10
52:24,25 72:17	37:22 38:5,13	135:5,15,25 136:8	sites 12:24 72:6,8	135:21 137:13
81:17 85:7 112:6	39:9,17 40:17	138:1	72:11 99:25 116:5	138:7
125:6	41:1,10,15 42:17	sent 3:21 7:19	123:25	stay 97:3
section 10:5 66:18	43:15 44:4,17,23	11:18 40:6 44:20	sitting 20:6	stemming 47:3
99:25	46:17 48:1,12,17	55:21 87:23 88:7	situated 1:5	<b>stipulation</b> 3:10,14
see 9:15 19:23 23:1	49:1,18 50:14	123:12	situation 60:1	22:7 34:19
35:7,12 47:6,11	51:4,23 52:19	sentence 47:19 58:9	100:15	<b>stop</b> 5:16 79:14
47:21 51:19,20	53:6,12,19 54:9	125:25 130:13	six 22:25 122:1	130:12
53:1 54:3,5,25	54:14,19 55:10	september 66:21	skirts 61:19	<b>storage</b> 16:5,6
55:1,3,4 69:16,24	56:4,12,18,21,24	session 8:24	small 16:15	18:15
72:14 73:23 74:15	57:2 58:6,24	set 9:18,19 38:11	smith 66:23 108:23	store 18:25
74:19 75:15,24	59:10,23 60:7	60:6	116:10	stores 18:22
76:8,15,20,24,25	61:18 62:3,14,24	seven 129:6	solely 116:14	strategic 4:20
77:2 78:19 79:18	64:10 65:2,17	sewall 10:10 103:16	sorry 7:4 24:14	strategies 128:2
79:22 80:10,15,19	66:1,13,16 67:15	108:22 116:10	40:5 76:10,11	street 2:17 39:4
82:1,8,16 85:1,5	67:21 68:18 69:2	share 9:13	77:25 92:18 99:16	45:14 46:6 52:16
85:18,19 87:13	70:7,13,18,25	sheet 109:20	100:11 102:3	63:4,21 67:12
101:6 104:10,15	73:1,11 74:4,25	shes 6:18 77:18	103:20 105:15	69:13,19 77:14
105:8,19 107:24	77:17,20 78:24	short 49:21 99:9	108:22 110:1	86:20 88:24 89:4
110:7 113:8	84:12 85:23 86:5	shorthand 138:17	134:11	90:17,21 92:21
117:10,19 126:2	86:14,23 87:17,25	<b>showing</b> 6:10 22:12	south 2:11 137:22	94:4 98:25 99:15
130:21	88:9,22 89:16	22:16 34:24 84:22	<b>specific</b> 33:22 34:5	99:21 100:4,14,20
seek 17:19 48:19,23	90:5,24 91:10,21	side 110:5 115:3	37:15 44:9 45:4	104:10 108:13,25
seeking 43:12 66:9	92:6,12,17,25	signed 75:19,23	51:14 56:15	116:2,6 119:18,24
128:1	93:9,15 94:6 95:3	76:7,19	specifically 30:21	120:14 123:11
seeks 117:6	95:13,20 96:2,11	<b>similar</b> 40:6 76:2	spend 121:23	126:20 127:1
seen 7:11 22:17,21	96:19 97:11,17	77:11 110:15,18	spending 91:19	138:3
32:10,15 53:10	98:1,16 99:2	<b>similarly</b> 1:5 122:7	<b>spoken</b> 72:21	<b>strike</b> 27:7 93:25
54:13	100:9 101:8,15,24	126:14	stack 9:13	131:8
<b>seese</b> 2:14 6:15,18	102:3,11,19 103:1	simply 31:2 59:19	<b>stand</b> 96:5	<b>stuff</b> 91:13
			1	1

Deposition of Mark D. Daniel

Page 152

				Page 152
subject 22:3 23:19	surrounding 13:1	tendered 92:23	32:24 34:6 42:9	136:5 138:17
24:24 28:24 29:25	13:16 15:12	93:2,3,4,6,13,23	55:5 61:9 69:17	timely 56:9 129:11
30:8,18,20 31:20	sweeney 79:4,16,25	99:4,7 113:3,5,14	75:22 76:1,12	134:24
32:20 33:12 35:10	80:6,17 81:17	<b>tenders</b> 10:21 13:9	79:21,24 89:2	times 112:2
36:7,14 37:4 38:6	83:2 85:5	13:14 98:13	92:13,15 97:4	today 6:1,13 7:22
38:14 39:10,19	sweeneys 79:11	134:14	100:15 104:3	121:25 122:9
41:2 43:16 48:2	sweeneys 79.11 sworn 4:4 138:12	<b>tenure</b> 29:19	105:10,17 107:22	131:16 132:11
49:2,19 53:7,25	system 43:1	term 19:21 65:4,5,5	110:5 113:6	told 17:21 66:8
54:10,20 55:11	•	terms 3:10,14	115:12 124:16	68:3,7 81:11 95:9
56:5,14 62:4,16	systems 4:19	21:20 22:7 24:11	131:23	129:22 130:3,13
	T	24:18 28:17 30:13	thing 7:13	129.22 130.3,13
65:7,18 66:2 67:16 70:8 20	tab 11:23 12:1,13		things 44:20 75:6	
67:16 70:8,20 71:2 72:2 12 74:6	12:17,18 13:7,13	33:10,22 34:6,19	8	<b>topic</b> 18:21 19:12
71:3 73:2,12 74:6	13:23 14:3,24	36:3,6 37:15	<b>think</b> 7:5 31:3,6	21:19,24 22:1
75:1 78:25 84:13	15:9,19 16:1,12	53:16,21 54:17	33:21 77:19 92:15	23:18 30:22 54:20
86:6,15 88:1	17:5,15 18:9,11	98:13 110:23	94:25 110:14	56:16,18,24 57:3
91:22 93:1,10	39:22 41:21 44:3	120:22 121:6	115:8 123:6	58:23 60:5,15,18
94:7,9 95:5,14	51:12 63:15 69:16	testified 4:5 20:23	132:10 133:14	60:19,21,22 61:1
96:4,11,19 97:18	71:9,11,12 93:17	testify 22:1 24:24	134:10	61:4,7,19 66:13
98:5,17 99:3	93:19,20,21 104:2	121:24 122:2,22	third 8:25 12:16	88:22,23 91:11
100:7 101:17,25	106:18 107:14	127:6 138:12	thirdparty 2:8	92:12,13 94:12
102:12 103:8	117:22 123:7	testifying 122:8	137:20	112:12 129:7,7
106:7 107:7 108:5	133:7,12 134:20	testimony 21:7	thomas 108:18,21	134:14,21 135:3,7
108:15 112:15	135:17	25:24 26:19 27:4	114:2	135:9,19,23
118:4 119:4 120:1		37:7 42:3,18	thought 81:10	topics 6:18,21
120:15 121:3	<b>tabs</b> 9:19,21 134:19 136:2	68:25 122:17	95:10	19:18 23:5 24:22
127:6,21 128:5		text 97:13	<b>three</b> 8:17,19 54:24	25:16 30:20 33:22
submissions 96:22	<b>take</b> 20:5 40:24	<b>thank</b> 5:11,17	116:5	38:22 56:17 58:11
<b>submit</b> 48:9 91:6	48:13 49:6,11,21	22:14 26:16 44:25	threepage 58:17,18	62:16 66:14
<b>submitted</b> 62:11,21	68:4 85:14,16	69:9 78:6 99:22	tierney 2:19 138:5	total 42:9 110:6
96:9,14,17 111:15	99:9 121:18	100:11 136:3	<b>time</b> 5:12 6:14 8:18	121:23
subsequent 79:8	<b>taken</b> 4:25 49:23	thats 9:4 13:8	8:22,25 14:11	transcript 3:21,24
successor 72:19	99:10 137:6,10,12	17:24 20:6 22:2	19:25 23:13 27:22	4:1
succinct 10:15	138:17	26:15 27:14 29:19	30:10 31:5,8	treasury 4:18
suffer 129:10,19	talk 5:9,9 8:4 21:19	31:9,12 40:5 44:7	32:23 35:5 39:5	troutman 2:16
134:23	talked 8:5 73:7	44:22 48:17 52:8	39:24 40:18 42:16	138:3
suffered 57:5	99:24 123:1	55:7 56:23 60:16	46:14 52:4,7 57:3	<b>true</b> 138:14
suggested 112:11	talking 37:15 44:18	67:5,8 70:2,3	61:16 63:2,6 67:9	<b>truth</b> 138:12,12,13
<b>suite</b> 2:11,14,17,22	84:25 97:13,15	71:21 72:1,9 75:9	67:14,24,24 85:17	<b>try</b> 5:9,19
137:22,25 138:3,8	98:10 133:9	75:21 81:5,9 84:4	85:17 88:7 89:16	trying 19:13 45:23
summarized	talks 100:14	87:2 92:17 95:21	90:5 95:18 102:16	59:17 62:6 98:21
112:19,22 116:1,4	<b>team</b> 16:15	99:8 100:7 105:14	114:1 115:13,14	105:8
supports 78:12	tell 5:2,15,21 6:11	107:16 108:6	117:2 119:7 120:8	<b>turn</b> 38:23 123:5
sure 17:6 19:11	17:15 21:10 32:10	111:19 112:23	120:18,20,21	125:5 129:4
34:15 44:17 50:19	62:7 133:22 134:3	114:13 119:1	121:16,23 125:20	<b>two</b> 2:13 9:12 18:17
63:12 92:13 93:11	tender 94:14 98:23	124:22,23,24	126:8,18 127:13	79:21 86:8 98:6
113:9 118:12	113:12 132:21	134:4,7 136:3	127:19 129:17	104:4,4 137:24
134:5	133:22	theres 5:2 27:13	131:11 133:3,15	twohour 8:23
		1	1	1

Deposition of Mark D. Daniel

Page 153

				Page 153
<b>type</b> 46:10 98:24	<b>vague</b> 40:18 89:16	way 11:20 42:21,23	37:16,23 38:7,15	wojcik 108:18
	90:5	46:5	39:11,21 40:21	114:3 116:22,23
U	<b>varga</b> 2:11 137:22	wdnrs 47:5	41:4 42:19 43:18	117:1
ultimately 76:22	variety 66:14 84:18	week 8:23	44:5,25 45:11	word 115:8
umbrella 3:11,15	various 83:4	weeks 8:19	46:19 48:3 49:3	words 115:8 133:25
30:5,14 31:15,24	vault 18:17	weiss 2:21,22 74:7	49:20 50:16,19	work 39:12 88:17
34:21 37:13 47:11	<b>verbal</b> 137:11	75:11 79:1 82:21	51:9,25 52:21	98:3 107:7 119:3
51:15 52:11 70:5	<b>version</b> 124:19	83:6,14,20 84:3	53:8,14,24 54:1	119:4
80:8 87:11 102:24	<b>vice</b> 4:14,17 16:15	84:14 85:22 87:19	54:11,15,19 55:13	working 59:25
125:11,14	voluntarily 26:3	99:16,22 100:6	56:6,15 57:3 59:5	world 122:25
<b>umhum</b> 76:4 109:9	<b>vp</b> 50:7,8	101:23 102:10,18	59:15,25 60:12	wouldnt 98:7
111:6,18	vs 1:7,15	102:25 103:6,14	61:22 62:18,25	write 108:10
unable 43:5		104:20 105:4,24	64:12 65:8,19	writing 126:16
<b>unaware</b> 126:14,25	W	106:6,15 107:8	66:3,18 67:17,22	written 61:17 96:5
132:12	w24133 2:14	136:5 138:8,9	68:20,23 69:8	112:2,5,7
underlying 37:20	137:25	welcome 44:1	70:9,14,21 71:5	wrote 58:1,19
87:9 130:20	wacker 2:11 137:22	went 16:5 100:8	73:4,14 74:9 75:2	115:25
131:10,17	wait 105:14	135:3	75:12 77:19,21	
underneath 113:2	waiting 100:23	west 2:16,21 138:3	79:3 82:22 83:8	X
understand 5:4,20	waiver 86:3 100:8	138:8	83:22 84:4,15	
6:15 31:10 33:18	walk 10:17	western 1:2 137:14	85:24 86:8,17,24	Y
44:23 47:13 59:17	walked 17:2	whats 19:11 61:21	87:20 88:2,12,17	year 50:5 81:19,23
70:23 77:17 80:12	walks 61:20	106:15	88:23 89:2,17,19	82:5,7 103:19
122:2 124:11,12	walter 39:2 45:13	<b>width</b> 66:4	90:10 91:1,24	131:6
understanding	45:16	williams 39:2 45:13	92:10 93:2,11,16	years 19:8 21:10
24:10,18 25:3,12	want 5:13 9:9,13	45:16 66:21,22,22	94:8,11 95:7,16	39:7 80:9 83:9
28:11,19 29:3	44:10,17 45:5	66:23	95:21 96:3,5,13	<b>youre</b> 126:24
33:15 36:23 37:11	48:15 56:24 59:19	wisconsin 1:2,23	96:21 97:19 98:6	
37:19 41:5 55:15	81:23 82:13 86:12	2:14,20,22 78:12	98:18 99:4,23	Z
55:20,24 80:7	86:21 122:15	137:1,5,8,8,14	100:7,11 101:10	<b>zelle</b> 79:12,12,25,25
85:13 100:3 123:9	waubesa 39:3	138:1,6,8,21,24	101:18 102:2,13	80:3,22 81:16
128:18 132:20	45:14 46:6 47:16	<b>withdraw</b> 103:24	102:20 103:2,9,15	83:1
understood 5:24	52:16 63:4,21	witness 4:2 6:17,20	104:21 105:5,25	0
undertake 50:6	67:12 68:14 69:12	11:7 13:5,21	106:9,16,23	000 41:21,22 42:1,9
united 1:1,9,17	69:18 77:12,14	14:17,20 15:17	107:10 108:6,17	46:13,15 73:21,22
2:20 13:9,24	86:20 88:24 89:4	18:22 19:3,14,20	109:3,13,25	73:22 84:1,2
69:14 129:9	90:17,21 92:21	20:10,17,19 21:16	112:16 115:22	110:6,12 130:19
134:15,22 135:10	94:4 98:25 99:15	22:21 23:8,18,20	118:5,12,20 119:6	131:5,9,17
135:21 137:13	99:21 100:4,14,20	24:2,8,23 25:1,8	119:12 120:3,17	<b>03</b> 100:10 111:2
138:7	104:9 108:13,25	25:16,18,22 26:17	121:4,14,17 124:8	03 100.10 111.2 0ym398803 83:19
updates 132:22	116:2,6 118:25	27:2,13 28:1,8,16	125:17,23 126:12	106:10
134:2	119:17,24 120:13	29:1,10,18 30:1,9	126:22 127:5,8,22	100.10
updating 104:8	121:10 123:11	30:19 31:1,5,21	128:7,18 129:2	1
<b>use</b> 20:23	126:19 127:1	32:3,22 33:3,14	130:7,17 131:2,14	<b>1</b> 3:6,23 6:8,10
utilized 34:3	132:23	33:25 34:8,13,15	131:21 132:8,17	21:19,24 22:1
<b></b>	waukesha 2:14	35:12,19,25 36:8	133:7,11,17 135:7	30:20 33:22 35:6
V/				
V	138:1	36:15,21 37:2,5	135:17 136:2	35:6 38:25 39:6

Deposition of Mark D. Daniel

Page 154

				Page 154
39:16,22 40:2,20	<b>121</b> 3:4	<b>1990</b> 72:20	64:5,17 65:22	81:6 104:2 106:18
42:6 43:20 44:14	<b>121</b> 3.4 <b>125</b> 2:11 137:22	<b>1991</b> 10:8	66:9,20,24 67:2,9	115:2 117:6
45:8,12,17,24	<b>1250</b> 2:11 137:22	<b>1992</b> 71:23 74:12	67:10,24 69:17,21	<b>22</b> 3:11 15:20 16:1
46:1,3,8,21 48:6	<b>13</b> 60:21,22 61:7	75:4 99:24 103:20	69:23 70:17 71:7	16:12 17:5,15
50:12 51:3,11	74:12 94:12	<b>1993</b> 75:14,22 76:6	77:13,16 84:8,10	18:9,11,21 69:16
52:5,5,5,5,21 53:1	<b>14</b> 14:4,8,12,13	76:12,19 77:23	87:16,24 88:8,21	107:14 115:2
53:1 54:4,4,24	39:22 123:7	78:1,23 79:3	89:1,2 91:8,20	117:14.22
, ,	<b>15</b> 14:4,8,12,13	<b>1994</b> 40:23,24	93:3 94:16 95:2	<b>23</b> 111:9
56:2 57:7,11	<b>13</b> 14.4,8,12,13 39:22 92:18 104:3	41:18 42:11 43:9	95:11 99:12,19	<b>23</b> 111.9 <b>24</b> 45:25 79:11,24
62:12,22 67:9	104:24 105:11	43:14 57:13 61:13	100:15,18,25	81:25 84:18,24
69:17,21,23 71:7	123:7	79:9,11,13,15,24	100.13,18,23	115:8 120:18
76:5 77:13,16	<b>150</b> 1:10	80:23 84:18,24	113:14 114:3,23	<b>25</b> 12:10,22 14:2
81:21,21,22,22	<b>16</b> 113:16 124:18	,	117:2 123:5,25	45:14 46:3 57:11
		92:5 114:2,12,17	126:8 127:2 128:3	
82:6,6,6,6 83:9,10	125:3 <b>17</b> 66:20 113:22	124:18 125:3		66:23 67:2,10
84:8,10 87:12 89:2 91:20 94:15	<b>17</b> 66:20 113:22 <b>1781806</b> 54:4	130:10 132:1 <b>1997</b> 85:4	128:11 129:21 130:2 131:7	92:22 100:12,18 101:1 115:24,25
95:2,11 100:15,18	<b>1781934</b> 52:25	<b>1998</b> 84:25	133:19	116:1 126:8 128:4
	<b>19</b> 44:16 45:10 48:7	<b>19th</b> 2:19 138:6	<b>2004</b> 67:24 68:3,7	128:12,24 130:3
104:8 105:19,19	133:18	<b>1st</b> 39:2	68:11,12 135:22	135:13
115:16 119:15,21	<b>1960</b> 72:19 73:17	2	<b>2009</b> 41:25,25 42:2	<b>25th</b> 133:3
120:10,24 121:7	74:3	$\frac{2}{23:7,236:237:7,9}$	<b>2011</b> 12:10,22 14:2	<b>26</b> 115:24 116:3
123:5,8 126:8	<b>1970</b> 80:9 81:23,25	7:17 22:24 30:20	43:20 44:14,16	135:19
127:2 128:3,11	83:5 106:2	33:22 35:3,17,22	45:8,10,14,22,25	<b>27</b> 71:23 99:24
129:21 130:2	<b>1973</b> 17:7	36:4,12,25 38:11	46:3 47:23 48:7	<b>28</b> 79:13 80:23
131:7 133:19	<b>1977</b> 87:12	54:3 78:7 87:12	48:21,24 49:8,13	84:25
134:6	<b>1978</b> 87:12 103:5		50:13 51:3 55:22	3
<b>10</b> 1:24 60:18,19	104:14,18 105:2	120:1 134:7,8 <b>20</b> 9:1 14:24 15:9	56:3 57:12 77:16	<b>3</b> 3:9,24 10:6,18,19
61:4 79:9,15	106:2 107:2		91:9,20 92:5,22	11:15 20:19.25
129:7 134:21	<b>1979</b> 103:12,23	69:16 71:9,11,12 81:6 99:25 104:2	93:4,6,22,23	,
135:7 137:9	104:14,19 105:19		94:19 96:1,10,17	21:3 38:22,24,25
100 73:21,22 84:2,2	105:22 107:2	111:2 115:2	98:14 99:1,5,7,13	43:24 44:3,5,6
137:7	<b>1980</b> 36:18 55:6,8	<b>200</b> 2:14 137:25	99:19 100:10,12	45:12 51:12,13
<b>1017</b> 2:21 138:8	55:17 83:17 87:2	<b>2001</b> 20:14 42:8,11	100:18 101:1,14	63:7,15 87:16
<b>109</b> 3:19	103:13,23 105:19	43:1,9,14	110:12 113:5,13	110:15,20,21,21
<b>11</b> 13:23 107:13	105:22 106:2,10	<b>2002</b> 50:1 117:2,4	126:9 128:4,12,25	115:5 116:1,15
116:4 133:10,11	107:2	118:20 135:22	130:3 133:4,18	117:12,23 120:3
133:12 135:9,17	<b>1981</b> 23:14 35:6	<b>2003</b> 38:25 39:6,16	135:13	122:20 124:16
136:2	51:18 80:10,14	39:22 40:2,20,23	<b>2012</b> 20:11 104:3,8	<b>30</b> 6:5 56:21 109:12
<b>111</b> 2:19 138:6	82:15 83:5,10	41:19 42:6 43:20	104:9,24 105:11	<b>300</b> 73:22
<b>11cv724</b> 1:7	106:10	44:14 45:8,17,24	106:13,16	<b>3000</b> 2:17 138:3
<b>12</b> 1:24 11:23 12:1	<b>1982</b> 80:14	46:2,3,8,21 48:6	<b>2013</b> 1:23 66:20	<b>32</b> 3:13
12:13 75:22 76:19	<b>1983</b> 52:3,17	48:21,24 49:8,12	107:13 137:9	<b>34</b> 3:15
93:17,20,21,22	<b>1984</b> 35:6 52:3	49:15 50:12 51:3	138:23	4
94:19 96:1,10,17	57:18	51:11 52:21 54:24	<b>207</b> 2:22 138:8	
99:7 112:18,22,23	<b>1987</b> 23:14 51:18	55:9,17,22 56:2	<b>20th</b> 79:10,17,22	<b>4</b> 3:3,10,23 22:6,10 22:16 17 46:23
136:10	115:16 119:15,21	57:7,11 62:12,23	<b>21</b> 3:9 14:24 15:3,9	22:16,17 46:23
<b>120</b> 2:7	120:10,24 121:7	63:9,11,14,18	45:22 71:9,11,13	57:3 58:23 60:5
I			I	I

Deposition of Mark D. Daniel

Page 155

r			3
60:16 61:1 66:20	<b>71</b> 81:21 83:9,10		
89:7 101:7 103:16	<b>72</b> 81:22		
103:19 106:13,16	<b>79</b> 103:5 104:14,18		
125:5	105:2,9 106:2		
	107:2		
5	7sx20121 87:11		
<b>5</b> 3:12 10:8 11:23	8		
12:1,13 32:5,7,9			
33:9 34:3 41:21	<b>8</b> 1:23 3:17 12:16		
75:4 76:12 77:23	12:18 17:7 38:22		
78:1,23 87:12	44:3,5,7 66:18,21		
93:22 110:5 112:1	84:17,20,23 87:3		
112:19,22 <b>500</b> 84:1,1 130:19	111:2,3,9,9,22		
131:5,9,17	112:12 116:1 <b>80</b> 82:6 104:14 10		
<b>53</b> 1:24 136:10	<b>80</b> 82:6 104:14,19 105:9		
<b>53092</b> 2:22 138:8	<b>800</b> 3:17		
<b>531881174</b> 2:14	<b>81</b> 36:18 54:4 55:2		
138:1	55:6,8,17 82:6		
<b>532026622</b> 2:20	83:17		
138:6	<b>82</b> 53:1 54:5 82:15		
<b>55</b> 2:16 138:3	<b>83</b> 52:5 53:1		
<b>565</b> 41:21,22 42:1,9	<b>84</b> 3:17 52:5,17		
46:13,15 110:6,12	55:2		
<b>58</b> 3:16	8th 137:8		
	8zm398803 105:1		
6			
<b>6</b> 3:6,14 6:5 34:18	9		
34:22,24 35:17,23	<b>9</b> 1:24 3:18,23 13:8		
36:4,12,25 38:12	13:13 79:3,9		
56:21 63:14,18	88:22,23 104:8		
73:16 87:2 109:12	109:11,15,18		
112:18	112:1 116:4		
<b>606035758</b> 2:17	120:17 133:7,15		
138:3 606064473 2:12	134:14,20 136:2 137:9		
137:23	<b>94</b> 117:4		
137.25	<b>94</b> 117.4 <b>9th</b> 138:23		
7	9th 138.25 9ym398803 83:19		
7 3:8,16 12:16,18	105:18		
38:22 44:6 58:14	102.10		
58:15 60:6 66:18			
85:4 94:12,21			
110:14,18,22			
115:2 116:1 129:5			
129:7 134:10			
135:3,7			
	-	-	-